



Max-Planck-Institut für demografische Forschung
Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research
Doberaner Strasse 114 · D-18057 Rostock · GERMANY
Tel +49 (0) 3 81 20 81 - 0; Fax +49 (0) 3 81 20 81 - 202;
<http://www.demogr.mpg.de>

MPIDR WORKING PAPER WP 2001-024
AUGUST 2001

**Life-table representations
of family dynamics
in 16 FFS countries**

Gunnar Andersson (andersson@demogr.mpg.de)
Dimitar Philipov (philipov@demogr.mpg.de)

This working paper has been approved for release by: Jan M. Hoem (hoem@demogr.mpg.de)
Head of the Laboratory of Contemporary European Fertility and Family Dynamics.

© Copyright is held by the authors.

Working papers of the Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research receive only limited review. Views or opinions expressed in working papers are attributable to the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Institute.

Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research,
Doberaner Straße 114,
D-180 57 Rostock, Germany.
E-mail: andersson@demogr.mpg.de, philipov@demogr.mpg.de.

GA/DP, 2 August 2001

Life-table representations of family dynamics in 16 FFS countries

by
Gunnar Andersson
and
Dimitar Philipov

Abstract. In this paper, we apply a system of description of family-demographic behavior to data derived from a number of Fertility and Family Surveys (FFS) conducted in Europe – and to corresponding survey data from the USA. We use life-table techniques in order to describe the experiences of men, women, and children in processes related to family formation and family dissolution during the late 1980s - early 1990s. Our presentation amounts to a large number of cross-country comparisons of the demographic behavior in Eastern and Western Europe with the US used as a contrast. Our system is described in more detail in Andersson and Philipov (2001) and here we apply it to data from Sweden, Norway, Finland, France, USA, Austria, Germany (East and West Germany separately), Flanders, Italy, Spain, Czech Republic, Hungary, Slovenia, Latvia, Lithuania, and Poland.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to provide an extensive number of life tables that give information on various aspects of family demographic behavior in a range of developed countries by describing various aspects of life trajectories of people in these countries. Our tabulations describe different types of family experience from the point of view of men, of women, and of children.

The present study essentially amounts to the application of an existing system of description of demographic behavior, presented in Andersson and Philipov (2001), to data from 16 different countries. In Andersson and Philipov (2001), we initiated the present project by providing detailed information on how our system of life tables is constructed and what our tables describe. We then used data from Hungary and Sweden in order to give an example of what kind of cross-country comparison our system can provide. In the present paper, we give tabulations of the same kind but now apply our system to data from a larger number of countries which participated in the round of Fertility and Family Surveys (FFS) that was conducted in Europe between 1989 and 1997. We use corresponding data from the US National Survey of Family Growth of 1995 in order to contrast patterns in Europe to those prevailing on the other side of the Atlantic.

In the present manuscript, we do not even try to make any comments or reflections on the abundance of information that arises from the tabulations we give. We merely present our results to a broader audience in order to open up for further contemplation. It is our hope that the tabulations we produce will provide a better picture of various aspects of family dynamics in the countries we study and that they can serve as a basis for a number of more specific cross-country comparisons. Evidently, if one choose to focus on just a subset of our tabulations, one can derive detailed information on a certain topic in family demography – as seen from the point of view of men, of women, or of children. In the present manuscript, we provide our tabulations in an Addendum to the paper, which otherwise just gives a short description of the data at hand and a brief overview of the tables we present.

2. Life-table descriptions

Our descriptive measures are based on well-known life-table techniques and we have constructed our life tables from the notion of a synthetic cohort, based on the information pertaining to a period immediately before each FFS survey of interest. While a calculation of life tables for a real birth cohort of men, women, or children – or a real marriage cohort – is a straightforward matter, it requires that one can follow the cohort of interest up to the highest age limit of the tabulation, for example up to age 40. We prefer to be able to say something about the demographic behavior during the most recent calendar period. For that purpose, we construct a life table from reported events of a specific kind and exposures to that event during our period of interest, i.e., during the latest period for which we have data, so that we can describe the most recent patterns of family-demographic affairs.

In principle, we present three broad groups of tabulations, all described in more detail in Andersson and Philipov (2001). First, we give a number of measures of various aspects of family formation of men and women in the different countries. These show the cumulative percent of men and women who have experienced a specific demographic event by single-year ages from their 15th to their 40th birthday. Secondly, we present a number of measures of various experiences of men and women in unions, by duration of union. Here we use the union as our unit of

observation and we pool the information on unions as reported by women and men. We report various types of experiences in different types of unions. For example, we report the cumulative percent of consensual unions who are being dissolved or are being transformed into a marriage by single-year durations up to the 15th or 20th birthday of the union. Thirdly, we present various life-table measures of children's experience of family formation and family dissolution. These measures are calculated from the information given by their mothers' reports of union events and they are presented by single-year ages for the child, from birth to the 15th birthday. We present separate calculations for children born to a lone mother, children born to a cohabiting mother, and children born in marriage so that we can depict the different family-transformation events that can occur in each type of family. Finally, our various life-table measures are summarized in a few tables and figures that report the fractions of total time that men, women, and children typically spend in various family types during their reproductive ages or during childhood.

The following list of contents gives an overview of the tabulations we present. We provide 45 different sets of life tables. Each set of tables is presented with one sub-set for the various Western European countries of our study, including the US, and a second sub-set for the Eastern European countries that participated in the FFS. Our Tables 1-16 are calculated for men and for women, separately, so in these cases, we also provide separate sub-sets of tabulations for the two sexes.

LIST OF CONTENTS

Tables 1-16: Single-sex tables of family formation of men and women

Experience of nest-leaving

1- leaving the parental home

Experience of union formation

2- first union, as a cohabitation (censoring at marriage)

3- first union, as a marriage (censoring at cohabitation)

4- first union, as a cohabitation (competing-risks model)

5- first union, as a marriage (competing-risks model)

6- first union, as a marriage or a cohabitation (4+5)

7- first marriage

Experience of becoming a parent

8- first child

Experience of the combination of being a parent and being in different union statuses

9- parent and in a union

10- parent and married

11- parent and not in a union

Experience of different contexts of family-formation/childbearing events

12- marriage during a first union

13- first child during a first union

14- first child during any union

15- first child during any marriage

16- first child born out of a union

Tables 17-28: Pooled tables of union transformation and union disruption

Childless couples: experience of childbearing or separation

17- first child of a childless couple

18- separated before a birth, childless couple

Consensual unions: experience of marriage or separation

19- married before dissolution

20- separated before marriage

21- married (competing-risks model)

22- separated (competing-risks model)

23- no longer in a consensual union (21+22)

Couples experience of union disruption

24- separation for unions begun as a cohabitation

25- separation for unions begun as a marriage

26- separation for all unions

27- separation for all marriages

28- separation of parents in union (duration since union/parenthood)

Summary measure

29- percent of time spent in different family types (men, women)

Tables 30-45: Children's experience of family dynamics

Family type at birth

30- percent of births by family type

Experience of family disruption

31- ever out of union (all children)

32- ever out of marriage (all children)

33- out of union (children born in union)

34- out of union (children born in consensual union)

35- out of union (children born in marriage)

Experience of family formation

36- in union (children born to lone mother)

37- in marriage (children born to lone mother)

38- in marriage (children born to cohabiting mother)

39- in marriage (children born to non-married mother)

Competing-risks model for children born in consensual union

40- in marriage

41- out of union

42- no longer in consensual-union family (40+41)

Experience of family re-formation

43- again in union (after parental separation)

44- in marriage (after parental separation)

Summary measure

45- percent of time spent in different family types

In our tabulations, we also present a number of mean and percentile values in order to derive some summary information from each life table. We present mean ages of transition for those individuals who experience an event of interest before the upper age limit of the table. We also present the first exact ages where at least 10, 25,

50, and 75 percent of the study population first experience the event under study, i.e., the ages of the first decile, the first quartile, the median, and the third quartile. We sometimes also use a truncated mean value that gives information on the average amount of time that is spent in a certain family status during the interval we study. Such a mean value also include the experience of individuals who stay in the risk population until the end of the interval.

3. Data

Our tabulations are based on raw data gathered at the Fertility and Family Surveys that were conducted in a large number of European countries in 1989-1997. We have used comparable data from the US National Survey of Family Growth in order to derive corresponding tabulations for the USA. The data have been provided to us by the Population Activities Unit in Geneva but for Sweden we have instead used a cleaned national version of the data.

We have calculated life tables for the following countries: Sweden, Norway, Finland, France, USA, Austria, Germany, Flanders¹, Italy, Spain, Czech Republic, Hungary, Slovenia, Latvia, Lithuania, and Poland. In our calculations of life tables for Germany, we treated the Eastern and Western parts of the country as two separate entities. We have not used existing data from Bulgaria and Portugal since they do not contain union histories of respondents and thus are not suitable for calculations like ours. Existing data from Netherlands are not available to researchers outside that country but in the future we hope instead to be able to add a few other countries to our descriptive analysis².

3a. Cleaning procedures

Before calculating the various life-table measures we present, we had to perform a considerable amount of data cleaning. As a result, we have removed a number of respondents from the initial study population with incomplete information or illogical sequences of events. The raw data for Austria and Germany, just to mention one example, contained a large number of observations with no dates of union formation and union dissolution for respondents who reported that they had experienced at least one union, so we had to exclude them from our study population. Table 1 contains the total number of male and female respondents, mothers, and children of female respondents that, for each country, remain in our data set after our cleaning. We do not include any children as reported by fathers since children more often not co-reside with a father than with a mother and larger segments of children's lives thus are missing in their reports.

When we found a case with missing information, so that we could not use it in our computations of life tables, we first tried to impute the piece of information that was missing, or we had to exclude the individual from our study population. Most of our cleaning procedures refer to cases with lacking dates (year, month, or year and month) of reported events. In cases where we know the year of a reported event but not its month, we can often impute the value of the latter. This is possible when there

¹ The Belgian FFS only covers the Flemish-speaking parts of the country.

² We have not (yet) made calculations for Switzerland, Estonia, or overseas New Zealand and Canada. In the future, we hope to be able to apply our system of description also to data from these countries and to similar survey data from Great Britain.

is no other family-demographic event reported for the same year or when we know if any other event has occurred before or after the incompletely reported event (for example, if we know a date that refers to a preceding or a subsequent union). In the case of remaining inconsistency in reports of childbearing, union formation, or union dissolution, we exclude the erroneous case from all our life-table calculations even if it could perhaps be used for the estimation of some sub-set of life tables. However, in the case of missing information on just the date of leaving the parental home, we drop only the information that pertains to the nest-leaving so that we can use the individual for the calculation of all remaining life tables.

Table 1: Size of study population, by country

	<u>men</u>	<u>women</u>	<u>mothers</u>	<u>children</u>
Austria	1428	4260	3217	6485
Flanders	2104	3143	1911	3602
Czech Rep.	--	1719	1222	2331
Finland	1592	4040	2895	6043
France	1915	2930	2194	4527
E Germany	1875	2810	2025	3437
W Germany	1863	2743	1223	2113
Hungary	1899	3498	2622	4908
Italy	1175	4745	2858	5410
Latvia	1338	2622	2080	3787
Lithuania	1948	2924	2113	3742
Norway	1515	3969	2367	4523
Poland	--	4165	3184	6752
Slovenia	1716	2761	2116	3953
Spain	1951	3981	2450	4991
Sweden	1495	2986	2247	4638
USA	--	10510	6609	14357

In Table 2, we report the number of excluded individuals, by type of error that we first found for a respondent, by country. In the section below, we also report the steps of the cleaning procedure that produced these exclusions.

1. If the month of birth of a respondent is missing, we impute the value of that month randomly. A missing month of interview is imputed to a late month of the period when the other interviews were performed.
2. Erroneous information on union formation: excluded cases are reported in Table 2 under the heading *form.* (for formation). We drop an individual if the number of unions is unknown or the year of a union formation is unknown. Examples of this kind occurred, for example, when we found individuals with no date of union formation but information that elsewhere said that the respondent indeed had entered a union (mainly in the data for Germany and Austria). In some countries, the number of reported unions for an individual sometimes seemed to be too high because of an incorrect summation of cohabitation and marriage pertaining to the same union (common in the data for Lithuania and Germany). In this situation, we keep the individual and use the number of unions as appearing in the sequence of reported events of union formation and union dissolution. We drop an individual if the month of a union formation is unknown and a birth is reported in the same year, since we need to know in what order such events have occurred. However, if there is no other event reported in the same year, we impute the month to a

position in the middle of the year (common in the data for Austria, for dates of first cohabitation). If the union is dissolved in the same year as it is being formed, we impute a missing month of union formation to a lower value than that of the dissolution. If a previous union is dissolved in the same year, we impute the month to a higher value than that of the dissolution. If a marriage occurs in the same year and we have no month of union formation, we assume that it begins as a direct marriage, i.e., at marriage formation.

3. Erroneous information on union dissolution: excluded cases are reported in Table 2 under the heading *disr.* (for disruption). We drop an individual if the year of a reported dissolution is unknown or if the date of dissolution is lower than the date of the formation of the same union. If a date of union dissolution is higher than that of the formation of a subsequent union, we instead change the date to be equal to the latter one. Missing months of union dissolution are handled in the same way as we handle missing months of union formation.
4. Erroneous information on childbearing: excluded cases are reported in Table 2 under the heading *childb.* We drop an individual if the year and/or the month of the birth of a child (or the adoption of an adopted child) is unknown.

In addition, we exclude all male respondents from the surveys of Poland and the Czech Republic since they are all partners to the female respondents there. It makes no sense to study family-formation events of a population where we have no information on individuals who have not experienced the events of interest. (There are no men in the study population for the US either but this is just because no men were interviewed in the survey that was launched in the USA.)

Table 2 first gives the *initial* number of respondents from each country (for Poland and the Czech Republic, these numbers only cover female respondents). The following three columns give the number of exclusions from errors of the types we reported above. A column marked *misc.* (for miscellaneous) reports additional omissions of individuals due to various other types of errors. We proceed to report the total number of *deleted* cases by country, and the *percent* of deleted individuals of the initial number of respondents. The last columns give the *final* number of respondents in our analysis, also given for *men* and *women* separately.

Table 2: Number of respondents and exclusions of respondents from our sample

<u>Country</u>	<u>initial</u>	<u>form.</u>	<u>disr.</u>	<u>childb.</u>	<u>misc.</u>	<u>deleted</u>	<u>percent</u>	<u>final</u>	<u>men</u>	<u>women</u>
Austria	6120	243	32	155	2	432	7.1	5688	1428	4260
Flanders	5433	11	17	153	5	186	3.4	5247	2104	3143
Czech Rep.	1735	3	8	0	5	16	0.9	1719	--	1719
Finland	5825	12	25	139	17	193	3.3	5632	1592	4040
France	4885	0	1	37	2	40	0.8	4845	1915	2930
E Germany	4976	129	62	94	6	291	5.8	4685	1875	2810
W Germany	5036	292	56	79	3	430	8.5	4606	1863	2743
Hungary	5487	20	35	34	1	90	1.6	5397	1899	3498
Italy	6030	44	24	23	19	110	1.8	5920	1175	4745
Latvia	4200	5	8	218	9	240	5.7	3960	1338	2622
Lithuania	5000	70	57	0	1	128	2.6	4872	1948	2924
Norway	5562	57	19	1	1	78	1.4	5484	1515	3969
Poland	4211	2	0	44	0	46	1.1	4165	--	4165
Slovenia	4559	23	16	38	5	82	1.8	4477	1716	2761
Spain	6013	15	11	41	14	81	1.3	5932	1951	3981
Sweden	4984	--	--	--	503	503	10.1	4481	1495	2986
USA	10847	62	234	40	1	337	3.1	10510	--	10510

For our calculations of life tables of the process of leaving the parental home, we had to make some additional exclusions. These were made if we had no information on the year of leaving the parental home but knew that a respondent had left home. As usual, a missing month was imputed to a value at the middle of the year.

In our analyses of children's family dynamics, we also had to make some additional exclusions that are not reported in Table 2. These refer to children who no longer lived with their mother but had no date given for their departure from the mother. The situation mainly appears in the data for Norway where dates of children's departures are not given. For Lithuania and Poland, we have no information at all on the residential situation of children so we do not know if a child still lives with the mother at the time of interview. For these two countries, we simply assume that each reported child continue to live with its mother until the 15th birthday (which is the upper age limit of our life tables on the demographic experience of children). This is not a problematic assumption since the data we have for the other countries reveal that practically all children actually live with their mother until that age. Finally, in the data set for France, we cannot distinguish between the situation when a child dies from that when it stops living with its mother. We keep these children in our analysis but censor our observation at any event of that kind.

For Sweden, we have used a data set that has already been cleaned in more detail at Statistics Sweden and at the Stockholm University Demography Unit. In this case, we have also excluded all respondents who immigrated to Sweden when being older than 15 years. In Table 2, these and other omissions show up under the heading *misc*. In our study of children's experiences of various family events, we have only included children who were born in Sweden. In the case of migration during the age segments that we want to study, we expect to find some interdependence between migration and family formation and we do not want to deal with such issues here. For other countries of the FFS "Standard Recode Files", we have no information on country of birth of survey respondents. This means that possible immigrants are included in our study and that we cannot distinguish between demographic events that occurred in the country of origin and in the country of destination, since we have no information about actual dates of immigration. This is a less desirable feature of our data, which we unfortunately cannot deal with further.

3b. Synthetic cohorts

In our calculations, we have subsequently used the parts of the data that refer to the six-year period immediately before each interview. Initially we aimed at estimating measures for the five-year period before each survey but in order to cover the demographic histories of men and women from ages as low as 15 years, we needed to go six years back in time. Many countries do not include enough young respondents in their surveys. For Finland we had to use an even longer period for the construction of our synthetic cohort since the male respondents of that country all were 25 years or older. The same holds for Norway and Sweden where the youngest male respondents were 28 and 29 years old, respectively. The samples from Norway and Sweden differ from those of other countries also in the respect that they cover respondents from just a selected number of birth cohorts of men and women. In principle, this makes these data sets more suitable for an analysis of the behavior of real birth cohorts of men and women but we apply our idea of a synthetic cohort also to these countries data since we aim at comparability over our whole range of countries. However, in any comparison between countries, we need to be aware that our life tables for Sweden, Norway, and Finland are based on longer calendar periods than those of the other

countries and that important changes in behavior may have occurred during such extended study periods. (When we study unions and children of respondents from these countries, we can use a shorter period for our synthetic-cohort measures, better corresponding to the six-year period of the other countries.) Finally, for East Germany, we use the six-year period that refers to the time immediately before the political turnaround in November 1989 as our study period, which thus describes the situation in the last years of the former GDR³. In Table 3, we report the calendar periods that we use in order to construct our synthetic cohorts for each country in our study.

Table 3: Study period of life tables of men, of women, of unions, and of children

<u>Country</u>	<u>women/men</u>	<u>unions</u>	<u>children</u>
Austria	1990-1996	1990-1996	1990-1996
Flanders	1985-1992	1985-1992	1985-1992
Czech Rep.	1992-1997	1992-1997	1992-1997
Finland	1979/83-1989/92	1983-1992	1983-1989
France	1988-1994	1988-1994	1988-1994
E Germany	1984-1989	1984-1989	1984-1989
W Germany	1986-1992	1986-1992	1986-1992
Hungary	1988-1993	1988-1993	1988-1993
Italy	1990-1995	1990-1995	1990-1995
Latvia	1989-1995	1989-1995	1989-1995
Lithuania	1989-1995	1989-1995	1989-1995
Norway	1974-1989	1983-1989	1983-1989
Poland	1986-1991	1986-1991	1986-1991
Slovenia	1989-1995	1989-1995	1989-1995
Spain	1989-1995	1989-1995	1989-1995
Sweden	1978-1993	1985-1993	1987-1993
USA	1989-1995	1989-1995	1989-1995

We calculate our life tables from estimated probabilities of exit from each study population separately, caused by a specific event under study, and probabilities of surviving in the original state. If the number of persons under risk of experiencing the specific event decreases to less than 15 individuals (at some higher duration or age segment), we freeze our life-table estimates at that stage. We are unable to present any further figures for durations above that time horizon. This can occur, for example, when the number of unions of a specific type, like consensual unions in a Southern European country, is too small for an extended investigation of their destinies. It can also occur when practically the whole study population experience a particular event already at a relatively early stage, like the process of leaving the parental home in the Nordic countries.

We have applied weights in our calculations of life-table estimates for France and the US since the sampling procedures in these countries were performed in a way that were dependent on the outcomes we want to study. As a final remark, we just want to point to the need for further data validation in this project – as in most other projects that use standard files of FFS data. Despite our cleaning efforts, we have not

³ The oldest respondents of the East German sample were 36 years old in 1989. This has consequences for the calculation of several of our life tables since we have no observations at the highest age interval of our Tables 1-16. In Table 29, we cannot calculate a summary measure of the time that men and women in East Germany typically spent in different family types at ages 15-39.

been able to go through all details at all corners of our data sets. We have also not been able to make any deeper investigation of, for example, differences in sampling procedures between countries. One important issue is to what degree immigrants tend to show up in the various data sets we have used. In our case, we have just used the data provided to us but have at least removed a number of evident cases of inconsistency in it.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Jan M. Hoem for valuable advice during the work with this project and to discussions with him, Larry Bumpass, Ron Lesthaeghe, and Kathleen Kiernan during a working meeting on this project in Brussels on 27 May 2000. Many of the life-table measures we have used in our presentation were first suggested to us by Larry Bumpass. We thank the Advisory Group of the European Fertility and Family Surveys (FFS) program of comparative research for its permission, granted under identification number 75, to use the original FFS data for this study. In addition, we are grateful to the Stockholm University Demography Unit for its permission to use their cleaned version of the Swedish FFS. Finally, we thank Friederike Seichter for practical assistance in putting all our tables together.

Reference

Andersson, G., and Philipov, D., 2001. Life-table representations of family dynamics in Sweden and Hungary: initiation of a project of descriptions of demographic behavior. MPIDR Working Paper, WP 2001-010. Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research, Rostock.

Addendum: Tables 1-45.

We derive the following number of life tables in our presentation:

Tables 1-16 and 29, for men and women in 17 ⁴ countries:	578 tables,
Tables 17-28, for unions in 17 countries:	204 tables,
Tables 30-45, for children in 17 countries:	272 tables,
Total number of life tables:	1054.

⁴ We have 17 countries when East and West Germany are counted separately.

Table 1: Cumulative percent ever leaving the parental home / Men

Age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (NA)
16	4	3	2	3	
18	19	11	9	8	
20	46	32	26	22	
22	78	56	51	37	
24	91	76	69	61	
25	94	80	76	68	
26	96	85	80	76	
28	98	90	85	83	
30	98	93	87	86	
35	--	96	88	91	
40	--	98	89	--	
mean age: (at transition)	20	22	22	23	
1st decile at age:	17	18	19	19	
1st quartile at:	19	20	20	21	
median at age:	21	22	22	24	
3rd quartile at:	22	24	25	26	

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	3	2	1	1	1
18	7	4	2	3	2
20	24	19	8	7	6
22	40	46	21	10	12
24	58	66	46	16	23
25	63	75	59	20	31
26	67	79	68	24	39
28	76	86	79	38	55
30	82	90	87	49	64
35	88	93	91	72	80
40	91	--	--	75	83
mean age: (at transition)	23	23	24	28	26
1st decile at age:	19	20	21	22	22
1st quartile at:	21	21	23	27	25
median at age:	23	23	25	31	28
3rd quartile at:	28	25	28	40	33

Table 1: Cumulative percent ever leaving the parental home / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	1	1		2	1
18	6	2		3	4
20	21	7		23	13
22	38	19		37	28
24	58	35		50	40
25	70	43		56	45
26	78	49		64	49
28	86	58		71	56
30	90	65		77	59
35	93	74		82	62
40	--	75		84	65
mean age: (at transition)	23	25		23	24
1st decile at age:	19	21		19	20
1st quartile at:	21	23		21	22
median at age:	24	27		24	27
3rd quartile at:	26	39		30	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	1	
18	5	
20	17	
22	31	
24	44	
25	53	
26	59	
28	63	
30	66	
35	70	
40	71	
mean age: (at transition)	23	
1st decile at age:	19	
1st quartile at:	22	
median at age:	25	
3rd quartile at:	--	

Table 1: Cumulative percent ever leaving the parental home / Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	6	4	4	1	2
18	29	19	18	9	17
20	73	52	50	36	66
22	93	77	78	60	81
24	98	88	90	78	88
25	99	92	93	84	91
26	99	94	95	88	92
28	--	97	97	92	95
30	--	98	98	94	96
35	--	99	99	--	97
40	--	--	99	--	97
mean age: (at transition)	19	20	20	21	20
1st decile at age:	17	17	17	19	18
1st quartile at:	18	19	19	20	19
median at age:	19	20	20	22	19
3rd quartile at:	21	22	22	24	21

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	3	1	0	0	1
18	14	7	4	2	4
20	40	34	24	8	13
22	60	63	48	17	23
24	74	82	73	29	37
25	80	87	82	36	47
26	85	90	88	44	54
28	90	94	95	55	70
30	93	96	96	63	77
35	96	98	97	77	85
40	97	--	--	80	87
mean age: (at transition)	22	21	22	26	25
1st decile at age:	18	19	19	21	20
1st quartile at:	19	20	21	24	23
median at age:	21	21	23	27	26
3rd quartile at:	25	23	25	34	30

Table 1: Cumulative percent ever leaving the parental home / Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	1	2	1	1	1
18	8	7	6	7	9
20	34	25	29	24	26
22	63	46	48	42	40
24	79	63	64	58	49
25	84	69	69	66	53
26	88	72	73	71	57
28	92	77	75	79	60
30	93	80	77	82	64
35	95	83	78	86	68
40	--	84	79	88	72
mean age: (at transition)	21	22	22	23	23
1st decile at age:	19	19	19	19	19
1st quartile at:	20	20	20	21	20
median at age:	22	23	23	23	25
3rd quartile at:	24	27	27	27	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	2	1
18	9	4
20	34	21
22	48	41
24	57	54
25	60	60
26	63	64
28	68	70
30	71	73
35	73	79
40	73	82
mean age: (at transition)	21	23
1st decile at age:	19	19
1st quartile at:	20	21
median at age:	23	24
3rd quartile at:	--	32

Table 2: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a cohabitation, single-decrement life-table method with censoring at direct marriage / Men

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	3	1	3	3	
20	16	8	9	10	
22	40	25	23	21	
24	60	46	44	39	
25	67	55	51	48	
26	72	61	59	56	
28	80	73	68	67	
30	84	76	74	72	
35	90	84	80	80	
40	92	87	83	83	
mean age: (at transition)	23	25	25	25	
1st decile at age:	20	21	21	20	
1st quartile at:	21	22	23	23	
median at age:	23	25	25	26	
3rd quartile at:	27	30	31	31	

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	2	0	1	0	0
20	12	4	3	1	2
22	23	10	7	1	4
24	36	19	17	3	7
25	41	24	24	4	10
26	47	27	26	5	13
28	57	34	38	5	19
30	67	40	48	8	20
35	77	48	57	20	28
40	--	--	--	--	28
mean age: (at transition)	25	26	27	32	27
1st decile at age:	20	22	23	32	25
1st quartile at:	23	26	26	39	33
median at age:	27	--	31	--	--
3rd quartile at:	35	--	--	--	--

Table 2: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a cohabitation, single-decrement life-table method with censoring at direct marriage / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	1	1		0	3
20	7	5		3	10
22	17	12		16	24
24	34	20		30	38
25	44	25		37	46
26	52	30		46	47
28	63	37		60	58
30	70	39		67	58
35	77	48		82	--
40	--	53		--	--
mean age: (at transition)	25	26		26	24
1st decile at age:	21	22		21	20
1st quartile at:	23	25		24	23
median at age:	26	37		27	27
3rd quartile at:	34	--		31	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	1	
20	4	
22	12	
24	21	
25	23	
26	27	
28	35	
30	37	
35	46	
40	--	
mean age: (at transition)	25	
1st decile at age:	22	
1st quartile at:	26	
median at age:	--	
3rd quartile at:	--	

Table 2: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a cohabitation, single-decrement life-table method with censoring at direct marriage / Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	2	1	1	0	1
18	12	8	7	4	8
20	38	27	25	20	23
22	62	49	50	39	39
24	76	64	67	58	50
25	81	70	74	66	55
26	84	75	77	71	58
28	88	81	83	77	65
30	91	85	86	80	68
35	94	88	89	85	74
40	95	89	91	87	76
mean age: (at transition)	22	22	22	23	23
1st decile at age:	18	19	19	19	19
1st quartile at:	20	20	20	21	21
median at age:	21	23	22	23	24
3rd quartile at:	24	26	26	27	37

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	1	0	0	0	0
18	7	2	1	1	2
20	22	11	6	3	5
22	42	21	15	4	7
24	57	34	24	6	11
25	62	39	31	6	14
26	67	43	37	7	18
28	76	53	47	10	24
30	83	59	51	13	29
35	88	64	61	24	35
40	90	--	--	26	36
mean age: (at transition)	23	24	25	28	26
1st decile at age:	19	20	21	28	24
1st quartile at:	21	23	25	36	29
median at age:	24	28	30	--	--
3rd quartile at:	28	--	--	--	--

Table 2: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a cohabitation, single-decrement life-table method with censoring at direct marriage / Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	1	1	1	1
18	2	6	4	5	12
20	17	14	24	18	28
22	35	24	36	32	41
24	49	34	49	48	52
25	53	38	55	56	57
26	59	42	62	61	65
28	67	45	67	73	72
30	71	51	74	80	76
35	78	55	--	83	80
40	--	62	--	--	81
mean age: (at transition)	23	25	22	24	23
1st decile at age:	20	20	19	19	18
1st quartile at:	21	23	21	21	20
median at age:	25	30	25	25	24
3rd quartile at:	32	--	--	29	30

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	3	0
20	10	1
22	19	2
24	23	4
25	24	5
26	28	5
28	33	8
30	35	9
35	41	11
40	45	12
mean age: (at transition)	25	27
1st decile at age:	20	32
1st quartile at:	26	--
median at age:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--

Table 3: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a marriage, single-decrement life-table method with censoring at entry into cohabitation / Men

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	1	
20	0	2	1	1	
22	1	8	3	5	
24	2	15	6	9	
25	3	19	7	11	
26	4	23	9	15	
28	7	28	12	19	
30	8	42	16	20	
35	14	57	21	23	
40	18	61	28	27	
mean age: (at transition)	30	28	29	27	
1st decile at age:	32	23	27	25	
1st quartile at:	--	27	37	36	
median at age:	--	32	--	--	
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	2	1	0	0	2
22	4	3	6	1	5
24	9	5	24	6	13
25	13	6	34	9	19
26	16	9	45	13	25
28	19	13	53	25	41
30	27	18	62	37	52
35	38	25	67	56	74
40	--	--	--	--	78
mean age: (at transition)	28	28	26	30	28
1st decile at age:	25	27	23	26	24
1st quartile at:	30	35	25	28	26
median at age:	--	--	27	33	30
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	37

Table 3: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a marriage,
single-decrement life-table method with censoring at entry into cohabitation / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	0	0		0	0
20	2	3		0	6
22	11	12		3	19
24	24	29		17	37
25	34	37		23	44
26	41	44		30	54
28	48	55		49	63
30	53	61		65	69
35	59	67		77	--
40	--	68		--	--
mean age: (at transition)	25	25		27	24
1st decile at age:	22	22		23	21
1st quartile at:	25	24		26	23
median at age:	29	27		29	26
3rd quartile at:	--	--		33	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	1	
20	8	
22	25	
24	50	
25	59	
26	70	
28	80	
30	86	
35	93	
40	--	
mean age: (at transition)	24	
1st decile at age:	21	
1st quartile at:	22	
median at age:	24	
3rd quartile at:	27	

Table 3: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a marriage, single-decrement life-table method with censoring at entry into cohabitation / Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	2	0	1	3
20	1	8	3	3	10
22	2	19	8	5	21
24	4	30	15	11	33
25	4	36	19	17	38
26	5	40	21	20	42
28	6	48	26	25	51
30	9	56	31	27	57
35	12	65	39	31	66
40	15	68	43	31	70
mean age: (at transition)	29	25	27	26	25
1st decile at age:	31	21	23	24	20
1st quartile at:	--	24	28	28	23
median at age:	--	29	--	--	28
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	1	1	0	2
20	5	3	7	3	7
22	10	6	24	9	17
24	18	12	48	19	29
25	21	14	58	25	37
26	27	16	65	33	45
28	39	22	75	47	61
30	45	24	78	56	71
35	57	32	81	73	83
40	59	--	--	77	85
mean age: (at transition)	27	27	24	27	26
1st decile at age:	22	24	21	23	21
1st quartile at:	26	31	23	25	24
median at age:	32	--	25	29	27
3rd quartile at:	--	--	28	37	32

Table 3: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a marriage,
single-decrement life-table method with censoring at entry into cohabitation /
Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	5	2	1	3
20	12	24	17	8	22
22	32	46	34	25	36
24	49	65	49	42	45
25	53	71	60	52	48
26	56	75	65	59	55
28	62	78	68	70	60
30	65	79	74	73	66
35	69	82	--	82	71
40	--	84	--	--	73
mean age: (at transition)	23	22	23	24	23
1st decile at age:	20	19	20	21	19
1st quartile at:	22	21	21	22	21
median at age:	25	23	25	25	26
3rd quartile at:	--	26	32	32	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	4	4
20	26	21
22	51	46
24	69	65
25	74	72
26	79	76
28	85	83
30	89	85
35	92	88
40	92	90
mean age: (at transition)	22	23
1st decile at age:	19	19
1st quartile at:	20	21
median at age:	22	23
3rd quartile at:	26	26

Table 4: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a cohabitation, competing-risks life-table method with direct marriage as a competing event / Men

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	3	1	3	3	
20	16	8	9	10	
22	40	24	23	21	
24	59	42	43	38	
25	66	50	49	46	
26	72	55	56	53	
28	78	64	64	61	
30	83	66	70	65	
35	88	70	75	72	
40	89	71	77	74	
mean age: (at transition)	23	24	24	24	
1st decile at age:	20	21	21	20	
1st quartile at:	21	23	23	23	
median at age:	23	25	26	26	
3rd quartile at:	27	--	34	--	

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	2	0	1	0	0
20	12	4	3	1	2
22	22	10	7	1	4
24	35	19	15	3	7
25	39	24	20	4	9
26	44	27	22	4	12
28	52	32	28	5	15
30	60	38	32	7	16
35	67	43	35	13	19
40	--	--	--	--	19
mean age: (at transition)	24	25	25	30	25
1st decile at age:	20	22	23	34	26
1st quartile at:	23	26	27	--	--
median at age:	28	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 4: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a cohabitation, competing-risks life-table method with direct marriage as a competing event / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	1	1		0	3
20	7	4		3	10
22	16	11		16	22
24	30	17		28	33
25	37	21		34	37
26	42	24		40	38
28	48	27		49	42
30	52	28		51	42
35	55	31		56	--
40	--	33		--	--
mean age: (at transition)	24	25		25	22
1st decile at age:	21	22		21	20
1st quartile at:	24	27		24	23
median at age:	29	--		29	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--		--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	1	
20	3	
22	11	
24	16	
25	17	
26	18	
28	20	
30	21	
35	22	
40	--	
mean age: (at transition)	23	
1st decile at age:	22	
1st quartile at:	--	
median at age:	--	
3rd quartile at:	--	

Table 4: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a cohabitation, competing-risks life-table method with direct marriage as a competing event / Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	2	1	1	0	1
18	12	8	7	4	8
20	38	26	25	19	22
22	62	45	48	38	36
24	76	57	63	56	43
25	80	61	69	62	46
26	83	64	72	67	48
28	87	67	76	71	52
30	90	69	78	73	54
35	92	70	80	77	56
40	93	70	81	78	57
mean age: (at transition)	21	22	22	23	22
1st decile at age:	18	19	19	19	19
1st quartile at:	20	20	20	21	21
median at age:	21	23	23	24	27
3rd quartile at:	24	--	28	32	--

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	1	0	0	0	0
18	7	2	1	1	2
20	22	11	6	2	5
22	40	20	13	4	6
24	53	32	19	5	9
25	57	37	22	6	12
26	61	40	25	6	14
28	67	48	28	8	17
30	71	53	29	10	18
35	74	57	31	13	20
40	74	--	--	14	20
mean age: (at transition)	22	24	23	26	24
1st decile at age:	19	20	22	30	25
1st quartile at:	21	23	26	--	--
median at age:	24	29	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 4: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a cohabitation, competing-risks life-table method with direct marriage as a competing event / Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	1	1	1	1
18	2	5	4	5	12
20	16	12	23	17	26
22	30	19	31	29	35
24	38	24	39	40	42
25	40	25	42	44	44
26	43	26	44	46	48
28	46	27	46	50	51
30	48	28	48	52	53
35	50	29	--	53	54
40	--	30	--	--	54
mean age: (at transition)	22	22	21	22	21
1st decile at age:	20	20	19	19	18
1st quartile at:	22	25	21	21	20
median at age:	33	--	--	28	28
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	3	0
20	9	1
22	15	2
24	16	2
25	16	3
26	17	3
28	18	4
30	19	4
35	19	4
40	19	4
mean age: (at transition)	21	24
1st decile at age:	21	--
1st quartile at:	--	--
median at age:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--

Table 5: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a marriage, competing-risks life-table method with entry into cohabitation as a competing event / Men

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	1	
20	0	2	1	1	
22	1	7	3	4	
24	1	11	5	7	
25	1	13	5	8	
26	2	15	6	10	
28	3	17	7	12	
30	3	20	8	12	
35	3	23	9	13	
40	4	24	11	14	
mean age: (at transition)	27	25	26	24	
1st decile at age:	--	24	36	26	
1st quartile at:	--	--	--	--	
median at age:	--	--	--	--	
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	1	1	0	0	2
22	4	2	5	1	5
24	7	5	21	6	13
25	10	5	30	9	18
26	11	8	37	12	23
28	13	10	44	24	37
30	16	13	49	35	45
35	19	17	51	52	62
40	--	--	--	--	65
mean age: (at transition)	26	27	25	30	28
1st decile at age:	25	27	23	26	24
1st quartile at:	--	--	25	29	27
median at age:	--	--	32	34	31
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 5: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a marriage, competing-risks life-table method with entry into cohabitation as a competing event / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	0	0		0	0
20	2	3		0	6
22	9	11		3	17
24	19	25		14	28
25	26	32		18	32
26	29	37		22	38
28	32	44		31	42
30	34	48		37	44
35	35	51		40	--
40	--	52		--	--
mean age: (at transition)	24	25		26	23
1st decile at age:	23	22		24	21
1st quartile at:	25	24		27	24
median at age:	--	32		--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--		--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	1	
20	8	
22	23	
24	44	
25	51	
26	60	
28	67	
30	70	
35	74	
40	--	
mean age: (at transition)	24	
1st decile at age:	21	
1st quartile at:	23	
median at age:	25	
3rd quartile at:	36	

Table 5: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a marriage, competing-risks life-table method with entry into cohabitation as a competing event / Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	1	0	1	3
20	0	7	3	2	9
22	1	13	6	4	16
24	2	18	9	7	23
25	2	20	10	9	25
26	2	21	10	10	27
28	2	23	11	12	31
30	2	24	12	12	33
35	3	26	13	13	35
40	3	26	13	13	36
mean age: (at transition)	25	23	24	23	23
1st decile at age:	--	21	25	26	21
1st quartile at:	--	31	--	--	25
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	1	1	0	2
20	4	3	7	3	7
22	8	6	22	8	16
24	11	9	41	18	27
25	13	11	49	24	34
26	15	12	53	32	41
28	18	15	59	44	54
30	20	16	60	52	61
35	21	19	62	66	69
40	22	--	--	69	70
mean age: (at transition)	24	25	23	27	25
1st decile at age:	23	25	21	23	21
1st quartile at:	--	--	23	26	24
median at age:	--	--	26	29	28
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 5: Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a marriage, competing-risks life-table method with entry into cohabitation as a competing event / Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	4	2	1	2
20	11	22	14	7	18
22	26	40	26	20	27
24	35	53	35	30	32
25	38	57	40	35	33
26	39	59	42	38	36
28	41	61	43	41	38
30	42	62	45	42	39
35	43	63	--	44	40
40	--	64	--	--	41
mean age: (at transition)	22	22	22	23	22
1st decile at age:	20	19	20	21	19
1st quartile at:	22	21	22	23	22
median at age:	--	24	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	3	4
20	24	20
22	46	45
24	60	64
25	64	71
26	67	74
28	72	80
30	74	83
35	76	86
40	76	87
mean age: (at transition)	22	23
1st decile at age:	19	19
1st quartile at:	21	21
median at age:	23	23
3rd quartile at:	31	27

=> Table 6: Cumulative percent ever in a union / Men

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	3	1	3	3	
20	16	10	10	10	
22	41	30	25	25	
24	61	54	48	45	
25	68	63	54	54	
26	73	70	62	63	
28	81	80	72	73	
30	86	86	78	77	
35	91	93	84	85	
40	93	95	88	87	
mean age: (at transition)	23	24	25	24	
1st decile at age:	20	20	20	20	
1st quartile at:	21	22	22	22	
median at age:	23	24	25	25	
3rd quartile at:	27	27	29	29	

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	2	1	1	0	0
20	14	5	3	1	3
22	26	12	12	2	9
24	42	24	37	8	19
25	49	29	50	13	26
26	55	34	59	17	35
28	65	42	71	29	52
30	76	51	80	42	62
35	86	61	86	65	81
40	--	--	--	78	84
mean age: (at transition)	25	26	25	30	27
1st decile at age:	20	22	22	25	23
1st quartile at:	22	25	24	28	25
median at age:	26	30	25	31	28
3rd quartile at:	30	--	29	39	34

=> Table 6: Cumulative percent ever in a union / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	2	2		0	3
20	9	8		3	15
22	26	22		19	38
24	49	43		42	61
25	63	53		52	70
26	72	60		62	76
28	81	71		80	84
30	86	76		88	87
35	91	82		96	--
40	--	85		--	--
mean age: (at transition)	24	25		25	23
1st decile at age:	21	21		21	20
1st quartile at:	22	23		23	21
median at age:	25	25		25	23
3rd quartile at:	27	30		28	26

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	2	
20	11	
22	34	
24	60	
25	69	
26	78	
28	87	
30	91	
35	96	
40	--	
mean age: (at transition)	24	
1st decile at age:	20	
1st quartile at:	22	
median at age:	24	
3rd quartile at:	26	

=> Table 6: Cumulative percent ever in a union / Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	2	1	1	0	2
18	12	10	7	5	10
20	39	32	27	22	31
22	63	59	54	42	52
24	77	75	72	63	66
25	81	81	78	72	72
26	85	85	82	77	75
28	89	90	87	83	83
30	92	93	90	85	86
35	94	96	94	90	91
40	96	96	95	91	93
mean age: (at transition)	22	22	22	23	22
1st decile at age:	18	18	19	19	18
1st quartile at:	20	20	20	21	20
median at age:	21	22	22	23	22
3rd quartile at:	24	24	25	26	26

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	1	0	0	0	0
18	8	3	2	2	3
20	26	13	13	5	12
22	48	26	36	12	22
24	65	42	60	23	37
25	70	48	71	30	46
26	76	52	78	38	55
28	85	63	87	52	71
30	91	69	89	62	80
35	95	76	93	79	89
40	96	--	--	83	90
mean age: (at transition)	23	24	23	27	25
1st decile at age:	19	20	20	22	20
1st quartile at:	20	22	22	25	23
median at age:	23	26	24	28	26
3rd quartile at:	26	34	26	33	29

=> Table 6: Cumulative percent ever in a union / Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	1	1	1	1
18	3	10	6	6	14
20	27	34	37	24	43
22	55	59	57	49	62
24	74	77	74	70	74
25	78	82	82	79	78
26	82	85	86	84	85
28	87	88	90	92	89
30	90	90	93	94	92
35	93	92	--	97	94
40	--	94	--	--	95
mean age: (at transition)	22	22	21	22	21
1st decile at age:	19	18	19	19	18
1st quartile at:	20	20	20	21	19
median at age:	22	22	22	23	21
3rd quartile at:	25	24	25	25	25

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	6	4
20	33	22
22	60	47
24	76	66
25	80	73
26	85	77
28	90	84
30	93	87
35	95	90
40	96	91
mean age: (at transition)	22	23
1st decile at age:	19	19
1st quartile at:	20	21
median at age:	22	23
3rd quartile at:	24	26

Table 7: Cumulative percent ever married / Men

age	Sweden	Norway	Finland	France	USA
	(1978-93)	(1974-89)	(1983-92)	(1988-94)	(NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	1	
20	1	3	2	1	
22	2	11	6	5	
24	7	24	14	12	
25	11	31	19	16	
26	16	37	24	23	
28	24	48	39	34	
30	35	61	51	44	
35	55	74	64	56	
40	62	79	69	63	
mean age: (at transition)	29	27	28	28	
1st decile at age:	25	22	23	24	
1st quartile at:	29	25	27	27	
median at age:	33	29	30	33	
3rd quartile at:	--	36	--	--	

age	West				
	Austria	Germany	Flanders	Italy	Spain
	(1990-96)	(1986-92)	(1985-92)	(1990-95)	(1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	2	1	0	0	2
22	5	4	7	1	6
24	13	8	26	6	14
25	18	11	36	10	19
26	25	15	48	13	26
28	34	22	59	27	41
30	46	31	67	39	52
35	55	45	75	58	72
40	62	50	--	72	78
mean age: (at transition)	28	29	26	30	28
1st decile at age:	23	25	23	25	23
1st quartile at:	26	29	24	28	26
median at age:	32	39	27	33	30
3rd quartile at:	--	--	34	--	38

Table 7: Cumulative percent ever married / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	0	0		0	0
20	3	4		0	9
22	14	15		6	26
24	32	35		23	48
25	44	42		31	56
26	52	51		38	63
28	63	62		56	70
30	70	69		68	76
35	78	76		80	79
40	--	77		86	--
mean age: (at transition)	25	25		27	24
1st decile at age:	22	22		23	21
1st quartile at:	24	23		25	22
median at age:	26	26		27	25
3rd quartile at:	33	34		32	30

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	1	
20	9	
22	29	
24	54	
25	63	
26	73	
28	82	
30	88	
35	93	
40	--	
mean age: (at transition)	24	
1st decile at age:	21	
1st quartile at:	22	
median at age:	24	
3rd quartile at:	27	

Table 7: Cumulative percent ever married / Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	2	2	1	4
20	2	11	8	7	16
22	8	28	21	13	31
24	16	42	36	22	46
25	20	49	43	28	52
26	28	55	50	35	57
28	38	64	60	45	66
30	46	71	67	50	72
35	60	80	76	64	82
40	70	83	79	68	84
mean age: (at transition)	28	25	25	27	24
1st decile at age:	23	20	21	22	20
1st quartile at:	26	22	23	25	22
median at age:	31	26	26	30	25
3rd quartile at:	--	32	34	--	31

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	2	2	1	0	2
20	7	5	8	4	8
22	16	13	26	11	17
24	32	21	48	21	30
25	37	26	58	27	38
26	43	32	65	36	46
28	59	40	76	49	61
30	67	46	80	58	71
35	77	55	85	76	82
40	81	59	--	81	85
mean age: (at transition)	26	26	24	27	26
1st decile at age:	21	22	21	22	21
1st quartile at:	23	25	22	25	24
median at age:	27	32	25	29	27
3rd quartile at:	33	--	28	35	32

Table 7: Cumulative percent ever married / Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	5	3	1	5
20	15	26	22	11	29
22	38	48	44	31	49
24	58	67	62	50	61
25	63	74	71	58	65
26	67	78	76	64	70
28	74	82	82	74	75
30	77	84	86	79	79
35	81	88	--	83	83
40	--	89	--	85	84
mean age: (at transition)	23	22	23	24	22
1st decile at age:	20	19	20	20	19
1st quartile at:	21	20	21	22	20
median at age:	24	23	23	24	23
3rd quartile at:	29	26	26	29	28

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	5	4
20	29	21
22	55	46
24	72	65
25	77	72
26	81	76
28	87	83
30	91	85
35	93	89
40	94	90
mean age: (at transition)	22	23
1st decile at age:	19	19
1st quartile at:	20	21
median at age:	22	23
3rd quartile at:	25	26

Table 8: Cumulative percent ever parent (including adoptions) / Men

age	Sweden	Norway	Finland	France	USA
	(1978-93)	(1974-89)	(1983-92)	(1988-94)	(NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	1	3	1	1	
22	6	8	4	5	
24	15	19	9	9	
25	21	27	15	12	
26	26	34	19	17	
28	40	48	30	35	
30	54	61	45	50	
35	74	78	65	68	
40	79	83	73	76	
mean age: (at transition)	28	27	29	29	
1st decile at age:	23	23	25	25	
1st quartile at:	26	25	28	27	
median at age:	30	29	31	30	
3rd quartile at:	36	34	--	38	

age	West				
	Austria	Germany	Flanders	Italy	Spain
	(1990-96)	(1986-92)	(1985-92)	(1990-95)	(1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	1
20	1	1	1	0	2
22	6	3	3	0	4
24	11	6	9	3	9
25	16	7	14	4	12
26	22	10	21	8	16
28	33	16	40	15	26
30	45	26	55	26	41
35	61	42	73	51	66
40	72	47	78	62	74
mean age: (at transition)	29	29	28	31	29
1st decile at age:	24	26	25	27	25
1st quartile at:	27	30	27	30	28
median at age:	32	--	30	35	32
3rd quartile at:	--	--	38	--	--

Table 8: Cumulative percent ever parent (including adoptions) / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	2	0		0	0
20	4	4		1	6
22	16	9		5	17
24	32	25		26	39
25	41	34		37	50
26	50	41		47	57
28	64	55		63	70
30	72	63		77	77
35	83	75		89	80
40	--	79		93	82
mean age: (at transition)	26	26		27	25
1st decile at age:	22	23		23	21
1st quartile at:	24	24		24	23
median at age:	26	28		27	25
3rd quartile at:	31	35		30	30

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	0	
20	5	
22	15	
24	38	
25	46	
26	55	
28	69	
30	79	
35	86	
40	88	
mean age: (at transition)	25	
1st decile at age:	22	
1st quartile at:	24	
median at age:	26	
3rd quartile at:	29	

Table 8: Cumulative percent ever parent (including adoptions) / Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	2
18	1	3	3	2	8
20	6	12	8	6	21
22	15	27	18	13	33
24	29	40	29	26	43
25	36	47	36	33	47
26	43	53	42	40	51
28	57	66	57	53	59
30	68	75	67	64	67
35	83	85	78	79	78
40	87	88	82	83	81
mean age: (at transition)	26	25	26	27	24
1st decile at age:	21	20	21	22	19
1st quartile at:	24	22	24	24	21
median at age:	27	26	27	28	26
3rd quartile at:	32	30	33	34	34

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	1	0
18	3	0	0	3	2
20	12	3	2	4	7
22	24	9	7	8	14
24	40	17	19	14	22
25	47	21	28	19	27
26	56	28	37	25	32
28	71	39	56	37	46
30	79	49	69	51	61
35	88	62	79	74	81
40	91	64	80	79	86
mean age: (at transition)	25	27	26	28	27
1st decile at age:	20	23	23	23	21
1st quartile at:	23	26	25	26	25
median at age:	26	31	28	30	29
3rd quartile at:	29	--	33	37	33

Table 8: Cumulative percent ever parent (including adoptions) / Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	3	3	3	2	5
20	15	17	19	12	22
22	42	38	38	33	45
24	63	57	53	57	60
25	70	64	62	65	66
26	77	71	69	74	72
28	83	81	80	84	81
30	87	84	84	89	85
35	91	91	89	94	91
40	--	93	--	96	92
mean age: (at transition)	23	24	23	24	23
1st decile at age:	20	20	20	20	19
1st quartile at:	21	21	21	22	21
median at age:	23	24	24	24	23
3rd quartile at:	26	27	27	27	27

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	2	2
20	14	14
22	41	37
24	63	58
25	71	65
26	77	72
28	83	82
30	87	86
35	91	90
40	92	92
mean age: (at transition)	23	24
1st decile at age:	20	20
1st quartile at:	21	22
median at age:	23	24
3rd quartile at:	26	27

Table 9: Cumulative percent ever "parent and in a union" / Men

age	Sweden	Norway	Finland	France	USA
	(1978-93)	(1974-89)	(1983-92)	(1988-94)	(NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	1	2	1	1	
22	6	8	4	5	
24	15	18	9	9	
25	20	27	15	12	
26	26	34	19	18	
28	39	48	30	35	
30	53	61	45	50	
35	72	77	65	68	
40	77	82	72	76	
mean age: (at transition)	28	27	29	29	
1st decile at age:	23	23	25	25	
1st quartile at:	26	25	28	27	
median at age:	30	29	31	30	
3rd quartile at:	37	34	--	38	

age	West				
	Austria	Germany	Flanders	Italy	Spain
	(1990-96)	(1986-92)	(1985-92)	(1990-95)	(1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	1
20	1	1	0	0	2
22	4	2	3	0	3
24	9	5	9	3	8
25	15	7	14	4	11
26	21	9	21	8	16
28	31	16	40	15	26
30	43	26	55	26	40
35	60	41	73	51	66
40	70	46	78	61	73
mean age: (at transition)	29	29	28	31	30
1st decile at age:	25	27	25	27	25
1st quartile at:	27	30	27	30	28
median at age:	32	--	30	35	32
3rd quartile at:	--	--	38	--	--

Table 9: Cumulative percent ever "parent and in a union" / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	1	0		0	0
20	3	3		1	6
22	13	9		5	16
24	30	25		26	38
25	39	34		36	50
26	48	41		47	57
28	64	55		64	70
30	71	63		77	76
35	82	75		89	80
40	--	79		93	81
mean age: (at transition)	26	26		27	25
1st decile at age:	22	23		23	21
1st quartile at:	24	24		24	23
median at age:	27	28		27	25
3rd quartile at:	32	35		30	30

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	0	
20	4	
22	15	
24	37	
25	46	
26	55	
28	69	
30	79	
35	86	
40	88	
mean age: (at transition)	25	
1st decile at age:	22	
1st quartile at:	24	
median at age:	26	
3rd quartile at:	29	

Table 9: Cumulative percent ever "parent and in a union" / Women

age	Sweden	Norway	Finland	France	USA
	(1978-93)	(1974-89)	(1979-89)	(1988-94)	(1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	2	2	1	4
20	5	10	8	5	14
22	14	25	18	10	26
24	28	39	28	23	36
25	35	45	35	31	41
26	41	52	42	38	45
28	55	66	55	51	54
30	66	74	66	61	62
35	81	84	77	76	74
40	85	88	81	80	78
mean age: (at transition)	26	25	26	27	25
1st decile at age:	22	20	21	22	20
1st quartile at:	24	22	24	25	22
median at age:	28	26	28	28	27
3rd quartile at:	33	31	34	35	36

age	West				
	Austria	Germany	Flanders	Italy	Spain
	(1990-96)	(1986-92)	(1985-92)	(1990-95)	(1989-95)
16	0	0	0	1	0
18	1	0	0	2	2
20	9	2	2	4	6
22	21	8	7	7	14
24	37	16	19	14	22
25	43	19	27	19	26
26	52	26	37	25	32
28	68	37	56	37	45
30	76	47	68	50	61
35	85	59	79	73	81
40	89	62	80	79	85
mean age: (at transition)	25	27	27	28	27
1st decile at age:	21	23	23	23	21
1st quartile at:	23	26	25	26	25
median at age:	26	31	28	30	29
3rd quartile at:	30	--	33	37	33

Table 9: Cumulative percent ever "parent and in a union" / Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	3	2	2	4
20	12	16	18	11	19
22	35	36	36	32	42
24	57	54	51	54	58
25	65	62	59	64	63
26	72	70	66	73	69
28	79	80	76	83	78
30	83	83	81	88	82
35	88	90	86	92	88
40	--	92	--	94	89
mean age: (at transition)	23	24	24	24	23
1st decile at age:	20	20	20	20	19
1st quartile at:	22	21	21	22	21
median at age:	24	24	24	24	23
3rd quartile at:	27	27	28	27	28

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	2	2
20	14	12
22	39	32
24	62	53
25	68	60
26	75	67
28	81	76
30	85	80
35	90	84
40	90	87
mean age: (at transition)	23	24
1st decile at age:	20	20
1st quartile at:	21	22
median at age:	23	24
3rd quartile at:	26	28

Table 10: Cumulative percent ever "parent and married" / Men

age	Sweden	Norway	Finland	France	USA
	(1978-93)	(1974-89)	(1983-92)	(1988-94)	(NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	0	2	0	0	
22	1	6	2	3	
24	5	14	6	5	
25	7	21	10	8	
26	11	27	13	12	
28	17	39	22	25	
30	29	53	37	38	
35	51	70	58	54	
40	60	76	65	63	
mean age: (at transition)	30	28	29	29	
1st decile at age:	26	24	25	26	
1st quartile at:	30	26	29	28	
median at age:	35	30	33	34	
3rd quartile at:	--	38	--	--	
age	West				Spain
	Austria	Germany	Flanders	Italy	
	(1990-96)	(1986-92)	(1985-92)	(1990-95)	(1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	1	0	0	0	2
22	2	1	2	0	3
24	6	4	7	3	8
25	11	6	12	4	10
26	15	7	20	8	15
28	25	14	38	15	24
30	38	23	53	27	38
35	52	38	70	51	64
40	63	43	75	61	71
mean age: (at transition)	29	30	28	31	30
1st decile at age:	25	27	25	27	25
1st quartile at:	28	31	27	30	29
median at age:	34	--	30	35	32
3rd quartile at:	--	--	40	--	--

Table 10: Cumulative percent ever "parent and married" / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	0	0		0	0
20	1	3		0	5
22	9	7		3	15
24	24	23		18	36
25	32	32		25	47
26	41	38		32	54
28	55	51		49	65
30	64	61		63	72
35	75	72		77	75
40	--	76		84	76
mean age: (at transition)	26	27		28	25
1st decile at age:	23	23		24	21
1st quartile at:	25	25		25	23
median at age:	28	28		29	26
3rd quartile at:	35	39		34	33

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	0	
20	4	
22	14	
24	37	
25	46	
26	54	
28	68	
30	79	
35	86	
40	88	
mean age: (at transition)	25	
1st decile at age:	22	
1st quartile at:	24	
median at age:	26	
3rd quartile at:	29	

Table 10: Cumulative percent ever "parent and married" / Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	1	1	0	2
20	1	7	6	4	9
22	5	18	14	6	18
24	11	30	23	16	27
25	15	37	29	21	32
26	21	43	35	26	37
28	31	56	49	37	46
30	40	64	58	45	54
35	56	76	70	60	68
40	67	80	74	64	73
mean age: (at transition)	29	26	26	28	26
1st decile at age:	24	21	22	23	21
1st quartile at:	27	24	25	26	24
median at age:	32	27	29	32	29
3rd quartile at:	--	35	--	--	--

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	1	0
18	1	1	0	1	1
20	4	2	1	4	5
22	11	7	6	7	12
24	24	14	17	13	21
25	31	18	26	18	24
26	38	24	35	24	30
28	55	33	54	36	43
30	65	42	66	49	58
35	76	53	76	70	77
40	81	57	77	77	82
mean age: (at transition)	27	27	27	28	27
1st decile at age:	22	23	23	23	22
1st quartile at:	25	27	25	27	26
median at age:	28	33	28	31	30
3rd quartile at:	35	--	35	38	34

Table 10: Cumulative percent ever "parent and married" / Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	2	1	1	3
20	8	14	15	8	17
22	28	34	34	24	38
24	49	52	50	44	53
25	56	60	57	52	58
26	62	68	64	59	63
28	70	77	74	70	70
30	74	81	79	76	74
35	79	87	84	81	80
40	--	90	86	83	81
mean age: (at transition)	24	24	24	24	23
1st decile at age:	21	20	20	21	20
1st quartile at:	22	22	21	23	21
median at age:	25	24	24	25	24
3rd quartile at:	31	28	29	30	31

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	2	2
20	13	12
22	38	32
24	61	52
25	67	59
26	74	66
28	79	75
30	84	79
35	88	83
40	89	85
mean age: (at transition)	23	24
1st decile at age:	20	20
1st quartile at:	21	22
median at age:	23	24
3rd quartile at:	27	28

Table 11: Cumulative percent ever "parent and not in a union" / Men

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	0	1	0	1	
22	1	2	1	1	
24	2	4	2	2	
25	3	4	2	2	
26	4	5	3	2	
28	8	8	4	4	
30	10	10	6	6	
35	16	15	9	11	
40	22	20	14	15	
mean age: (at transition)	31	30	31	31	
1st decile at age:	30	30	36	34	
1st quartile at:	--	--	--	--	
median at age:	--	--	--	--	
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	1
20	1	0	1	0	1
22	3	1	1	0	2
24	5	1	1	0	2
25	6	2	1	0	2
26	7	2	2	1	3
28	9	3	3	1	3
30	11	4	3	1	3
35	14	9	7	2	5
40	17	13	11	4	6
mean age: (at transition)	28	32	32	32	29
1st decile at age:	29	36	38	--	--
1st quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 11: Cumulative percent ever "parent and not in a union" / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	1	0		0	0
20	3	0		0	1
22	8	1		1	3
24	12	3		3	6
25	16	3		4	8
26	18	4		5	10
28	20	4		6	12
30	23	7		8	15
35	29	10		10	21
40	--	14		12	24
mean age: (at transition)	27	30		28	28
1st decile at age:	24	35		33	26
1st quartile at:	32	--		--	--
median at age:	--	--		--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--		--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	0	
20	1	
22	1	
24	4	
25	5	
26	7	
28	8	
30	11	
35	15	
40	19	
mean age: (at transition)	29	
1st decile at age:	29	
1st quartile at:	--	
median at age:	--	
3rd quartile at:	--	

Table 11: Cumulative percent ever "parent and not in a union" / Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	1
18	0	1	1	1	6
20	2	4	2	2	12
22	4	7	3	4	19
24	7	10	5	6	24
25	8	11	6	8	26
26	10	12	7	8	28
28	12	14	9	11	31
30	15	16	12	14	33
35	21	21	17	20	39
40	27	27	22	25	44
mean age: (at transition)	29	28	29	29	25
1st decile at age:	26	24	29	28	20
1st quartile at:	38	39	--	40	25
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	0	0	1	1
20	5	1	1	1	2
22	9	2	1	1	3
24	13	4	2	1	4
25	15	5	2	1	4
26	17	6	3	1	5
28	21	9	4	2	6
30	25	12	6	3	7
35	31	17	10	6	10
40	35	21	15	8	12
mean age: (at transition)	27	29	32	30	28
1st decile at age:	23	29	35	--	35
1st quartile at:	30	--	--	--	--
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 11: Cumulative percent ever "parent and not in a union" / Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	2	1	1	1	2
20	8	3	4	3	6
22	18	5	9	6	12
24	24	8	13	10	18
25	27	9	16	10	22
26	29	10	17	11	25
28	34	13	21	13	30
30	38	16	23	15	34
35	45	22	29	17	44
40	--	26	35	20	49
mean age: (at transition)	25	28	27	26	27
1st decile at age:	21	26	23	24	22
1st quartile at:	25	39	32	--	26
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	0	1
20	2	4
22	4	7
24	8	9
25	10	10
26	12	11
28	15	13
30	17	14
35	24	18
40	29	21
mean age: (at transition)	28	27
1st decile at age:	25	25
1st quartile at:	36	--
median at age:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--

Table 12: Cumulative percent ever "married ever during a first union"
(including those who marry directly) / Men

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	1	
20	1	3	1	1	
22	2	11	5	5	
24	7	24	13	11	
25	10	30	17	15	
26	15	36	22	22	
28	21	46	35	31	
30	29	58	46	40	
35	43	71	58	47	
40	48	75	62	52	
mean age: (at transition)	29	27	28	28	
1st decile at age:	25	22	24	24	
1st quartile at:	29	25	27	27	
median at age:	--	29	32	38	
3rd quartile at:	--	40	--	--	

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	2	1	0	0	2
22	5	4	7	1	6
24	13	8	26	6	13
25	18	11	36	10	19
26	23	14	47	13	25
28	31	20	58	27	40
30	42	28	66	38	50
35	48	38	73	56	68
40	50	41	--	69	73
mean age: (at transition)	27	28	26	30	28
1st decile at age:	23	25	23	25	23
1st quartile at:	27	29	24	28	26
median at age:	37	--	27	33	30
3rd quartile at:	--	--	38	--	--

Table 12: Cumulative percent ever "married ever during a first union"
(including those who marry directly) / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	0	0		0	0
20	2	4		0	8
22	14	15		6	25
24	32	34		23	46
25	42	42		31	53
26	50	48		37	60
28	60	59		54	67
30	67	64		65	71
35	73	69		76	74
40	--	71		82	75
mean age: (at transition)	25	25		27	24
1st decile at age:	22	22		23	21
1st quartile at:	24	23		25	22
median at age:	26	27		28	25
3rd quartile at:	--	--		34	36

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	1	
20	9	
22	29	
24	54	
25	63	
26	73	
28	82	
30	88	
35	93	
40	94	
mean age: (at transition)	24	
1st decile at age:	21	
1st quartile at:	22	
median at age:	24	
3rd quartile at:	27	

Table 12: Cumulative percent ever "married ever during a first union"
(including those who marry directly) / Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	2	2	1	4
20	2	11	8	7	15
22	7	27	20	13	28
24	14	41	34	21	41
25	18	48	40	27	45
26	24	53	46	33	49
28	31	61	55	43	57
30	36	67	61	45	62
35	45	74	69	55	69
40	53	76	72	58	71
mean age: (at transition)	28	24	25	26	24
1st decile at age:	23	20	21	22	20
1st quartile at:	27	22	23	25	22
median at age:	36	26	27	33	27
3rd quartile at:	--	36	--	--	--

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	2	2	1	0	2
20	7	5	8	4	8
22	16	13	26	11	17
24	31	21	48	21	29
25	35	26	58	27	38
26	41	30	64	36	45
28	54	37	74	49	61
30	60	42	79	58	70
35	68	49	83	75	81
40	70	51	--	80	83
mean age: (at transition)	25	25	24	27	26
1st decile at age:	21	22	21	22	21
1st quartile at:	24	25	22	25	24
median at age:	28	36	25	29	27
3rd quartile at:	--	--	29	35	33

Table 12: Cumulative percent ever "married ever during a first union"
(including those who marry directly) / Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	5	3	1	5
20	14	26	22	11	29
22	37	48	43	31	48
24	56	66	60	49	58
25	61	72	69	58	62
26	64	76	72	63	67
28	70	80	79	73	72
30	73	81	83	77	76
35	76	84	85	82	78
40	--	86	86	82	80
mean age: (at transition)	23	22	23	24	22
1st decile at age:	20	19	20	20	19
1st quartile at:	21	20	21	22	20
median at age:	24	23	23	25	23
3rd quartile at:	31	26	27	29	30

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	5	4
20	29	21
22	55	46
24	72	65
25	77	72
26	81	76
28	87	83
30	90	85
35	93	88
40	93	90
mean age: (at transition)	22	23
1st decile at age:	19	19
1st quartile at:	20	21
median at age:	22	23
3rd quartile at:	25	26

Table 13: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever in a first union" / Men

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	1	2	1	0	
22	5	7	3	3	
24	13	16	8	7	
25	17	23	13	10	
26	21	29	16	14	
28	31	41	26	30	
30	42	53	39	43	
35	55	67	55	56	
40	57	70	61	62	
mean age: (at transition)	28	27	29	29	
1st decile at age:	24	23	25	25	
1st quartile at:	27	26	28	28	
median at age:	33	30	32	32	
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	1	0	0	1
22	2	2	2	0	3
24	6	4	8	3	7
25	11	6	13	4	10
26	16	8	21	7	15
28	24	14	39	14	24
30	33	21	53	25	38
35	44	34	69	49	61
40	50	37	73	58	69
mean age: (at transition)	29	29	28	31	30
1st decile at age:	25	27	25	28	25
1st quartile at:	29	31	27	30	29
median at age:	38	--	30	36	33
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 13: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever in a first union" / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	1	0		0	0
20	1	3		1	5
22	9	8		4	15
24	21	23		24	34
25	27	31		33	44
26	34	37		42	51
28	46	50		55	63
30	52	55		67	68
35	60	65		77	71
40	--	68		80	73
mean age: (at transition)	26	26		26	25
1st decile at age:	23	23		23	21
1st quartile at:	25	25		25	24
median at age:	30	28		28	26
3rd quartile at:	--	--		33	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	0	
20	4	
22	14	
24	35	
25	43	
26	52	
28	66	
30	76	
35	81	
40	83	
mean age: (at transition)	25	
1st decile at age:	22	
1st quartile at:	24	
median at age:	26	
3rd quartile at:	30	

Table 13: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever in a first union" /
Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	1	2	1	3
20	5	8	7	5	10
22	12	21	16	10	17
24	23	33	25	21	24
25	28	39	32	27	27
26	33	44	38	33	30
28	44	55	50	45	37
30	52	62	58	53	42
35	60	68	68	64	51
40	62	70	71	67	53
mean age: (at transition)	26	25	26	27	25
1st decile at age:	22	21	21	22	20
1st quartile at:	25	23	24	25	25
median at age:	30	27	28	30	34
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	1	0
18	1	0	0	2	1
20	7	2	2	3	6
22	16	7	7	7	12
24	30	14	18	13	20
25	36	17	26	18	24
26	43	23	36	24	29
28	55	32	54	35	43
30	61	40	66	48	57
35	67	49	74	68	75
40	69	50	75	74	79
mean age: (at transition)	25	27	26	28	27
1st decile at age:	21	23	23	23	22
1st quartile at:	24	27	25	27	26
median at age:	27	36	28	31	30
3rd quartile at:	--	--	39	--	35

Table 13: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever in a first union" /
Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	3	2	1	3
20	7	15	16	9	17
22	24	34	34	27	37
24	40	52	47	48	50
25	46	59	54	55	55
26	51	65	60	63	59
28	55	74	70	71	67
30	58	76	74	75	70
35	61	81	78	79	74
40	--	82	79	80	75
mean age: (at transition)	23	23	23	24	23
1st decile at age:	21	20	20	21	20
1st quartile at:	23	22	21	22	21
median at age:	26	24	25	25	24
3rd quartile at:	--	29	31	30	37

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	2	1
20	13	11
22	38	31
24	59	50
25	65	57
26	71	63
28	76	72
30	79	75
35	83	79
40	84	80
mean age: (at transition)	23	24
1st decile at age:	20	20
1st quartile at:	21	22
median at age:	23	24
3rd quartile at:	28	30

Table 14: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever in any union" / Men

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	1	2	1	0	
22	5	7	3	3	
24	14	16	9	8	
25	19	24	14	10	
26	25	31	17	16	
28	38	44	29	34	
30	51	56	43	49	
35	69	70	62	66	
40	73	74	68	74	
mean age: (at transition)	28	27	29	29	
1st decile at age:	24	23	25	25	
1st quartile at:	26	26	28	27	
median at age:	30	29	31	31	
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	1	1	0	0	1
22	3	2	2	0	3
24	7	4	8	3	8
25	12	6	14	4	10
26	17	8	21	7	15
28	26	14	40	14	25
30	38	24	55	26	39
35	53	39	72	50	64
40	63	43	77	60	72
mean age: (at transition)	29	30	28	31	30
1st decile at age:	25	27	25	28	25
1st quartile at:	28	31	27	30	28
median at age:	34	--	30	35	32
3rd quartile at:	--	--	40	--	--

Table 14: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever in any union" / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	1	0		0	0
20	1	3		1	5
22	9	8		4	15
24	22	23		24	36
25	28	32		33	47
26	35	39		43	54
28	48	52		58	68
30	55	61		70	74
35	66	73		81	78
40	--	76		85	79
mean age: (at transition)	26	27		27	25
1st decile at age:	23	23		23	21
1st quartile at:	25	25		25	23
median at age:	29	28		27	26
3rd quartile at:	--	37		31	32

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	0	
20	4	
22	14	
24	35	
25	44	
26	52	
28	66	
30	76	
35	82	
40	84	
mean age: (at transition)	25	
1st decile at age:	22	
1st quartile at:	24	
median at age:	26	
3rd quartile at:	30	

Table 14: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever in any union" / Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	1	2	1	3
20	5	8	7	5	10
22	13	21	16	10	18
24	27	34	26	22	27
25	33	40	33	29	30
26	39	46	40	35	34
28	53	58	53	48	42
30	63	65	63	58	50
35	76	74	73	71	61
40	79	76	77	75	65
mean age: (at transition)	26	25	26	27	26
1st decile at age:	22	21	21	22	20
1st quartile at:	24	23	24	25	24
median at age:	28	27	28	29	30
3rd quartile at:	35	37	36	38	--

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	1	0
18	1	0	0	2	1
20	7	2	2	3	6
22	16	7	7	7	13
24	31	15	18	13	21
25	37	18	27	18	24
26	45	25	36	24	30
28	58	34	55	36	43
30	65	44	67	48	58
35	73	55	77	70	77
40	76	57	78	75	81
mean age: (at transition)	25	27	27	28	27
1st decile at age:	21	23	23	23	21
1st quartile at:	24	26	25	27	26
median at age:	27	32	28	31	30
3rd quartile at:	37	--	34	39	34

Table 14: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever in any union" / Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	3	2	1	3
20	7	15	16	9	17
22	25	35	34	27	38
24	42	53	48	48	53
25	47	60	55	55	58
26	53	67	62	63	62
28	57	76	72	72	71
30	61	80	77	77	75
35	64	86	81	81	79
40	--	87	83	82	80
mean age: (at transition)	23	24	24	24	23
1st decile at age:	21	20	20	21	19
1st quartile at:	22	21	21	22	21
median at age:	26	24	25	25	24
3rd quartile at:	--	28	29	29	30

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	2	1
20	13	11
22	38	31
24	60	51
25	66	57
26	72	63
28	77	72
30	81	76
35	85	79
40	86	81
mean age: (at transition)	23	24
1st decile at age:	20	20
1st quartile at:	21	22
median at age:	23	24
3rd quartile at:	27	30

Table 15: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever in any marriage" / Men

age	Sweden	Norway	Finland	France	USA
	(1978-93)	(1974-89)	(1983-92)	(1988-94)	(NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	0	1	0	0	
22	1	5	2	2	
24	3	10	5	4	
25	4	16	9	6	
26	5	20	10	9	
28	9	29	18	22	
30	14	42	30	32	
35	23	57	47	43	
40	26	62	53	50	
mean age: (at transition)	30	28	29	29	
1st decile at age:	29	24	26	27	
1st quartile at:	37	28	29	29	
median at age:	--	33	37	38	
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	

age	West				
	Austria	Germany	Flanders	Italy	Spain
	(1990-96)	(1986-92)	(1985-92)	(1990-95)	(1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	1
22	1	1	1	0	2
24	3	3	7	2	6
25	7	5	12	4	9
26	10	6	18	7	13
28	17	12	37	14	22
30	26	21	51	25	37
35	37	35	68	50	61
40	43	38	71	58	68
mean age: (at transition)	29	30	28	31	30
1st decile at age:	26	28	25	28	26
1st quartile at:	30	31	27	30	29
median at age:	--	--	30	35	33
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 15: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever in any marriage" / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	0	0		0	0
20	0	2		0	5
22	5	6		2	14
24	15	21		16	33
25	20	29		20	43
26	25	36		27	50
28	36	48		39	62
30	42	57		50	67
35	51	68		61	70
40	--	72		66	71
mean age: (at transition)	27	27		27	25
1st decile at age:	24	23		24	21
1st quartile at:	26	25		26	24
median at age:	35	29		30	26
3rd quartile at:	--	--		--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	0	
20	4	
22	14	
24	34	
25	43	
26	51	
28	65	
30	74	
35	81	
40	82	
mean age: (at transition)	25	
1st decile at age:	22	
1st quartile at:	24	
median at age:	26	
3rd quartile at:	31	

Table 15: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever in any marriage" /
Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	1	1	0	2
20	1	5	4	2	6
22	2	14	11	3	12
24	5	24	19	12	19
25	7	29	25	17	22
26	9	35	30	22	26
28	15	45	42	31	34
30	20	52	51	39	41
35	26	60	60	47	53
40	28	63	64	51	57
mean age: (at transition)	28	26	26	27	27
1st decile at age:	27	22	22	24	22
1st quartile at:	33	25	25	27	26
median at age:	--	30	30	38	34
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	1	0
18	1	0	0	1	1
20	3	2	1	3	4
22	8	6	6	6	11
24	18	12	16	12	19
25	23	15	25	17	22
26	29	21	34	23	27
28	39	29	53	34	40
30	45	37	64	47	55
35	52	46	73	66	73
40	54	48	74	72	78
mean age: (at transition)	26	27	27	28	28
1st decile at age:	23	24	23	24	22
1st quartile at:	26	27	25	27	26
median at age:	33	--	28	31	30
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	36

Table 15: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever in any marriage" /
Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	2	1	1	2
20	4	13	14	5	15
22	17	32	31	18	33
24	30	49	43	35	47
25	35	57	51	41	51
26	39	64	57	46	54
28	43	72	66	53	61
30	45	76	71	57	64
35	47	81	75	60	68
40	--	83	76	62	69
mean age: (at transition)	23	24	24	24	23
1st decile at age:	21	20	20	21	20
1st quartile at:	23	22	22	23	21
median at age:	--	25	25	27	25
3rd quartile at:	--	30	35	--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	2	1
20	12	11
22	37	31
24	58	50
25	65	56
26	70	62
28	76	71
30	79	74
35	83	78
40	84	79
mean age: (at transition)	23	24
1st decile at age:	20	20
1st quartile at:	22	22
median at age:	24	24
3rd quartile at:	28	31

Table 16: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever out of a union" / Men

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (NA)
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	0	1	0	1	
22	1	2	1	1	
24	1	3	1	1	
25	1	3	1	1	
26	2	3	1	1	
28	2	4	1	2	
30	2	4	2	2	
35	3	5	2	2	
40	3	6	2	2	
mean age: (at transition)	26	25	27	23	
1st decile at age:	--	--	--	--	
1st quartile at:	--	--	--	--	
median at age:	--	--	--	--	
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	1
20	1	0	1	0	1
22	3	1	1	0	1
24	4	1	1	0	1
25	5	1	1	0	2
26	5	2	1	0	2
28	6	2	1	0	2
30	7	2	1	0	2
35	7	2	1	1	2
40	7	3	1	1	2
mean age: (at transition)	24	26	22	29	21
1st decile at age:	--	--	--	--	--
1st quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 16: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever out of a union" / Men

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (NA)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0		0	0
18	1	0		0	0
20	2	0		0	1
22	7	1		1	1
24	10	2		2	3
25	12	2		3	3
26	14	2		4	3
28	15	2		5	3
30	16	2		5	3
35	17	2		5	3
40	--	2		5	3
mean age: (at transition)	23	22		24	23
1st decile at age:	24	--		--	--
1st quartile at:	--	--		--	--
median at age:	--	--		--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--		--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (NA)
16	0	
18	0	
20	1	
22	1	
24	2	
25	3	
26	3	
28	3	
30	3	
35	3	
40	3	
mean age: (at transition)	22	
1st decile at age:	--	
1st quartile at:	--	
median at age:	--	
3rd quartile at:	--	

Table 16: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever out of a union" /
Women

age	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	1
18	0	1	0	1	5
20	1	4	2	2	11
22	2	5	2	3	14
24	3	6	3	4	17
25	3	6	3	4	17
26	3	7	3	4	18
28	4	7	3	5	18
30	4	7	4	5	19
35	4	8	4	6	19
40	5	8	4	6	20
mean age: (at transition)	25	22	24	23	21
1st decile at age:	--	--	--	--	20
1st quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	0	0	1	1
20	5	1	0	1	1
22	8	2	0	1	2
24	9	2	1	1	2
25	11	3	1	1	2
26	11	3	1	1	2
28	13	4	1	1	3
30	13	4	1	1	3
35	14	5	1	3	3
40	14	5	1	3	3
mean age: (at transition)	22	25	23	26	23
1st decile at age:	25	--	--	--	--
1st quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 16: Cumulative percent ever "having a first birth ever out of a union" /
Women

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	2	1	1	1	2
20	8	2	3	3	5
22	16	3	4	6	7
24	20	4	5	8	8
25	21	4	6	8	9
26	22	4	6	8	10
28	23	4	7	9	11
30	24	4	7	9	11
35	25	5	7	10	12
40	--	5	7	10	12
mean age: (at transition)	22	22	21	23	22
1st decile at age:	21	--	--	32	26
1st quartile at:	33	--	--	--	--
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
16	0	0
18	0	1
20	2	3
22	3	6
24	4	8
25	5	8
26	5	9
28	6	10
30	6	10
35	6	11
40	6	11
mean age: (at transition)	23	23
1st decile at age:	--	28
1st quartile at:	--	--
median at age:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--

Table 17: Cumulative percent parents, by time since formation of a union by a childless couple, single-decrement life-table method with censoring at union dissolution

duration in years	Sweden (1985-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	8	14	11	8	14
2	23	31	26	24	30
3	38	46	36	39	41
4	51	57	48	51	52
5	61	66	56	62	62
7	75	79	69	75	74
10	86	86	79	86	82
15	91	88	85	93	86

mean dur: 4 4 4 4 4
(at first childbirth / conditional on childbirth and disregarding union disruption)

1st decile at dur:	2	1	1	2	1
1st quartile at:	3	2	2	3	2
median at dur:	4	4	5	4	4
3rd quartile at:	7	7	9	7	8

duration in years	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	16	14	7	19	21
2	32	26	25	44	43
3	47	36	44	61	59
4	57	46	58	71	70
5	66	54	68	79	79
7	79	66	81	87	86
10	86	75	89	91	91
15	90	81	91	94	94

mean dur: 4 4 4 3 3
(at first childbirth / conditional on childbirth and disregarding union disruption)

1st decile at dur:	1	1	2	1	1
1st quartile at:	2	2	2	2	2
median at dur:	4	5	4	3	3
3rd quartile at:	7	10	6	5	5

Table 17: Cumulative percent parents, by time since formation of a union by a childless couple, single-decrement life-table method with censoring at union dissolution

duration in years	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	31	28	34	46	33
2	57	56	54	69	61
3	71	72	67	80	75
4	79	81	77	85	81
5	84	86	83	88	86
7	90	90	89	92	88
10	93	93	--	94	89
15	94	95	--	96	89

mean dur: 2 2 -- 2 2
(at first childbirth / conditional on childbirth and disregarding union disruption)

1st decile at dur:	1	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	1	1	1	1	1
median at dur:	2	2	2	2	2
3rd quartile at:	4	4	4	3	3

duration in years	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	37	49
2	68	81
3	81	88
4	85	90
5	88	93
7	91	94
10	92	95
15	94	96

mean dur: 2 1
(at first childbirth / conditional on childbirth and disregarding union disruption)

1st decile at dur:	1	1
1st quartile at:	1	1
median at dur:	2	2
3rd quartile at:	3	2

Table 18: Cumulative percent separated, by time since formation of a childless union, single-decrement life-table method with censoring at childbirth

duration in years	Sweden (1985-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	9	7	6	7	18
2	19	15	12	15	28
3	29	23	19	20	36
4	36	30	25	28	39
5	42	33	30	34	44
7	52	40	37	42	49
10	60	46	43	53	55
15	72	50	49	62	62

mean dur: 5 5 5 5 4
(at union disruption / conditional on disruption and disregarding childbearing)

1st decile at dur:	2	2	2	2	1
1st quartile at:	3	4	4	4	2
median at dur:	7	15	--	9	8
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

duration in years	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	5	2	4	7
2	14	13	5	6	10
3	22	22	7	9	12
4	27	28	9	10	15
5	31	33	13	13	19
7	42	42	19	16	25
10	49	48	25	19	31
15	57	54	35	27	33

mean dur: 5 5 7 6 5
(at union disruption / conditional on disruption and disregarding childbearing)

1st decile at dur:	2	2	5	4	2
1st quartile at:	4	4	10	15	7
median at dur:	11	11	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 18: Cumulative percent separated, by time since formation of a childless union, single-decrement life-table method with censoring at childbirth

duration in years	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	5	5	4	3	10
2	12	13	10	7	22
3	20	20	16	15	30
4	25	26	23	18	43
5	29	28	26	21	54
7	37	36	26	24	58
10	39	48	--	26	63
15	47	54	--	30	68

mean dur: 5 5 -- 5 4
(at union disruption / conditional on disruption and disregarding childbearing)

1st decile at dur: 2 2 2 3 1
1st quartile at: 4 4 5 8 3
median at dur: -- 12 -- -- 5
3rd quartile at: -- -- -- -- --

duration in years	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	3	1
2	6	3
3	11	3
4	17	6
5	27	8
7	32	8
10	36	8
15	43	19

mean dur: 5 9
(at union disruption / conditional on disruption and disregarding childbearing)

1st decile at dur: 3 11
1st quartile at: 5 --
median at dur: -- --
3rd quartile at: -- --

Table 19: Cumulative percent married, by time since formation of a consensual union, single-decrement life-table method with censoring at union dissolution

duration in years	Sweden (1985-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	6	10	15	10	27
2	14	23	29	25	47
3	23	38	41	35	59
4	32	46	49	41	66
5	40	51	57	45	71
7	53	63	65	54	80
10	67	70	72	57	84
15	78	--	76	70	91

mean dur: 6 -- 4 5 3
(at marriage / conditional on marriage and disregarding union disruption)

1st decile at dur: 2 1 1 1 1
 1st quartile at: 4 3 2 2 1
 median at dur: 7 5 5 7 3
 3rd quartile at: 13 13 13 -- 6

duration in years	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	9	14	16	16	10
2	23	30	32	30	22
3	36	41	44	39	32
4	44	49	52	47	38
5	51	56	59	57	44
7	63	63	68	70	51
10	75	69	77	79	61
15	81	--	--	--	--

mean dur: 5 4 -- -- --
(at marriage / conditional on marriage and disregarding union disruption)

1st decile at dur: 2 1 1 1 1
 1st quartile at: 3 2 2 2 3
 median at dur: 5 5 4 5 7
 3rd quartile at: 10 13 9 8 --

Table 19: Cumulative percent married, by time since formation of a consensual union, single-decrement life-table method with censoring at union dissolution

duration in years	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	25	25	24	21	30
2	45	40	51	37	44
3	56	49	60	47	52
4	63	53	65	52	57
5	69	58	67	56	59
7	74	63	75	65	59
10	80	67	--	72	63
15	--	--	--	73	--

mean dur: 3 -- -- 3 --
(at marriage / conditional on marriage and disregarding union disruption)

1st decile at dur:	1	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	1	1	2	2	1
median at dur:	3	4	2	4	3
3rd quartile at:	8	--	7	--	--

duration in years	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	48	24
2	59	37
3	65	43
4	70	45
5	73	47
7	77	--
10	77	--
15	--	--

mean dur: -- --
(at marriage / conditional on marriage and disregarding union disruption)

1st decile at dur:	1	1
1st quartile at:	1	2
median at dur:	2	6
3rd quartile at:	6	--

Table 20: Cumulative percent separated, by time since formation of a consensual union, single-decrement life-table method with censoring at marriage formation

duration in years	Sweden (1985-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	8	8	6	8	28
2	19	17	14	16	46
3	28	27	22	23	57
4	36	34	32	31	64
5	41	38	37	37	69
7	50	47	46	48	76
10	56	55	53	56	85
15	64	--	60	66	91
mean dur:	5	--	5	5	3
(at union disruption / conditional on disruption and disregarding marriage formation)					
1st decile at dur:	2	2	2	2	1
1st quartile at:	3	3	4	4	1
median at dur:	7	9	9	8	3
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	7

duration in years	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	6	4	19	22
2	14	17	10	26	32
3	23	30	16	35	37
4	29	37	20	39	43
5	33	44	27	42	49
7	44	54	36	46	57
10	55	61	40	51	64
15	66	--	--	--	--
mean dur:	6	4	--	--	--
(at union disruption / conditional on disruption and disregarding marriage formation)					
1st decile at dur:	2	2	2	1	1
1st quartile at:	4	3	5	2	2
median at dur:	8	7	--	10	6
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 20: Cumulative percent separated, by time since formation of a consensual union, single-decrement life-table method with censoring at marriage formation

duration in years	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	9	11	8	4	15
2	19	26	15	8	30
3	29	35	25	15	37
4	40	45	30	18	48
5	42	50	37	22	56
7	50	56	40	24	67
10	50	68	--	28	76
15	--	--	--	35	--

mean dur: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption and disregarding marriage formation)	4	--	--	5	--
1st decile at dur:	2	1	2	3	1
1st quartile at:	3	2	3	8	2
median at dur:	7	5	--	--	5
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	10

duration in years	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	11	2
2	21	7
3	33	7
4	42	7
5	52	7
7	64	--
10	68	--
15	--	--

mean dur: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption and disregarding marriage formation)	--	--
1st decile at dur:	1	--
1st quartile at:	3	--
median at dur:	5	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--

Table 21: Cumulative percent married, by time since formation of a consensual union, competing-risks life-table method with union dissolution as a competing event

duration in years	Sweden (1985-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	6	10	15	10	23
2	13	21	27	22	36
3	20	32	37	31	42
4	26	38	43	35	45
5	31	42	48	38	46
7	38	48	53	43	49
10	44	52	56	45	50
15	49	--	58	50	50

mean dur: (at marriage / conditional on marriage)	5	--	3	4	2
1st decile at dur:	2	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	4	3	2	3	2
median at dur:	--	8	6	14	10
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

duration in years	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	9	14	16	14	9
2	21	28	30	26	18
3	33	36	41	32	24
4	38	42	47	37	28
5	43	46	53	43	31
7	50	50	59	50	34
10	56	52	65	55	38
15	59	--	--	--	--

mean dur: (at marriage / conditional on marriage)	4	3	--	--	--
1st decile at dur:	2	1	1	1	2
1st quartile at:	3	2	2	2	4
median at dur:	7	7	5	7	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 21: Cumulative percent married, by time since formation of a consensual union, competing-risks life-table method with union dissolution as a competing event

duration in years	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	24	24	24	20	28
2	41	36	47	36	39
3	49	42	54	45	44
4	54	44	58	49	47
5	58	47	59	52	48
7	60	50	64	59	48
10	63	51	--	64	49
15	--	--	--	65	--
mean dur: (at marriage / conditional on marriage)	2	--	--	3	--
1st decile at dur:	1	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	2	2	2	2	1
median at dur:	4	7	3	5	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

duration in years	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	45	24
2	55	36
3	59	41
4	62	43
5	64	46
7	66	--
10	66	--
15	--	--
mean dur: (at marriage / conditional on marriage)	--	--
1st decile at dur:	1	1
1st quartile at:	1	2
median at dur:	2	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--

Table 22: Cumulative percent separated, by time since formation of a consensual union, competing-risks life-table method with marriage formation as a competing event

duration in years	Sweden (1985-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	8	8	6	8	24
2	17	15	12	15	35
3	25	22	17	19	41
4	31	26	22	24	43
5	34	28	25	28	45
7	39	32	28	33	46
10	41	35	30	36	48
15	43	--	32	40	49

mean dur: 3 -- 4 4 2
(at union disruption / conditional on disruption)

1st decile at dur: 2 2 2 2 1
1st quartile at: 3 4 5 5 2
median at dur: -- -- -- -- --
3rd quartile at: -- -- -- -- --

duration in years	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	6	4	18	21
2	12	14	8	23	29
3	18	22	12	29	33
4	22	27	14	31	37
5	24	29	17	32	40
7	29	34	21	34	44
10	33	36	22	35	48
15	35	--	--	--	--

mean dur: 4 3 -- -- --
(at union disruption / conditional on disruption)

1st decile at dur: 2 2 3 1 1
1st quartile at: 6 4 -- 3 2
median at dur: -- -- -- -- --
3rd quartile at: -- -- -- -- --

Table 22: Cumulative percent separated, by time since formation of a consensual union, competing-risks life-table method with marriage formation as a competing event

duration in years	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	8	10	7	3	12
2	14	20	11	6	22
3	19	25	16	10	26
4	24	30	18	12	31
5	25	32	20	14	34
7	27	34	21	15	38
10	27	38	--	16	42
15	--	--	--	18	--
mean dur: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	3	--	--	4	--
1st decile at dur:	2	1	2	3	1
1st quartile at:	5	3	--	--	3
median at dur:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

duration in years	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	8	2
2	13	5
3	17	5
4	20	5
5	23	5
7	26	--
10	27	--
15	--	--
mean dur: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	--	--
1st decile at dur:	2	--
1st quartile at:	6	--
median at dur:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--

=> Table 23: Cumulative percent no longer in a consensual union, by time since union formation

Duration in years	Sweden (1985-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	14	18	21	17	48
2	30	36	39	37	71
3	45	54	54	50	82
4	57	65	65	60	88
5	65	70	73	65	91
7	77	80	81	76	95
10	86	87	87	81	98
15	92	--	90	90	99
mean dur: (at exit /conditional on exit)	4	--	3	4	2
1st decile at dur:	1	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	2	2	2	2	1
median at dur:	4	3	3	3	2
3rd quartile at:	7	6	6	7	3
mean dur: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	5	--	4	5	2

duration in years	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	13	19	20	32	30
2	34	42	39	48	47
3	51	59	53	61	57
4	60	68	61	68	65
5	67	75	70	75	72
7	79	83	80	84	79
10	89	88	87	90	86
15	94	--	--	--	--
mean dur: (at exit /conditional on exit)	4	3	--	--	--
1st decile at dur:	1	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	2	2	2	1	1
median at dur:	3	3	3	3	3
3rd quartile at:	7	5	6	5	6
mean dur: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	4	4	--	--	--

=> Table 23: Cumulative percent no longer in a consensual union, by time since union formation

duration in years	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	32	34	30	24	40
2	56	55	59	42	61
3	68	67	70	56	70
4	78	74	76	61	77
5	82	79	79	66	82
7	87	84	85	73	86
10	90	90	--	80	91
15	--	--	--	83	--
mean dur: (at exit /conditional on exit)	2	--	--	3	--
1st decile at dur:	1	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	1	1	1	2	1
median at dur:	2	2	2	3	2
3rd quartile at:	4	5	4	8	4
mean dur: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	3	--	--	5	--

duration in years	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	53	26
2	68	41
3	77	47
4	82	49
5	87	51
7	92	--
10	93	--
15	--	--
mean dur: (at exit /conditional on exit)	--	--
1st decile at dur:	1	1
1st quartile at:	1	1
median at dur:	1	5
3rd quartile at:	3	--
mean dur: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	--	--

Table 24: Cumulative percent separated, by time since union formation, for unions begun as a cohabitation (without censoring at marriage formation)

duration in years	Sweden (1985-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	8	8	6	8	25
2	18	16	12	15	38
3	26	22	18	20	46
4	32	27	24	25	51
5	37	30	27	29	55
7	43	34	32	36	60
10	49	39	36	42	66
15	55	45	42	48	72
20	59	50	44	54	76
mean dur: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	5	6	5	6	4
1st decile at dur:	2	2	2	2	1
1st quartile at:	3	4	5	4	1
median at dur:	11	20	--	16	4
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	18
mean dur: (of all unions / truncated after 20 years)	11	13	14	13	8

duration in years	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	5	4	18	21
2	12	15	9	23	29
3	19	23	13	29	33
4	24	28	16	31	39
5	27	32	21	33	42
7	33	38	25	36	47
10	40	44	28	38	53
15	45	51	38	43	55
20	51	55	--	49	58
mean dur: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	6	6	--	5	4
1st decile at dur:	2	2	3	1	1
1st quartile at:	5	4	7	3	2
median at dur:	20	14	--	--	8
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean dur: (of all unions / truncated after 20 years)	13	12	--	13	11

Table 24: Cumulative percent separated, by time since union formation, for unions begun as a cohabitation (without censoring at marriage formation)

duration in years	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	8	10	7	3	13
2	15	20	13	6	24
3	21	26	19	11	29
4	27	32	23	13	37
5	30	35	26	14	42
7	37	40	29	16	47
10	41	46	32	20	53
15	49	53	39	22	57
20	--	58	45	25	59
mean dur: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	--	6	6	6	4
1st decile at dur:	2	1	2	3	1
1st quartile at:	4	3	5	20	3
median at dur:	16	14	--	--	9
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean dur: (of all unions / truncated after 20 years)	--	12	14	17	11

duration in years	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	8	5
2	15	10
3	20	12
4	25	12
5	32	15
7	41	15
10	44	17
15	55	21
20	58	30
mean dur: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	6	9
1st decile at dur:	2	2
1st quartile at:	4	20
median at dur:	13	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean dur: (of all unions / truncated after 20 years)	12	17

Table 25: Cumulative percent separated, by time since union formation, for unions begun as a marriage

duration in years	Sweden (1985-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	3	0	1	1	5
2	5	2	3	2	10
3	5	5	5	3	14
4	5	9	8	5	17
5	5	10	10	7	20
7	8	13	12	8	25
10	14	17	17	11	31
15	20	23	21	16	38
20	24	28	25	19	44
mean dur: (at union disruption /conditional on disruption)	9	9	8	9	7
1st decile at dur:	8	5	5	9	2
1st quartile at:	--	17	20	--	7
median at dur:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean dur: (of all unions / truncated after 20 years)	17	17	17	18	14

duration in years	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	0	1	0	0
2	4	4	1	1	1
3	7	7	2	2	1
4	10	10	4	2	2
5	12	13	4	3	3
7	16	16	7	4	4
10	21	19	10	5	5
15	26	24	15	8	7
20	29	30	22	9	8
mean dur: (at union disruption /conditional on disruption)	7	8	11	9	10
1st decile at dur:	4	4	10	--	--
1st quartile at:	14	16	--	--	--
median at dur:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean dur: (of all unions / truncated after 20 years)	16	16	18	19	19

Table 25: Cumulative percent separated, by time since union formation, for unions begun as a marriage

duration in years	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	1	1	2
2	3	4	3	1	6
3	5	6	6	1	10
4	7	7	7	2	15
5	10	9	10	2	20
7	13	12	14	3	24
10	19	16	18	5	29
15	24	20	26	7	35
20	--	24	31	9	38
mean dur: (at union disruption /conditional on disruption)	--	8	9	9	7
1st decile at dur:	5	6	5	--	3
1st quartile at:	16	--	13	--	8
median at dur:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean dur: (of all unions / truncated after 20 years)	--	17	16	19	15

duration in years	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	1	1
2	2	2
3	3	2
4	6	4
5	9	4
7	11	5
10	15	6
15	19	8
20	23	11
mean dur: (at union disruption /conditional on disruption)	8	9
1st decile at dur:	6	18
1st quartile at:	--	--
median at dur:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean dur: (of all unions / truncated after 20 years)	17	19

=> Table 26: Cumulative percent separated, by time since union formation, all unions

duration in years	Sweden (1985-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	8	7	5	7	19
2	17	14	11	13	30
3	26	20	16	18	36
4	32	24	21	22	41
5	36	27	25	26	44
7	42	31	29	31	49
10	48	35	34	36	55
15	54	40	38	41	61
20	58	45	41	45	65
mean dur: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	5	6	6	6	5
1st decile at dur:	2	2	2	2	1
1st quartile at:	3	5	5	5	2
median at dur:	12	--	--	--	8
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean dur: (of all unions / truncated after 20 years)	12	14	14	14	10

duration in years	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	4	2	4	6
2	11	12	4	5	9
3	17	19	6	7	10
4	21	24	8	8	12
5	23	27	10	9	14
7	29	32	13	10	16
10	35	37	16	12	18
15	40	43	21	14	20
20	44	47	27	16	21
mean dur: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	6	6	9	6	5
1st decile at dur:	2	2	5	6	3
1st quartile at:	6	5	19	--	--
median at dur:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean dur: (of all unions / truncated after 20 years)	14	13	17	18	17

=> Table 26: Cumulative percent separated, by time since union formation, all unions

duration in years	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	5	5	5	2	9
2	10	10	9	4	16
3	14	14	13	7	21
4	18	16	16	8	28
5	21	19	19	9	33
7	26	22	23	11	37
10	31	26	26	13	42
15	37	30	33	15	47
20	--	34	38	17	50
mean dur: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	8	6	7	6	5
1st decile at dur:	2	2	3	6	2
1st quartile at:	7	9	8	--	4
median at dur:	19	--	--	--	20
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean dur: (of all unions / truncated after 20 years)	14	15	15	18	13

duration in years	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	3	1
2	5	3
3	8	3
4	11	4
5	14	5
7	18	6
10	21	7
15	26	9
20	30	12
mean dur: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	7	9
1st decile at dur:	4	16
1st quartile at:	14	--
median at dur:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean dur: (of all unions / truncated after 20 years)	16	19

Table 27: Cumulative percent separated, by time since marriage formation, all marriages

duration in years	Sweden (1985-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	1	1	1	5
2	5	2	2	2	10
3	7	5	4	4	15
4	9	8	7	6	19
5	11	9	10	8	23
7	15	12	12	11	29
10	21	17	18	15	35
15	28	22	21	20	42
20	32	28	26	24	49

mean dur: 8 9 8 9 7
(of marriage, at union disruption / conditional on disruption)

1st decile at dur: 5 6 5 7 2
1st quartile at: 13 17 18 -- 6
median at dur: -- -- -- -- --
3rd quartile at: -- -- -- -- --

mean dur: 16 17 17 17 14
(of all marriages / truncated after 20 years)

duration in years	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	0	0
2	3	4	2	1	1
3	5	7	3	2	2
4	8	10	4	2	2
5	11	12	5	3	4
7	14	17	7	4	5
10	19	21	11	5	6
15	25	27	16	8	8
20	30	33	23	10	9

mean dur: 8 8 11 9 9
(of marriage, at union disruption / conditional on disruption)

1st decile at dur: 5 4 9 19 --
1st quartile at: 14 13 -- -- --
median at dur: -- -- -- -- --
3rd quartile at: -- -- -- -- --

mean dur: 16 16 18 19 19
(of all marriages / truncated after 20 years)

Table 27: Cumulative percent separated, by time since marriage formation, all marriages

duration in years	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	2	1	3
2	3	4	4	1	8
3	5	6	8	2	12
4	8	7	10	2	17
5	11	9	12	2	21
7	16	13	16	4	25
10	22	17	20	6	29
15	28	21	28	8	35
20	--	25	34	10	39
mean dur: (of marriage, at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	--	8	9	9	7
1st decile at dur:	5	6	4	19	3
1st quartile at:	12	19	13	--	7
median at dur:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean dur: (of all marriages / truncated after 20 years)	--	17	16	19	15

duration in years	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	1	1
2	3	2
3	4	3
4	7	4
5	10	5
7	13	5
10	17	7
15	21	9
20	25	12
mean dur: (of marriage, at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	8	9
1st decile at dur:	5	17
1st quartile at:	19	--
median at dur:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean dur: (of all marriages / truncated after 20 years)	17	19

Table 28: Cumulative percent separated, by time since union formation/entry into parenthood, unions of parents

duration in years	Sweden (1985-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	4	2	2	14
2	8	8	4	4	21
3	12	11	8	7	27
4	16	14	12	10	31
5	20	16	14	12	34
7	24	20	17	16	41
10	29	24	22	20	46
15	36	30	26	26	53
20	43	35	30	31	59

mean dur:
(at union disruption / conditional on disruption)

	7	7	7	8	6
--	---	---	---	---	---

1st decile at dur:	3	3	4	4	1
1st quartile at:	8	11	15	14	3
median at dur:	--	--	--	--	13
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

mean dur:
(of all episodes / truncated after 20 years)

	15	16	16	16	12
--	----	----	----	----	----

duration in years	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)

0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	2	1	0	2
2	4	6	2	1	3
3	8	8	3	2	4
4	12	11	4	2	5
5	14	14	5	3	6
7	18	17	7	4	7
10	23	22	12	6	8
15	28	30	16	8	10
20	33	35	23	10	12

mean dur:
(at union disruption / conditional on disruption)

	7	8	11	9	7
--	---	---	----	---	---

1st decile at dur:	4	4	9	20	13
1st quartile at:	12	12	--	--	--
median at dur:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

mean dur:
(of all episodes / truncated after 20 years)

	16	16	18	19	18
--	----	----	----	----	----

Table 28: Cumulative percent separated, by time since union formation/entry into parenthood, unions of parents

duration in years	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	3	3	1	5
2	7	5	8	2	11
3	11	7	12	3	16
4	14	9	13	4	21
5	17	11	16	5	24
7	21	14	19	6	28
10	26	18	22	9	32
15	32	21	29	11	38
20	--	26	35	13	42
mean dur: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	--	8	8	8	6
1st decile at dur:	3	5	3	12	2
1st quartile at:	9	18	12	--	6
median at dur:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean dur: (of all episodes / truncated after 20 years)	--	17	16	18	14

duration in years	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	2	1
2	4	2
3	7	3
4	9	4
5	11	4
7	14	4
10	18	6
15	22	8
20	26	11
mean dur: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	8	9
1st decile at dur:	5	18
1st quartile at:	18	--
median at dur:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean dur: (of all episodes / truncated after 20 years)	17	19

Table 29: Percent of time spent in different family types at ages 15-39 years / Men

	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1983-92)	France (1988-94)	USA (NA)
In parental home /no family	23	28	32	34	
Single and never in union /no child	15	12	13	9	
Single and never in union /parent	0	1	1	0	
In consensual union /no child	14	7	10	9	
In consensual union /parent	11	3	4	5	
In marriage /no child	3	6	6	5	
In marriage /parent	26	40	31	32	
Single after family disruption /no child	5	2	2	4	
Single after family disruption /parent	3	3	2	2	
Time in union	54	55	50	51	
-in union as a parent	37	43	34	38	
-in union but no child	17	13	16	14	
Time as parent	41	46	37	39	
-as parent in union	37	43	34	38	
-as parent out of union	4	3	2	2	
		West			
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
In parental home /no family	32	34	40	52	48
Single and never in union /no child	11	19	5	8	4
Single and never in union /parent	1	1	0	0	0
In consensual union /no child	10	7	4	1	3
In consensual union /parent	4	2	2	0	1
In marriage /no child	6	7	10	8	7
In marriage /parent	31	23	37	29	35
Single after family disruption /no child	4	4	2	2	2
Single after family disruption /parent	2	3	2	0	1
Time in union	51	39	51	38	46
-in union as a parent	35	25	38	29	36
-in union but no child	16	14	13	9	10
Time as parent	38	29	40	30	37
-as parent in union	35	25	38	29	36
-as parent out of union	3	3	2	1	1

Table 29: Percent of time spent in different family types at ages 15-39 years / Women

	Sweden (1978-93)	Norway (1974-89)	Finland (1979-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
in parental home /no family	17	21	22	26	19
single and never in union /no child	12	9	11	7	12
single and never in union /parent	1	1	1	1	3
in consensual union /no child	14	6	9	9	4
in consensual union /parent	13	4	4	6	4
in marriage /no child	3	6	6	5	10
in marriage /parent	31	47	41	38	34
single after family disruption /no child	4	2	2	3	4
single after family disruption /parent	6	4	4	4	8
time in union	61	64	60	58	53
-in union as a parent	44	51	45	44	39
-in union but no child	18	12	15	15	14
time as parent	50	56	50	50	50
-as parent in union	44	51	45	44	39
-as parent out of union	6	5	5	6	11

	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
in parental home /no family	23	27	31	44	38
single and never in union /no child	7	13	5	4	3
single and never in union /parent	2	1	0	0	0
in consensual union /no child	7	6	4	1	2
in consensual union /parent	6	3	1	1	1
in marriage /no child	5	8	11	8	7
in marriage /parent	43	32	44	41	46
single after family disruption /no child	2	3	1	1	1
single after family disruption /parent	5	5	3	1	2
time in union	61	50	60	50	56
-in union as a parent	48	35	45	42	47
-in union but no child	12	15	15	9	9
time as parent	56	42	48	43	49
-as parent in union	48	35	45	42	47
-as parent out of union	7	6	3	2	2

Table 30: Relative distribution of births (percent)

	Sweden (1987-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
children born to mother never in union	1	5	1	3	11
children born after union disruption	3	2	1	7	6
==> children born to lone mother	5	7	3	10	17
children born in marriage	51	71	85	68	72
children born in a consensual union	45	22	13	23	11

	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
children born to mother never in union	7	4	0	2	2
children born after union disruption	3	2	1	0	0
==> children born to lone mother	10	6	1	2	2
children born in marriage	70	83	94	94	93
children born in a consensual union	19	11	4	4	4

Table 30: Relative distribution of births (percent)

	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
children born to mother never in union	15	2	4	5	6
children born after union disruption	3	2	1	1	4
==> children born to lone mother	18	3	4	6	11
children born in marriage	67	90	89	78	79
children born in a consensual union	15	6	7	16	11

	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
children born to mother never in union	3	8
children born after union disruption	2	1
==> children born to lone mother	5	9
children born in marriage	93	89
children born in a consensual union	2	2

Table 31: Cumulative percent ever out of union, by age of child

age	Sweden (1987-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	5	7	3	10	17
1	7	9	4	12	21
2	9	11	6	13	25
3	13	13	8	15	28
4	15	15	10	17	31
6	20	17	13	20	36
9	24	21	16	24	41
12	30	24	19	28	46
15	34	26	22	31	50

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	10	6	1	2	2
1	12	8	2	2	3
2	13	10	3	3	4
3	15	12	4	3	5
4	19	14	5	4	6
6	22	17	8	4	7
9	26	21	11	6	9
12	30	27	14	7	11
15	34	34	17	9	13

Table 31: Cumulative percent ever out of union, by age of child

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	18	3	4	6	11
1	19	5	6	7	15
2	22	6	9	7	18
3	25	8	11	8	22
4	28	10	13	8	25
6	32	13	16	10	29
9	37	17	20	12	35
12	42	21	24	14	40
15	46	24	29	15	44

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	5	9
1	7	10
2	8	11
3	10	11
4	12	12
6	16	12
9	20	14
12	24	16
15	29	18

Table 32: Cumulative percent ever out of marriage, by age of child

age	Sweden (1987-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	49	29	15	32	28
1	50	29	16	33	30
2	51	30	17	34	33
3	52	31	19	35	35
4	53	33	20	36	37
6	55	34	22	37	41
9	57	37	25	40	45
12	60	40	27	42	49
15	61	42	30	44	53

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	30	17	6	6	7
1	30	18	6	6	7
2	31	20	7	6	8
3	33	21	8	7	8
4	35	23	8	7	9
6	37	25	11	8	10
9	40	28	14	9	12
12	43	33	16	11	14
15	46	39	19	12	15

Table 32: Cumulative percent ever out of marriage, by age of child

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	33	10	11	22	21
1	33	11	12	22	24
2	35	11	14	22	27
3	37	13	16	22	29
4	40	15	17	22	32
6	43	18	21	24	35
9	47	21	25	26	40
12	50	25	28	27	45
15	54	28	32	28	48

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	7	11
1	8	12
2	10	13
3	12	13
4	14	13
6	17	14
9	21	16
12	25	18
15	29	20

Table 33: Cumulative percent ever out of union, by age of child, for children born in a union

age	Sweden	Norway	Finland	France	USA
	(1987-93)	(1983-89)	(1983-89)	(1988-94)	(1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	2	1	2	5
2	5	4	3	4	10
3	9	6	6	6	14
4	11	8	7	8	17
6	16	10	10	12	23
9	21	15	14	16	29
12	26	18	17	20	34
15	30	21	20	23	40
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	6	6	6	7	6
1st decile at age:	4	6	6	5	2
1st quartile at:	11	--	--	--	7
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	12	13	13	13	11

age	West				
	Austria	Germany	Flanders	Italy	Spain
	(1990-96)	(1986-92)	(1985-92)	(1990-95)	(1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	2	1	0	1
2	3	4	2	1	2
3	6	6	3	1	3
4	9	8	4	2	4
6	13	11	6	2	5
9	18	16	10	4	7
12	22	22	13	5	9
15	26	29	15	7	10
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	7	8	8	8	7
1st decile at age:	5	5	9	--	14
1st quartile at:	14	14	--	--	--
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	13	13	14	15	14

Table 33: Cumulative percent ever out of union, by age of child, for children born in a union

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	1	2	1	5
2	5	2	4	1	9
3	8	4	7	2	13
4	12	7	8	2	17
6	17	10	12	4	21
9	24	14	17	6	28
12	29	18	21	8	33
15	34	22	25	10	38
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	7	7	7	7	6
1st decile at age:	4	6	5	15	3
1st quartile at:	10	--	15	--	8
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	12	13	13	14	12

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	2	1
2	3	2
3	5	2
4	8	3
6	11	4
9	16	6
12	20	8
15	25	10
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	7	7
1st decile at age:	5	14
1st quartile at:	15	--
median at age:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	13	14

Table 34: Cumulative percent ever out of union, by age of child, for children born in a consensual union

age	Sweden	Norway	Finland	France	USA
	(1987-93)	(1983-89)	(1983-89)	(1988-94)	(1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	8	4	5	18
2	8	14	12	10	29
3	13	18	19	16	39
4	17	19	24	20	45
6	23	21	29	27	56
9	27	30	35	35	64
12	32	32	37	49	70
15	38	36	39	58	78
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	6	5	4	7	5
1st decile at age:	3	2	2	2	1
1st quartile at:	8	7	5	6	2
median at age:	--	--	--	13	6
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	15
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	12	11	11	10	7

age	West				Spain (1989-95)
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	6	4	4	0	9
2	8	11	10	2	18
3	13	16	15	2	24
4	20	20	25	4	26
6	26	27	25	7	31
9	31	37	34	7	--
12	37	53	--	--	--
15	43	--	--	--	--
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	6	--	--	--	--
1st decile at age:	3	2	2	--	2
1st quartile at:	6	6	4	--	4
median at age:	--	11	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	11	--	--	--	--

Table 34: Cumulative percent ever out of union, by age of child, for children born in a consensual union

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	6	7	9	3	16
2	13	11	20	7	24
3	19	17	27	8	36
4	23	26	32	10	41
6	28	42	32	12	51
9	38	48	--	14	58
12	51	52	--	19	63
15	--	58	--	19	69
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	--	5	--	5	4
1st decile at age:	2	2	2	4	1
1st quartile at:	5	4	3	--	3
median at age:	12	11	--	--	6
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	--	9	--	13	8

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	15	0
2	19	9
3	--	12
4	--	12
6	--	22
9	--	26
12	--	--
15	--	--
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	--	--
1st decile at age:	1	3
1st quartile at:	--	7
median at age:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	--	--

Table 35: Cumulative percent ever out of union/marriage, by age of child, for children born in a marriage

age	Sweden	Norway	Finland	France	USA
	(1987-93)	(1983-89)	(1983-89)	(1988-94)	(1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1	3
2	2	2	2	2	7
3	5	4	4	3	10
4	6	6	5	5	13
6	10	8	8	7	18
9	15	12	11	11	24
12	21	16	14	14	29
15	24	18	17	17	35
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	7	7	7	7	6
1st decile at age:	6	7	8	8	3
1st quartile at:	--	--	--	--	10
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	13	14	14	14	12

age	West				
	Austria	Germany	Flanders	Italy	Spain
	(1990-96)	(1986-92)	(1985-92)	(1990-95)	(1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	0	1
2	2	3	1	1	1
3	4	5	2	1	2
4	7	7	3	1	3
6	11	9	6	2	4
9	15	13	9	4	6
12	19	19	12	5	7
15	23	26	14	7	9
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	7	8	7	8	7
1st decile at age:	6	7	10	--	--
1st quartile at:	--	15	--	--	--
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	13	13	14	15	14

Table 35: Cumulative percent ever out of union/marriage, by age of child, for children born in a marriage

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	0	3
2	3	2	3	0	7
3	6	4	5	1	10
4	10	5	7	1	14
6	15	9	11	3	18
9	21	12	15	5	24
12	26	17	19	7	30
15	31	20	24	8	34
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	7	7	7	8	6
1st decile at age:	4	7	5	--	3
1st quartile at:	11	--	--	--	10
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	12	13	13	14	12

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	1	1
2	3	2
3	5	2
4	7	3
6	10	3
9	15	5
12	19	8
15	24	10
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	7	8
1st decile at age:	6	15
1st quartile at:	--	--
median at age:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	13	14

Table 36: Cumulative percent ever in union, by age of child, for children born to a lone mother

age	Sweden (1987-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	19	29	25	8	18
2	27	39	30	12	29
3	29	54	39	13	37
4	41	64	39	20	44
6	43	--	53	22	55
9	52	--	64	38	65
12	--	--	--	38	71
15	--	--	--	39	78
mean age: (at entry to union / conditional on union formation)	--	--	--	5	5
1st decile at age:	1	1	1	2	1
1st quartile at:	2	1	1	8	2
median at age:	9	3	6	--	5
3rd quartile at:	--	5	--	--	14
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	--	--	--	11	7

age	West				Spain (1989-95)
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	19	12	5	48	46
2	32	27	20	--	--
3	44	32	--	--	--
4	51	35	--	--	--
6	60	--	--	--	--
9	73	--	--	--	--
12	80	--	--	--	--
15	85	--	--	--	--
mean age: (at entry to union / conditional on union formation)	4	--	--	--	--
1st decile at age:	1	1	2	1	1
1st quartile at:	2	2	--	1	1
median at age:	4	--	--	2	2
3rd quartile at:	11	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	6	--	--	--	--

Table 36: Cumulative percent ever in union, by age of child, for children born to a lone mother

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	37	23	17	42	25
2	55	37	35	59	43
3	63	52	--	66	52
4	72	62	--	78	58
6	80	--	--	82	63
9	87	--	--	85	69
12	--	--	--	--	72
15	--	--	--	--	--
mean age: (at entry to union / conditional on union formation)	--	--	--	--	--
1st decile at age:	1	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	1	2	2	1	1
median at age:	2	3	--	2	3
3rd quartile at:	5	--	--	4	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	--	--	--	--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	21	17
2	26	21
3	32	25
4	36	28
6	45	30
9	--	32
12	--	35
15	--	36
mean age: (at entry to union / conditional on union formation)	--	3
1st decile at age:	1	1
1st quartile at:	2	3
median at age:	7	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	--	11

Table 37: Cumulative percent ever in marriage, by age of child, for children born to a lone mother

age	Sweden	Norway	Finland	France	USA
	(1987-93)	(1983-89)	(1983-89)	(1988-94)	(1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	6	5	2	6
2	3	13	5	3	11
3	7	17	14	3	17
4	11	23	16	3	23
6	15	33	19	8	32
9	25	43	29	19	42
12	32	50	34	19	50
15	35	--	--	19	58
mean age:	6	6	--	6	6
(at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)					
1st decile at age:	4	2	3	7	2
1st quartile at:	9	5	8	--	5
median at age:	--	12	--	--	12
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration:	12	10	--	13	10
(of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)					

age	West				Spain
	Austria	Germany	Flanders	Italy	
	(1990-96)	(1986-92)	(1985-92)	(1990-95)	(1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	5	9	5	28	37
2	14	14	10	--	44
3	19	17	--	--	50
4	26	24	--	--	56
6	35	39	--	--	--
9	48	45	--	--	--
12	62	--	--	--	--
15	69	--	--	--	--
mean age:	6	--	--	--	--
(at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)					
1st decile at age:	2	2	2	1	1
1st quartile at:	4	5	--	1	1
median at age:	10	--	--	--	3
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration:	9	--	--	--	--
(of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)					

Table 37: Cumulative percent ever in marriage, by age of child, for children born to a lone mother

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	22	11	4	18	15
2	34	18	13	28	27
3	42	30	29	32	30
4	50	39	33	39	34
6	60	--	--	47	34
9	67	--	--	55	40
12	72	--	--	59	43
15	--	--	--	--	--
mean age: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	--	--	--	--	--
1st decile at age:	1	1	2	1	1
1st quartile at:	2	3	3	2	2
median at age:	4	--	--	8	--
3rd quartile at:	14	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	--	--	--	--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	19	16
2	20	20
3	24	24
4	26	26
6	32	28
9	37	31
12	37	34
15	--	35
mean age: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	--	3
1st decile at age:	1	1
1st quartile at:	4	4
median at age:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	--	11

Table 38: Cumulative percent ever in marriage, by age of child, for children born in a consensual union

age	Sweden	Norway	Finland	France	USA
	(1987-93)	(1983-89)	(1983-89)	(1988-94)	(1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	17	16	22	9	15
2	27	28	27	17	27
3	38	36	31	19	35
4	45	40	32	24	41
6	56	45	41	30	52
9	68	51	51	32	62
12	76	--	57	33	66
15	81	--	--	33	69
mean age:	5	--	--	3	4
(at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)					
1st decile at age:	1	1	1	2	1
1st quartile at:	2	2	2	5	2
median at age:	5	9	8	--	6
3rd quartile at:	12	--	--	--	--
mean duration:	7	--	--	11	7
(of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)					
West					
age	Austria	Germany	Flanders	Italy	Spain
	(1990-96)	(1986-92)	(1985-92)	(1990-95)	(1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	12	17	5	17	5
2	26	26	16	23	5
3	35	34	24	25	5
4	43	35	36	43	5
6	54	40	--	54	16
9	68	45	--	--	--
12	71	49	--	--	--
15	--	--	--	--	--
mean age:	--	--	--	--	--
(at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)					
1st decile at age:	1	1	2	1	6
1st quartile at:	2	2	4	3	8
median at age:	6	13	5	5	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration:	--	--	--	--	--
(of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)					

Table 38: Cumulative percent ever in marriage, by age of child, for children born in a consensual union

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	26	11	19	17	14
2	39	18	26	26	18
3	47	22	31	30	19
4	55	25	38	35	22
6	63	36	--	42	28
9	69	49	--	51	32
12	--	--	--	54	32
15	--	--	--	56	32
mean age: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	--	--	--	4	3
1st decile at age:	1	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	1	4	2	2	5
median at age:	4	--	--	9	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	--	--	--	9	11

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	14	3
2	23	11
3	--	15
4	--	--
6	--	--
9	--	--
12	--	--
15	--	--
mean age: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	--	--
1st decile at age:	1	2
1st quartile at:	--	--
median at age:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	--	--

Table 39: Cumulative percent ever in marriage, by age of child, for children born to a non-married mother

age	Sweden (1987-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	15	13	19	7	10
2	26	24	22	12	17
3	35	31	28	14	24
4	43	36	29	18	30
6	53	42	36	24	40
9	65	50	46	29	50
12	73	55	52	29	56
15	77	58	56	29	62
mean age: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	5	4	4	3	5
1st decile at age:	1	1	1	2	1
1st quartile at:	2	3	3	7	4
median at age:	6	9	11	--	9
3rd quartile at:	14	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	7	9	9	12	9

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	10	14	5	20	16
2	21	22	14	26	19
3	29	27	23	29	22
4	37	31	31	48	25
6	47	39	42	56	32
9	60	45	--	68	41
12	68	49	--	--	--
15	73	55	--	--	--
mean age: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	5	5	--	--	--
1st decile at age:	1	1	2	1	1
1st quartile at:	3	3	4	2	4
median at age:	7	13	--	5	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	8	9	--	--	--

Table 39: Cumulative percent ever in marriage, by age of child, for children born to a non-married mother

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	24	11	13	17	14
2	37	18	21	27	22
3	44	25	30	31	24
4	52	30	36	36	28
6	61	45	43	43	31
9	68	57	--	52	36
12	72	--	--	56	38
15	76	--	--	58	40
mean age: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	4	--	--	4	4
1st decile at age:	1	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	2	3	3	2	4
median at age:	4	7	8	8	--
3rd quartile at:	15	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	6	--	--	8	10

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	17	13
2	21	18
3	28	22
4	33	24
6	41	27
9	46	29
12	50	32
15	--	33
mean age: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	3	3
1st decile at age:	1	1
1st quartile at:	3	5
median at age:	10	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	9	11

Table 40: Cumulative percent in marriage, by age of child, for children born in a consensual union, competing-risks life-table method with family dissolution as competing event

age	Sweden (1987-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	16	15	22	9	15
2	27	28	27	17	25
3	37	36	31	19	31
4	44	40	31	24	34
6	54	44	38	30	40
9	62	--	44	32	45
12	67	--	46	32	47
15	70	--	--	32	--
mean age: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	4	--	--	3	3
1st decile at age:	1	1	1	2	1
1st quartile at:	2	2	2	5	2
median at age:	6	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	12	17	5	17	5
2	25	26	16	23	5
3	33	33	21	25	5
4	41	35	33	42	5
6	51	38	--	--	11
9	61	43	--	--	--
12	--	--	--	--	--
15	--	--	--	--	--
mean age: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	--	--	--	--	--
1st decile at age:	1	1	2	1	6
1st quartile at:	2	2	4	3	--
median at age:	6	--	--	5	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 40: Cumulative percent in marriage, by age of child, for children born in a consensual union, competing-risks life-table method with family dissolution as competing event

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	25	11	19	17	13
2	38	17	23	26	16
3	45	21	26	30	18
4	50	24	32	35	19
6	56	32	--	41	20
9	--	--	--	49	22
12	--	--	--	--	--
15	--	--	--	--	--
mean age: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	--	--	--	--	--
1st decile at age:	1	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	1	5	3	2	--
median at age:	4	--	--	10	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	14	3
2	--	12
3	--	15
4	--	--
6	--	--
9	--	--
12	--	--
15	--	--
mean age: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	--	--
1st decile at age:	1	2
1st quartile at:	--	--
median at age:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--

Table 41: Cumulative percent out of union, by age of child, for children born in a consensual union, competing-risks life-table method with marriage formation of parents as competing event

age	Sweden (1987-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	8	4	5	18
2	8	13	10	10	27
3	11	17	15	15	34
4	14	18	18	18	38
6	19	20	19	24	42
9	20	--	26	30	46
12	21	--	26	40	48
15	22	--	--	45	--
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	4	--	--	6	3
1st decile at age:	3	2	2	2	1
1st quartile at:	--	8	9	7	2
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	6	4	4	0	9
2	8	11	10	2	18
3	11	14	15	2	24
4	17	16	24	2	27
6	22	18	--	--	33
9	22	24	--	--	--
12	--	--	--	--	--
15	--	--	--	--	--
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	--	--	--	--	--
1st decile at age:	3	2	2	--	2
1st quartile at:	--	10	--	--	4
median at age:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

Table 41: Cumulative percent out of union, by age of child, for children born in a consensual union, competing-risks life-table method with marriage formation of parents as competing event

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	6	7	7	3	14
2	12	11	12	7	20
3	17	16	12	7	33
4	18	24	18	9	38
6	21	39	--	10	48
9	--	--	--	11	55
12	--	--	--	--	--
15	--	--	--	--	--
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	--	--	--	--	--
1st decile at age:	2	2	2	6	1
1st quartile at:	--	5	--	--	3
median at age:	--	--	--	--	7
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	14	0
2	--	6
3	--	9
4	--	--
6	--	--
9	--	--
12	--	--
15	--	--
mean age: (at union disruption / conditional on disruption)	--	--
1st decile at age:	1	--
1st quartile at:	--	--
median at age:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--

=> Table 42: Cumulative percent ever out of consensual-union status, by age of child, for children born in a consensual union

age	Sweden (1987-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	20	23	26	14	33
2	35	41	37	27	53
3	48	54	46	34	65
4	58	58	49	42	72
6	73	64	57	54	82
9	82	--	70	62	90
12	88	--	72	72	95
15	92	--	--	77	--
mean age: (at exit / conditional on exit from consensual-union status)	4	--	--	5	3
1st decile at age:	1	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	2	2	1	2	1
median at age:	4	3	5	5	2
3rd quartile at:	7	--	--	14	5
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	5	--	--	7	3

age	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	18	21	9	17	14
2	33	38	26	25	23
3	44	47	36	27	30
4	58	51	57	44	32
6	73	56	--	--	45
9	83	67	--	--	--
12	--	--	--	--	--
15	--	--	--	--	--
mean age: (at exit / conditional on exit from consensual-union status)	--	--	--	--	--
1st decile at age:	1	1	2	1	1
1st quartile at:	2	2	2	2	3
median at age:	4	4	4	5	--
3rd quartile at:	7	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	--	--	--	--	--

=> Table 42: Cumulative percent ever out of consensual-union status, by age of child, for children born in a consensual union

age	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	31	18	26	20	27
2	50	28	35	33	36
3	62	37	38	37	50
4	68	48	50	43	57
6	77	71	--	51	69
9	--	--	--	59	77
12	--	--	--	--	--
15	--	--	--	--	--
mean age: (at exit / conditional on exit from consensual-union status)	--	--	--	--	--
1st decile at age:	1	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	1	2	1	2	1
median at age:	2	5	4	6	3
3rd quartile at:	6	--	--	--	9
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	--	--	--	--	--

age	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	29	3
2	--	17
3	--	24
4	--	--
6	--	--
9	--	--
12	--	--
15	--	--
mean age: (at exit / conditional on exit from consensual-union status)	--	--
1st decile at age:	1	2
1st quartile at:	1	5
median at age:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 15 years)	--	--

Table 43: Cumulative percent ever again in a union, by time since union disruption, for children experiencing parental separation

duration	Sweden (1987-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	11	17	15	10	21
2	22	31	26	19	37
3	32	41	31	23	47
4	41	48	36	29	54
6	51	57	45	35	67
8	57	60	57	46	73
10	62	--	64	47	78
mean dur: (at re-entry into union / conditional on union formation)	3	--	4	3	3
1st decile at dur:	1	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	3	2	2	4	2
median at dur:	6	5	7	--	4
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	9
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 10 years)	6	--	6	7	5

duration	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	18	8	21	2	5
2	25	24	29	2	23
3	30	29	37	8	25
4	36	39	42	13	29
6	47	50	54	28	37
8	51	56	64	--	41
10	54	--	--	--	47
mean dur: (at re-entry into union / conditional on union formation)	3	--	--	--	4
1st decile at dur:	1	2	1	4	2
1st quartile at:	2	3	2	6	3
median at dur:	8	5	5	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 10 years)	6	--	--	--	7

Table 43: Cumulative percent ever again in a union, by time since union disruption, for children experiencing parental separation

duration	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	28	15	32	14	16
2	41	23	47	30	24
3	55	39	61	36	32
4	58	47	66	50	38
6	65	57	71	62	43
8	68	68	75	69	51
10	--	68	77	71	60
mean dur: (at re-entry into union / conditional on union formation)	--	3	2	3	4
1st decile at dur:	1	1	1	1	1
1st quartile at:	1	3	1	2	3
median at dur:	3	5	3	4	8
3rd quartile at:	--	--	8	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 10 years)	--	5	4	5	6

duration	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	9	7
2	18	15
3	25	19
4	30	20
6	43	25
8	52	38
10	57	38
mean dur: (at re-entry into union / conditional on union formation)	4	4
1st decile at dur:	2	2
1st quartile at:	3	5
median at dur:	8	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 10 years)	7	8

Table 44: Cumulative percent ever in marriage, by time since union disruption, for children experiencing parental separation

duration	Sweden (1987-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	2	1	5
2	3	3	5	4	13
3	6	6	10	6	21
4	11	9	12	8	30
6	19	15	16	12	41
8	30	31	24	18	52
10	39	31	38	19	57
mean dur: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	6	5	6	5	4
1st decile at dur:	4	5	3	6	2
1st quartile at:	7	8	9	--	4
median at dur:	--	--	--	--	8
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 10 years)	8	9	8	9	7

duration	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	3	0	1	0	0
2	7	4	2	0	1
3	9	12	11	0	2
4	15	20	17	0	4
6	21	36	25	6	10
8	23	42	30	--	10
10	30	--	35	--	12
mean dur: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	5	--	5	--	5
1st decile at dur:	4	3	3	--	6
1st quartile at:	9	5	6	--	--
median at dur:	--	--	--	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 10 years)	8	--	8	--	9

Table 44: Cumulative percent ever in marriage, by time since union disruption, for children experiencing parental separation

duration	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	7	3	2	0	5
2	12	5	15	0	7
3	25	11	26	6	11
4	28	18	30	13	17
6	42	28	36	21	20
8	51	34	45	26	24
10	54	38	52	31	29
mean dur: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	4	5	4	5	4
1st decile at dur:	2	3	2	4	3
1st quartile at:	3	6	3	7	9
median at dur:	8	--	10	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--	--	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 10 years)	7	8	7	9	8

duration	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
0	0	0
1	3	0
2	8	5
3	14	8
4	17	8
6	29	13
8	35	23
10	37	23
mean dur: (at entry to marriage / conditional on marriage formation)	4	5
1st decile at dur:	3	5
1st quartile at:	6	--
median at dur:	--	--
3rd quartile at:	--	--
mean duration: (of all episodes / truncated after 10 years)	8	9

Table 45: Percent of time spent in different family types at ages 0-14 years

	Sweden (1987-93)	Norway (1983-89)	Finland (1983-89)	France (1988-94)	USA (1989-95)
time with lone mother, from birth	2	2	1	4	7
time with lone mother, after disruption	9	5	6	7	13
time after leaving mother	2	0	1	0	2
==> time with lone or no mother	12	7	8	11	22
time with both parents in consensual union	17	5	4	8	4
time with both parents in marriage	64	84	84	78	64
==> time with both parents	81	89	88	86	67
time in step union, with mother	6	4	4	3	10

	West				
	Austria (1990-96)	Germany (1986-92)	Flanders (1985-92)	Italy (1990-95)	Spain (1989-95)
time with lone mother, from birth	4	2	0	0	1
time with lone mother, after disruption	8	8	4	2	3
time after leaving mother	1	1	0	0	0
==> time with lone or no mother	12	12	5	3	4
time with both parents in consensual union	6	5	1	1	1
time with both parents in marriage	79	78	91	95	93
==> time with both parents	84	83	92	97	94
time in step union, with mother	4	5	3	0	1

Table 45: Percent of time spent in different family types at ages 0-14 years

	GDR (1984-89)	Hungary (1988-93)	Czech R (1992-97)	Slovenia (1989-95)	Latvia (1989-95)
time with lone mother, from birth	5	1	1	2	3
time with lone mother, after disruption	8	6	6	3	11
time after leaving mother	1	0	0	1	0
==> time with lone or no mother	13	8	8	6	15
time with both parents in consensual union	6	2	2	6	3
time with both parents in marriage	73	86	84	86	75
==> time with both parents	79	87	85	92	79
time in step union, with mother	8	5	7	2	7

	Lithuania (1989-95)	Poland (1986-91)
time with lone mother, from birth	2	5
time with lone mother, after disruption	8	3
time after leaving mother	0	0
==> time with lone or no mother	10	9
time with both parents in consensual union	1	1
time with both parents in marriage	85	90
==> time with both parents	86	90
time in step union, with mother	3	1