

LIVING ARRANGEMENTS AND HOUSEHOLD FORMATION IN AN INDUSTRIALIZING URBAN SETTING: ROSTOCK 1867-1900

by **Mikołaj SZOŁTYSEK, Siegfried GRUBER,**

Barbara ZUBER-GOLDSTEIN, Rembrandt SCHOLZ

Over the last half century, the relationship between industrialisation, urbanisation, and demographic change has attracted an enormous amount of attention among historical demographers, as well as among family and urban historians. In the works of the first generation of scholars, special consideration was given to family and domestic groups, as they were seen as primary arenas in which many of the relationships between individuals, institutions, and socioeconomic change were acted out (Hareven, 2000, 321). Applying structural-functionalist theories, family historians of the 1970s and 1980s came to challenge many fundamental generalisations about the historical impact of industrialisation and urbanisation on households and families. Yet many questions were left unanswered (Kertzer and Schiaffino, 1983; Arcury 1990). While over subsequent decades there was continued interest in studying the relationship between historical industrial change and demographic change, the discussion of the impact of the former on the most basic of social institutions—the household and the family—has since faded. This research reintroduces to the historical-demographic literature the problem of the relationship

between a set of interdependent processes generally labeled as “industrialisation” and “urbanisation”, and family change. Employing quantitative methodologies, we examine the impact of developing urban life on the family system of the industrialising city of Rostock, with the goal of capturing structural developments in nuptiality, residence patterns and household formation rules, as revealed in the city’s two censuses of 1867 and 1900. Comparing only two snapshots of the city places obvious limits on our analysis (Dillon and Roberts, 2002), even though the period under investigation was characterised by substantial urban and industrial growth, as well as increased migratory movements to our location. Nevertheless, we believe that this newly available material can provide some important clues about the changes in demographic patterns in an urbanising setting, and may contribute to the further construction of theories regarding the interplay between processes of social change and family change in the past.

The paper is organized as follows. We open with a brief review of older and newer perspectives on changes in the urban family structure associated with urbanisation and industrialisation. This

is followed by a discussion of the censal basis of our research, and by some methodological considerations. The first section concludes with an assessment of basic demographic trends and the development of urban industrial life in Rostock during the XIXth century. In the main body of the paper that follows, we examine changes in the family system which took place in Rostock between 1867 and 1900, including patterns of marriage household formation and headship, as well as household structure and composition. We then supplement this more conventional approach with an investigation of changes in the inter-generational bonds and co-residence patterns of the elderly. The gender, occupational, and spatial differentials of the processes involved will also be discussed, as will the role played by the migration characteristics of individuals in their residence and life-course patterns. We close with a general discussion and conclusion.

OLDER AND NEWER PERSPECTIVES ON THE URBAN FAMILY STRUCTURE

A striking diversity of opinions still seems to exist among scholars regarding the links between “urbanisation” and “industrialisation” processes and the family structure¹. Conventional theoretical wisdom, from F. Le Play up to the early 1970s, held that industrialisation resulted in the disintegration of the family group into smaller units of nuclear families. The nuclear family was thought to be best adapted to meet the demands imposed by the high rates of social and geographical mobility of individuals that was typical of the industrial system. Accordingly, it was presumed

that nuclear families would do better than extended families in achieving the social and economic goals that characterize industrial society, and that individuals living in nuclear family units would be better equipped to reach higher positions in life (e.g., Parsons & Bales, 1955; Goode, 1963, 1966).

Later research undermined the hypothesis of the progressive nuclearisation of the family by suggesting that major shifts over the past centuries, such as industrialisation and urbanisation, have had a little or no effect on the structure of the family and the household, at least in some regions of Europe (Laslett, 1972). Some scholars went so far as to reverse the usual structural-functional reasoning and argue that particular developments in the West were attributable to distinctive family and demographic arrangements. From that perspective, the nuclear family, along with the Western European marriage pattern, were seen as being among the necessary preconditions for modernisation, industrialisation, and economic growth (Macfarlane, 1987; Laslett 1983; recently Hartmann, 2004; De Moor and Van Zanden, 2010).

By contrast, other researchers saw extended family arrangements as a positive and functional adaptation to industrial life. Substantial evidence was provided demonstrating that the harsh economic conditions of early industrial capitalism strengthened the interdependence of family members, and sometimes led to a high frequency of complex households (Anderson, 1971; Hareven, 1978, 1982; also Wrigley, 1977, 82; recently King and Timmins, 2001, 275-276).

Other perspectives exist, however. Kertzer, for example, argued that only a small degree of change in the persistent

attachment to living in complex domestic units occurred in central Italy, despite the critical transformation of socio-economic and occupational structures (Kertzer, 1984). Janssens' study of the Dutch town of Tilburg took roughly the same view, describing a striking continuity in the overall pattern of extended living arrangements, despite the profound process of social change surrounding the town's families (Janssens, 1993). The results of these and other studies may be viewed as evidence that the effects of industrialisation on households and families could have differed from community to community. The nature of the pre-existing family system, the characteristics of the industry and its technology, the demographic processes in the community, the relative poverty of the workers and the availability of housing, along with the socio-cultural characteristics and type of migratory population movements involved, may all affect the nature and direction of change in a family system in a variety of ways (Kertzer and Schiaffino, 1983; Arcury, 1990; Bourdieu, Kesztenbaum and Postel-Vinay, 2010; King and Timmins, 2001, 244-278; Bourdelais, 2000; Oris, 2003). A model of social change in which family change is not directly and immediately linked to structural social transformation seems equally convincing. A "cultural lag" is likely to exist between family systems and socio-structural developments (Janssens, 1993; also Scott & Tilly, 1975; Medick, 1981).

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

This study uses the historical census microdata that were gathered for the urban community of Rostock in 1867

and 1900². The 1867 census was taken on December 3 in the Grand Duchy of Mecklenburg-Strelitz and the Grand Duchy of Mecklenburg-Schwerin on the occasion of these territories' accession to the North German Confederation and the German Customs Union in 1867/68. The 1900 census of the Grand Duchy of Mecklenburg-Schwerin was conducted as part of an all-encompassing enumeration of the population of the German Empire on December 1, 1900. The two censuses used refined population counting methods, which were first implemented in Germany in the 1860s. These censal records provide a standard set of information normally contained in most historical censuses of XIXth century Europe, such as an individual's name and surname, sex, year of birth, place of birth (only for 1900), relationship to the household head, occupational and marital status, as well as religion. This information was recorded separately for present and absent household members, and in 1900 a separate census form was used in addition to the household forms for each individual. While the Rostock enumerations cannot hold a candle to either the more detailed historical population surveys, or the more complex historical database infrastructures that are known to currently exist in the field³, the material at our disposal can be successfully used to conduct a structural analysis of the family system. Even though these data span long periods of time and originate from different historical contexts, they provide closely comparable information on living arrangements⁴. Also, it appears that the historical enumerations of Rostock comply to a large extent with modern demographic standards of census data

quality⁵. Finally, they are part of one of the few collections of surviving census microdata for complete territories within Germany. In this paper, we use a complete censal collection from 1867, together with a 75 percent random sample from the 1900 census. In total, we are dealing with 6,698 households from the first enumeration and 11,054 domestic units from the second one, with an overall population of 69,514 individuals⁶.

We conceptualize the relationship between industrialisation and the family domain as the former having an effect on the entirety, or on some constitutive parts, of the prevailing family system. The concept of the family system has been used frequently in studies of historical demographic structures, where it has been applied to denote a wide variety of circumstances (Laslett, 1983; Todd, 1985; Wall, 1991, 623; Berquo & Xenos, 1992). In line with Polla (2006), we define it as “an entity that comprises the household and marriage arrangements typical of a certain population at a certain time and all connected phenomena”. Defined in this way, a family system is a social institution that changes with time, and its development depends on the combined effects of numerous external factors, including economic, social, and ecological influences (Polla, 2006, 28-29)⁷.

We argue that the change that occurs in a family system should be investigated through a simultaneous observation of the structural quantitative developments in all its domains, including marital behavior, household structure and composition, the life-course transitions of individuals, the living arrangements of the aged, and the service system (*i.e.*, the existence of a specific pattern of life-cycle service). These aspects of a family system are identical to

the domains used in our previous study, and the detailed descriptions and rationale for each of them are documented there (Szołtysek *et al.*, 2009, 13-17).

To achieve our aims, the analysis of the family system components must be limited to the investigation of their most important features. It should be emphasized, however, that each of these aspects could become the subject of a separate in-depth study. This also means that we can devote only a relatively small amount of space to comparing familial developments in Rostock with the situation in other urban sites of the German-speaking area of the same period, and even less so to making wider European comparisons. It is, in any case, difficult to make such comparisons. The co-residence patterns in historical Germany have thus far been either unexplored, or mentioned only in passing in the literature (Rosenbaum, 1996; Weber-Kellermann, 1982; Lee, 1981)⁸. Most of the scholarly works on the relationship between industrialisation and demographics have focused on individual aspects of demographic change, often without addressing the problem of changes occurring in living arrangements and household formation patterns (e.g., Oris and Alter, 2001; Oris, 2000; Brändström, Sundin, & Tedebrand, 2000; Lee, 1998, 1999, 2005; Lee and Marschalck, 2000, 2002; for exceptions, see Kertzner and Schiaffino, 1983; Janssens, 1993; Alter, 1996; Hareven, 2000; Arcury, 1990). Here, we have decided to take a more holistic approach, pooling together various aspects of family change, and leaving other domains of demographic transformation for later exploration.

The approach taken here measures co-residence at the level of population, households, but also individuals. Looking

at living arrangements from different perspectives allows us to partly overcome a substantial criticism of the household-level variables expressed in family demographic literature (Ruggles, 1987, 1990, 2009; King and Preston, 1990; King, 1990). Accordingly, in this study we supplement a more conventional approach to studying living arrangements by treating co-residence with relatives of a particular type as a key characteristic of individuals, who are then classified based on the presence or absence of different types of ties to others.


BASIC DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF INDUSTRIAL LIFE IN ROSTOCK

Rostock was the biggest city of the Grand Duchy of Mecklenburg-Schwerin, and was home to around five percent of its entire population. During the XIXth century, crude birth rates hovered at around 30 in the city, and crude death rates were between 20 and 25. These rates provided for a natural increase in the population, which was also accompanied by a positive migration balance. These two trends together produced population growth of 1.25 percent per annum. As a consequence, the population of Rostock grew steadily, from 12,585 in 1800 to 28,511 in 1867; and, finally, to 54,735 in 1900. Up to about 1872, immigration was more important for population growth than natural increase, while thereafter natural increase became the leading impetus⁹. In 1900 a majority (55.9 percent) of the Rostock population had been born outside of the city. The proportion was 70 percent and more among the groups of working ages, while relatively few children were born outside of

the city. These proportions were clearly higher than in 1819, when only 39.2 percent of the population were immigrants to the city (unfortunately, the census of 1867 does not provide the place of birth)¹⁰. The relatively young and growing population of Rostock can be represented graphically in the form of two superimposed pyramids (referring to two censal years), both of which are wider at the base, and which grow narrower as age increases (Figure 1). Important changes in infant mortality were obviously taking place between the censuses. An analysis of death records from the city showed an increase in life expectancy at birth of 10 years for males and 13 years for females between 1867 and 1900, indicating early signs of the demographic transition (Szołtysek *et al.*, 2010a)¹¹. In both populations, however, the effect of immigration by young adults was causing a bulge at around ages 20 to 25 (slightly earlier in 1867).

A decomposition of the 1900 pyramid into native and immigrant populations reveals remarkable differences in the age structures of the two subsets. The ratio of the population aged 0-15 to the total population was 53.8 percent in the native group, and only 13.2 percent among the immigrants (see also Prill, 2010, 12-14)¹². Women were, with shares of 50.5 percent in 1867 and 54.2 percent in 1900, in a slight majority in the city in both censuses, and these patterns have been found to hold when natives and immigrants were studied separately. A male majority occurred only among the younger age groups: up to 24 years in 1867, and up to 14 years in 1900, while the female share of the population increased with age¹³.

Fig. 1 Age-sex Distribution of Rostock Population by Census Year



Whereas in the first census the majority of population were still living in the inner city (*i.e.*, within the city walls), by 1900 more than half of Rostock's inhabitants were occupying newly developed districts, especially in the *Kröpelinertor Vorstadt*, where more than 40 percent of the total population lived¹⁴. Around 1900, six districts formed the city of Rostock: the Old Town, the New Town, and the four suburbs named after the four town gates (Polzin and Witt, 1975, 58). Two large newly developed districts (*Kröpelinertor Vorstadt/KTV* and *Steintor Vorstadt/STV*) differed substantially in terms of the socioeconomic composition of their populations: one had become a predominantly skilled and unskilled working-class area, while the other had a high concentration of white-collar professionals and people involved in trade.

Once a vital port town of the Hansa that shared a common maritime past with Hamburg, Bremen, and Lübeck, Rostock later experienced a centuries-long economic decline. With the advent of

industrialisation in Germany, Rostock was affected by the economic shift, with several promising initiatives taking root in the city in the first half of the XIXth century (the first railways in 1850; first steam engines and two banks by 1850; seven public schools by 1860 (Karge, Schmied and Münch, 2004, 270-273; Mecklenburgischer Staatskalender, 1830, 143; 1840, 196-197; 1850, 207; 1860, 185 and 213). The Crimean War (1853-1856) also helped the city to (albeit temporarily) strengthen its economic position through profiteering from grain shipments and transports of weapons into the war zone (Polzin and Witt, 1975, 51-52; Schröder, 2003, 135).

Nevertheless, Rostock never became a fully successful pioneer of industrial development, and as modernisation efforts slowed around the middle of the XIXth century, the city went into a decline that was about to last for nearly four decades¹⁵. In 1853, there were only 14 companies with more than 10 employees in the city. The overall number of employees and unspecified

Tab. 1 *Occupational Structure in Rostock: Men Aged 15 and more by Occupation and Census Year**

Occupational category	1867	1900		
		Born in Rostock	Born outside	Overall
Professional and technical jobs	6.5	7.5	9.6	9.0
Administrative and managerial jobs	1.1	1.3	1.8	1.7
Clerical jobs	2.2	4.3	5.0	4.8
Trade	7.0	10.5	8.1	8.8
Service	3.4	5.2	6.1	5.8
Agriculture	4.5	3.8	1.7	2.3
Production and transport	39.1	41.9	34.5	36.5
Unskilled workers	18.4	13.5	24.6	21.6
Missing	17.8	12.0	8.5	9.4
N =	9,418	2,069	5,608	7,677

* Occupational structure in Rostock can be assessed comprehensively for male population only (men aged 15 and more). Two thirds of women in this age group have no occupation stated in the censuses and therefore cannot be dealt with here. Underregistration of female occupations affected particularly married and widowed women. All occupations were coded into the HISCO-scheme (van Leeuwen, Maas, Miles 2002). The data for 1900 is only a 46-percent sample. Also, all males with occupational terms referring to workers without any further specification were put into a separate category (unskilled workers).

job-holders in Rostock's main factories fell from 357 in 1858 to 238 in 1874 (Groenke, 1982, 8ff). Between 1849 and 1866, nine shipyards were founded in the city, but only one of them produced iron ships. Despite of the availability of wage workers (Schultz, 1975, 166-170), there was little investment in industrial production plants. In addition, the export of goods produced in Rostock started to decline in the late 1850s, mainly due to the newly opened railway and shipping routes that connected Prussian territories with port towns in East and West. This stagnation in progress was not alleviated until 1890, when Rostock's steel shipbuilding industry reached a critical mass and became the motor that led the town into the industrialisation wave of the XXth century. At the time of the 1900 census, the shipbuilding industry was already in full swing, with the number of employees in the city's largest

shipyard tripling from 500 in 1895 to 1500 in 1902 (Polzin and Witt, 1975, 60). Other sectors of economy, however, continued to lag far behind¹⁶.

Many of the developments in Rostock were hampered by restrictive legislation and the persistence of "pre-modern" social, occupational, and institutional structures (Manke, 2000, 210-212). While serfdom was abolished in Mecklenburg in 1819, the right of abode was not granted until 1868, and freedom of trade was first declared in 1869 (Kuna and Deya, 2007). The city held on to its pre-modern municipal laws until 1871, and these laws were not replaced until the first unified German civil law code went into effect in 1900. The dissolution of the guild system in Rostock did not start until 1871, and was a gradual and tough process that was finally completed in 1890 (Schröder, 2003, 140)¹⁷. Rostock's commitment to grain export arose from the agricultural structures of the

province's hinterland, which was dominated by manorial landowners who used their influence to delay the introduction of incentives for industrial production and export (Manke, 2000). In contrast to cities like Hamburg, Bremen, and Stettin, which had developed modern harbors with excellent transport connections, Rostock's docks were becoming increasingly antiquated (Karge, Schmied and Münch, 2004, 135). Despite its maritime past and the development of the shipbuilding industry, the city only partially shared the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of other European (and German) port cities¹⁸.

Therefore the occupational and social structure of the city did not change drastically between the two censuses (see Table 1). A relative decline over this time period in the number of agricultural and service workers was accompanied by an increase in the number of white-collar and unskilled workers (including factory workers), but the percent change was rather small. More than half of the adult male population was engaged in production and transport jobs, while the share of unspecified workers, who were mainly employed in industry and were born outside of the city, grew. Domestic service (including cooks) comprised more than half of the female workforce in 1867, and still made up almost 40 percent in 1900.

In the case of the city of Rostock, the population increased fivefold during the XIXth century, while the city's economic growth was quite limited in scope. This presents us with a scientific paradox: Why would an urban community that is not very dynamic be so attractive to migrants? The rural landscape of Mecklenburg-Schwerin was dominated by large estates whose owners possessed most of the political power in the region—power they

were prepared to use to prevent any changes in their privileged economic position. The economically and politically inferior position of the agricultural labourers (both permanent and temporary), was responsible for a steady out-migration of the rural subclasses from areas dominated by an extensive manorial economy. Rostock, as the largest town in the province, was a major point of attraction for many of those who left the hinterlands, even though the economic development in the city was not as dynamic as elsewhere in Germany. Living within the city walls offered them, at a minimum, freedom from the often harsh treatment by estate owners. However, many more of the inhabitants of Mecklenburg's rural hinterlands moved to larger German cities, or even left Europe, when the estate owners turned increasingly to using machines and seasonal workers, mostly Polish ones (Kasten, 2011, 149-165; Karge, Schmied and Münch, 2004, 136).


MARRIAGE, HOUSEHOLD FORMATION, AND CELIBACY

The average age at first marriage was high, and remained quite stable in the city between 1819 and 1867. The Singulate Mean Age at Marriage (SMAM) yields very similar results for the first two census years: age 30.4 for men and age 27.4 for women in 1819, and age 30.3 for men and age 28.2 for women in 1867 (Szołtysek *et al.*, 2009). The critical change took place between 1867 and 1900, when a significant decline in the age at marriage was seen for both sexes (to 27.4 for men and 25.1 for women). This change in the age at marriage is confirmed by an analysis of the ages at first marriage in the parish of St. Jakobi, the largest of the parishes in

the city. The ages at marriage were highest around 1860, and decreased thereafter until 1900, when they were about four years lower (Kohagen, 2010, 115). The major reasons for this development were the introduction of compulsory civil


marriage in 1875 in Germany, which made access to marriage easier, and the removal of older restrictions on nuptiality¹⁹. In this year, a small marriage boom actually seems to have taken place in Rostock (Kohagen, 2010, 78).

Fig. 2 *Proportions Ever-Married in Rostock by Sex and Census Year*



Note: the data for 1900 is weighted population.

Fig. 3 *Proportions Ever-Married by Census Year and Occupational Sectors (Males only)**



* The major groups of the HISCO codes were merged here into only three groups (some groups are rather small and yield therefore unreliable results; some groups display a rather similar pattern in age at marriage). The three major groups are: unskilled workers (workers without any further specification), skilled workers (service, agriculture, production and transport), and others (professional and technical jobs, administrative and managerial jobs, clerical jobs, trade, missing).

Figures 2 and 3 present the proportions of ever-married persons by single years, first separately for men and women, and then for the male population broken down by the occupational sector. In both cases, important differentials in nuptiality patterns are revealed. Around 79 percent of men and women were already married by age 30 in 1900, compared to 55 percent of men and 60 percent of women in the previous census. Particularly among males, a shift towards younger marriage had the effect of greatly increasing the number of ever-married individuals in age groups previously dominated almost entirely by celibates (only five percent males were married by age 25 in 1867, compared with 35.8 percent in the next census).

Figure 3 illustrates three different nuptiality regimes and the associated patterns of behavioral adaptation to the changing socioeconomic and institutional environments. Only minor changes were seen among professional, administrative, clerical, and sales workers ("others"). In both censuses, males from those groups were shown to be marrying the latest, a trend that can also be seen in the SMAM figures for this subpopulation (31.4 in 1867, and 30.2 in 1900). Members of these groups appear to have represented more "traditional" subsets of society who were potentially less affected in their nuptiality behaviour by the processes of social change²⁰.

By contrast, a significant change in marital behavior could be observed among skilled workers. For this group, the value of SMAM dropped by almost five years during the inter-censal period (from 31.5 to 26.7 years). Whereas only 32 percent of males in this occupational

sector were married by the age of 28 in the 1867 census, 79 percent of male respondents in the same age and occupational categories were married some 30 years later. It seems plausible to link this apparent increase in marriage opportunities to the gradual liberation from the restrictions of the declining guild system taking place in the city throughout the 1870s and 1880s (Lynch, 1991, 80-82; also Lee, 1999, 177; Lee, 2000).

As early as in 1867, the mean age at marriage among unskilled workers was the same as the age among skilled workers in 1900 (26.8 years). It fell further in the inter-censal period, reaching 24.4 years in 1900. This pattern of change was almost exclusively achieved through an increased propensity to marry among younger males. Whereas in 1867 only 16 percent of males were married by the age of 25, this figure had quadrupled in the next enumeration²¹.

Another dimension of nuptiality differentials around 1900 is related to the effect of migration. People born outside of Rostock married younger than the native-born population: the SMAM for native-born men in 1900 was 28.4, compared to 27.1 for the immigrants, while the respective figures for women were 25.9 years and 24.8 years. The difference is rather small, but it actually masks huge occupational differentials. The difference is particularly revealing for unskilled workers. While the average person born in Rostock married for the first time at the age of 26.7, the average resident who came from outside the city married three years earlier. As a consequence, the marriage pattern for the latter category does not appear to be an "exaggerated" version of the European Marriage

Pattern: not only did these males marry relatively early; but, after the age of 45, the share of celibates in this group disappears almost entirely. Other occupational groups showed the same trend, but the magnitude was smaller (see Table 3; also Lynch, 1991, 84-87).


The nuptiality pattern of migrants to Rostock stands in marked contrast to the patterns of other industrializing cities, where, according to a number of studies, migrants always married later than natives (e.g., Alter, 1996; Brändström, Sundin & Tedebrand, 2000; Oris, 2000; also Lynch, 1991, 84-85; recently Moreels and Matthijs, 2011). It also differed from the patterns found for Bremen, where family formation among immigrants was significantly delayed relative to the native-borns. Also, men and women in Bremen generally married earlier than in Rostock (native-born men married for the first time at an average age of 28.4, and women at an average age of 24.5 years; while the respective ages for immigrants were 29 and 26.6; see Lee and Marschalck, 2002, 263-264). Constrained nuptiality due to skewed sex ratios and gender-specific migration streams and employment structure was considered typical for many European port cities (see Lee, 1998, 155-156; Lee, 2000; for criticism of this view, see Lynch, 1996, and Oris, 2000).

Within-city spatial differences in nuptiality call for a separate discussion, because not all socioeconomic groups were evenly distributed within Rostock. Whereas in the earlier census differences between the city districts in the proportions of the ever-married were by no means unequivocal, by the end of the century, a clear differentiation had emerged. Two newly developed suburbs (KTV and STV) were then occupying

positions at the two extremes, with the former clearly displaying an earlier marriage pattern, and the latter the latest pattern of all the city districts. Whereas the average man living in KTV around the turn of the century married at age 26.3, and the average woman at age 23.5; their counterparts in the southern suburb of STV did so three and almost six years later, respectively. These differences were concomitant with the socioeconomic profiles of the two neighborhoods, with the high proportion of unskilled workers of KTV following the early marriage patterns generally typical of their own occupational group, and with the high proportion of white-collar people and tradesmen of STV marrying significantly later.

There are two other processes that should be studied in conjunction with nuptiality: leaving home and household formation. The problem of leaving home is very complex. Here we approach it in only a very cursory way, by focusing on the direction and the crude magnitude of change occurring between the censuses. To start with, we simply divided the Rostock population into those who were living with one or both of their parents, and those who were not. We focus on the first group, which we equate with those who had not yet left the parental home²².

Figure 4 presents the age-specific proportions of parental co-residence broken down by sex and censal year. It shows that, on average, men left home much later in 1900 than in 1867. In the earlier census, 52.1 percent of males aged 15 were still living with their parents, compared to 63.1 percent some 30 years later. The difference at age 24 is even more striking, as the percentage of men still living with at least one parent


Fig. 4 *Living with at Least one Parent in Rostock by Sex and Census Year*

Note: the data for 1900 is weighted population.

at that age in 1900 was twice as high as in the 1867 census. Only by the age of 25 do the curves charting the proportions of males living with their parents converge in the two censuses at levels of around 18 to 20 percent. Not only did men tend to leave the parental home later in 1900 than in 1867; they also spent a much shorter fraction of their life course living as non-kin residents of domestic groups (servants, apprentices, journeymen, or lodgers). For women, however, there was almost no change between the censuses. In 1867, males left the parental home earlier than females (there was a relative difference of around 10 percent). In 1900, there was almost perfect equality of the sexes in this regard.

Yet behind these general trends lie a wide diversity of experiences. Male patterns, in particular, might well be the composites of various distinct patterns that depend on the migratory history²³ and the social and occupational characteristics of individuals, even though a

general delay in leaving home was occurring across all occupational sectors of the society in 1900. For example, although men in the craft trades left home later, thereby reducing their premarital exposure to living as a non-kin (apprentices, journeymen) in the households of others, a more extreme form of this pattern could be observed among unskilled workers (see Figure 5)²⁴. The major change experienced by the latter group between the censuses was related to a dramatic reduction in the boarding phase when workers lived with non-kin between leaving home and starting a family. As a consequence, for many unskilled workers from around 1900, a decision to leave the parental home was directly followed by marriage. The above pattern could be seen in the distribution of population in the city. Delays in leaving home could be observed among the subpopulations of all of the city districts except the KTV area, where residents tended to leave the parental home more rapidly in 1900 than in 1867.

Fig. 5 *Household Relationship Patterns of Male Unskilled Workers by Census Year*

The parallel trends in 1900 of remaining in the parental home longer and of marrying at younger ages are very interesting, if only because these developments indicate the decreasing importance of a life stage which traditionally allowed individuals to accumulate resources that could be used subsequently in family formation (Hartmann, 2004; de Moor and van Zanden, 2010). Shortening this “acquisitory” phase in the life course might have led to an increase in the number of males on the marriage market who were less endowed with the skills, “positions,” or earnings necessary to support a family, which may have endangered the balance between population and economic resources. This is why our next step is to investigate the relationship between marriage and household formation.

In both 1867 and 1900, the age-specific headship rates for men rose steadily up to ages 30-35, and reached a plateau thereafter. The only significant discrepancy between the censuses occurred among the elderly (65+),

whose headship rates were five percent lower in 1900. What really matters in understanding the process of household formation is the actual relationship between marriage and entry into headship (Hajnal, 1982, 463 ff.). Data in both censuses that is of relevance to explaining this important link among males suggests that, on average, marriage was not occurring until after household formation. This trend becomes clear when we compare the difference between the age at marriage (SMAM) and the age at assuming headship (Singulate Age at Household Formation/SMAHF) (Table 2). Since this difference was generally small, we can assume that there was quite a strong association between family formation and becoming a household head in both 1867 and 1900. By the end of the century this association had become even stronger, as the absolute difference between the SMAM and the SMAHF had declined from around 11 months to five months. The phase of living as a solitary household head before marriage

Tab. 2 *Entry into Marriage and into Headship by Census Year, Occupational Sector, District and Migration Status (Males Only)*

	SMAM	SMAHF	Absolute difference
Type of characteristic		1867	
Altstadt	30,2	29,1	-1,1
Neustadt	30,4	29,7	-0,7
STV	30,1	29,0	-1,1
KTV	29,7	29,5	-0,2
Skilled workers	31,5	31,3	-0,2
Unskilled workers	26,8	26,5	-0,3
Others	31,4	28,9	-2,5
Overall	30,3	29,4	-0,9
Type of characteristic		1900	
Altstadt	27,6	26,8	-0,8
Neustadt	28,5	27,9	-0,6
STV	29,7	29,1	-0,6
KTV	26,3	26,1	-0,2
Skilled workers	26,7	26,2	-0,5
Unskilled workers	24,4	23,9	-0,5
Others	30,2	30,0	-0,2
Born in Rostock	28,4	28,2	-0,2
Born outside	27,1	26,7	-0,4
Overall	27,4	27,0	-0,4

Note: the data for 1900 is weighted population.

was shortened to a greater extent for those segments of urban society among whom this difference was larger in 1867. Interestingly, it was shorter for people born in Rostock than for the non-natives²⁵.

All in all, the congruence of the declining age at marriage and the strong relationship between marriage and attaining headship suggest that opportunities for establishing domestic economies were increasing for young adults in the city, both single and married. This could only happen in an environment in which employment, income opportunities, and the availability of housing were increasing²⁶. At least the latter process, as we have already indicated, was at work in

Rostock during the inter-censal period. The fact that more than half of the youngest male household heads in 1900 (ages 20-24) were actually residing in the newly developing KTV district also supports this argument.

Permanent celibacy is another aspect of nuptiality behavior which requires investigation. It is a common assumption in historical demography that the proportion of never-married people should be positively correlated to the age at marriage. Permanent celibacy, the argument goes, is merely a consequence of delayed marriage (Engelen and Kok, 2003, 97). Data provided in Table 3 suggests this notion can be generally accepted for Rostock.

Tab. 3 *Never Married Population Aged 45-54 Years in Rostock by Census Year*

Male population by occupational sector	1867		1900	
	Overall	Born in Rostock	Born outside Rostock	Overall
Skilled workers	5,2	5,8	6,5	6,3
Unskilled workers	3,4	7,1	2,4	2,9
Others	22,6	16,5	12,5	13,4
Men overall	9,6	9,3	7,2	7,7
Women overall	17,2	21,7	11,6	14,5

Note: the data for 1900 is weighted population.

At the aggregate level, the observed decline in the age at marriage between the censuses was accompanied by a decrease in the proportions of never-married males and females aged 45-54. However, despite a significant lowering of the female age at marriage between 1867 and 1900, relatively high rates of celibacy were still seen among women at the end of the period (14.5 percent)²⁷. It is also clear that this general tendency did not apply to all social groups, as among skilled workers, earlier marriage was also accompanied by an increased proportion of celibates in 1900. Yet the most surprising pattern can be observed among the migrants, especially women. It might be expected that people who come from outside of the city would have fewer resources (and other constraints imposed on them as well, such as crafts guilds' restrictions on gaining citizenship), which would limit their access to marriage and lead to significant rates of permanent celibacy among them (Sharlin, 1978). This was obviously not the case in Rostock. This is a complex problem (e.g., the time spent in the city before marriage, selection effect, differences in marital strategies between social groups, etc.; see Lynch, 1991; Oris, 2003, 192; Moreels and Matthijs, 2011) which we cannot deal with in this paper.

Spatial clustering within the city also resurfaced with regard to celibacy. This can be seen in the distinct behavioral patterns of two major suburbs. In both censuses, rates of celibacy were highest among the STV female population aged 45-54, and these rates increased over time (from 21 percent in 1867 to 27 percent in the second census). This trend was counterbalanced by the very low, and further decreasing, values of the variable in the KTV area (11.5 percent in 1867, and eight percent in 1900).

HOUSEHOLD STRUCTURE AND COMPOSITION

Changes and variations in the kin component of the co-resident domestic groups (that is, among persons who shared a clearly defined living space or dwelling), are often considered to be the most powerful indicators of change and diversity in family systems (Laslett, 1972). In a given community, a change in the household structure may, all other things being equal, reflect an important shift in preferred or achievable residential patterns. It may also indicate a change in the way obligations toward kin from outside of the immediate family circle are structured (Das Gupta,

Tab. 4 Household Structure in Rostock by Census Year

Categories	Classes (Laslett)	1867				1900			
		Freq	%	%	% with servants	Freq	%	%	% with servants
1. Solitaries	1a	476	7,12	17,41	17,63	1 121	7,76	15,27	13,50
	1b	688	10,29			1 085	7,51		
2. No family	2a	100	1,50	2,77	40,32	220	1,52	2,69	25,30
	2b	85	1,27			168	1,16		
	2c	0	0,00			0	0,00		
3. Simple family households	3a	891	13,33	71,41	29,14	2 089	14,46	72,98	14,40
	3b	3 123	46,72			6 744	46,67		
	3c	111	1,66			223	1,54		
	3d	649	9,71			1 489	10,30		
4. Extended family households	4a	174	2,60	8,35	37,61	655	4,53	8,80	17,60
	4b	50	0,75			35	0,24		
	4c	180	2,69			324	2,24		
	4d	154	2,30			257	1,78		
5. Multiple family households	5a	2	0,03	0,06	50,00	22	0,15	0,28	25,00
	5b	2	0,03			9	0,06		
	5c	0	0,00			1	0,01		
	5d	0	0,00			0	0,00		
	5e	0	0,00			8	0,06		
Total hhs		6 685	100,00	100,00	28,42	14 450	100,00	100,00	14,90

*Servants include: domestic servants, apprentices, and journeymen.

Note: the data for 1900 is weighted population. Household Typology According to Hammel-Laslett Scheme.

1997). Households that differ in structure may perform their welfare functions on an altogether different basis (Cain, 1991), and may cope with economic hardships in a different manner (Laslett, 1988).

Nearly three-quarters of all households in 1867 in Rostock had a nuclear structure, and, apart from solitaries, the numbers of all other types of domestic groups were negligible (Table 4). The cumulative percentage of all complex households (extended and multiple-family combined) only slightly exceeded eight percent. Out of nearly 7,000 households, only four displayed a multiple-family structure. This structural distribution of household types in Rostock underscores the prevalence of a strictly nuclear family system in the city, which was also characterized by a significant share of solitary households²⁸.

The comparison with 1900 reveals that only very minor shifts in the proportions of different household types occurred

between the censuses. There was a very slight increase in the share of nuclear families, accompanied by a decrease in the proportion of solitary domestic groups. There was basically no change in the category of extended households, although some compositional shifts occurred within subclasses (the share of domestic units with co-resident parent increased by 1900). The number of multiple-family households also remained negligible in the second census. Generally speaking, both censuses found that only a very small percentage of households were extended. Indeed, the percentage was smaller than in pre-industrial and industrializing England (Laslett, Wachter and Laslett, 1978, 70-72)²⁹. Rostock had significantly fewer complex households than mid-19th-century Preston, where 23 percent of all households included related persons other than members of the conjugal family (Anderson, 1971, 44). The overall pattern that can be seen in Rostock does not resemble the patterns

of either the industrialising city of Duisburg, or of less progressive Graz in Austria³⁰. If we assume that the socio-economic changes that were taking place in Rostock between 1867 and 1900 had an effect on prevailing household structures, we must conclude that both observed changes in the household pattern are rather trivial.

In both censuses, the incidence rates of complex households were equally low across all city districts and all occupational groups. The neighborhoods differed, however, with regards to proportions of simple and solitary households. The KTV area assumed a leading position in 1867 due to its very high proportion of nuclear families and very low proportion of solitary households (84 percent and seven percent, respectively). This was an effect of a new settlement process taking place against the background of expanding housing structures. By 1900, the area's peculiar position within the spatial patterns of household structure in the city largely disappeared due to an increase in the share of solitaries and a decrease in the

share of simple family households. By contrast, domestic group structures remained quite stable in the inner city comprising the Old and New Towns, with the latter retaining the lowest proportions of conjugal family households (67 percent) and the highest share of single-headed households (21 percent).

Occupational differentials in household structure were largely consistent over time, as well. Compared to other occupational groups, unskilled workers continued to be more inclined to form conjugal domestic units, and were less likely to live alone (85 percent and seven percent of all households, respectively, in both censuses). Among skilled workers, more households were headed by singles, while fewer were nuclear families. The real departure from the above patterns could be seen among white-collar workers, who were far more likely than other groups to form solitary households (above 25 percent), and were less likely to form simple family households (60 percent or below), as they continued to marry at later ages.

Tab. 5 *Summary Characteristics of Household Composition in Rostock by Census Years*

	1867		1900		
Total population in family households	27 528		53 318		
Subgroups	Abs.	%	Abs.	%	
Heads, spouses, children	21 164	76,88	44 594	83,64	
Relatives	Parents and grandparents	225	0,82	803	1,51
	Grandchildren	111	0,4	282	0,53
	Siblings and other lateral kin	424	1,54	931	1,75
	Other kin	201	0,73	189	0,35
	Total relatives	961	3,49	2 205	4,14
Non-relatives	Servants and employees	4 005	14,55	3 491	6,55
	Lodgers	1 007	3,66	2 933	5,5
	Other non-kin	391	1,42	95	0,18
	Total non-kin	5 403	19,63	6 519	12,23

Note: the data for 1900 is weighted population.

Table 5 presents another way of approaching the issue of household composition and changes in households over time. The general stability in patterns of kin cohabitation between the censuses is corroborated here, with only a very slight increase in the overall percentage of co-residing relatives in the population. As expected, most of the change was related to the rise in living with parents, but its relative magnitude was very small. Much more important was the substantial decrease in the share of secondary unrelated individuals (servants, lodgers, and other non-kin). The fact that this shift mainly occurred in the servant population demonstrates that the traditional pattern, in which servants or other employees, such as apprentices, lived with their employers, was fading by 1900 (see also Table 4). This was probably one of the reasons why the population of the city turned to live in smaller households during the inter-censal period³¹.


This decline in service occurred simultaneously among all major occupational groups (although with varying intensity), but not across all neighborhoods. Both in 1867 and 1900, servant co-residence was most frequent in the households of white-collar workers and tradesmen, followed by domestic groups of skilled workers. The households of unskilled workers seldom included servants. The sharpest decline in the frequency of living with servants (in that context: mainly apprentices and journeymen) occurred among the households of skilled workers, decreasing from 32 percent in 1867 to 12 percent in 1900. However, the change among white-collar workers and people in trade was also substantial (from 40 percent in the first census to 26 percent in the

second one). Out of four major city districts, three recorded a 50 percent decline in the proportion of households with servants. The southern suburb of STV was the only area of the city where the proportion increased between 1867 and 1900.

The numerical importance of particular household types among the populations under study can be better understood if we infer the intersection between individual and household life patterns from the age structure by household membership (see Reher, 1997, chapter 4). Figure 6 provides estimates of the proportion of the entire population found in various types of households separately for 1867 and 1900.

Both datasets show that the overwhelming majority of children below age 15 (more than 80 percent) spent their childhood and early teenage years in nuclear households. There was only a slight increase in the propensity to live in extended households in both census years, a tendency which was otherwise very stable across all age groups in both censuses. Thus, living with relatives cannot really be attributed to any specific age group of the city's population in either 1867 or 1900. By contrast, solitaries (and people living in domestic groups involving no conjugal units) had a more pronounced life-course pattern: in both censal years, the only subset of the population who seemed to be strongly affected by this life-course change were the aged. In both 1867 and 1900, the elderly were far more likely to live in a solitary or nuclear household than in any other form of living arrangement.

To get a better sense of other possible shifts in the domestic group structure, we looked at changes in household

Fig. 6 *Household Type Membership by Age and Census Year*


Note: the data for 1900 is weighted population.

composition based on the age of the household head. We found that nuclear households predominated throughout most of the life course in both censuses, except among the youngest heads (over 70 percent of male heads aged 25 to 64 were heading nuclear family households in both enumerations; for the elderly heads, see further in the text). In 1867 the overwhelming majority of the youngest heads were not yet married and lived in domestic groups involving no conjugal family core (mostly single-person households). The socioeconomic circumstances and occupations of these men varied, with some, for example, being students, and others unskilled workers or traders. It is hard to say whether this specific arrangement, whereby very young unmarried men were eligible and capable of heading households, represented some sort of an early industrial pattern which diminished once modernisation processes escalated³². Around 1900

early household formation seems to have been essentially linked with the formation of a family.

INTERGENERATIONAL BONDS


Using the “dyadic approach”, we can go even further in investigating individual relationship patterns within households, and examine the changes that occurred over the life courses of individuals. By looking at all individuals irrespective of whether they were independent householders, we can also move beyond the limitations of a strictly household-level analysis (similarly Oris, Ritschard, and Ryczkowska, 2006). Since the observed patterns of kin incorporation in the city involved predominantly lineal extension, we can limit our examination to life-course changes in the strength of intergenerational bonds in two census years; namely, co-residence with children (married and not).

Fig. 7 *Living with at Least one Child in Rostock by Age, Sex and Census Year*

Note: the data for 1900 is weighted population.

Figure 7 presents the proportions of people who lived with at least one child in Rostock by age, sex and census year. There was a decrease in the share of elderly men co-residing with a child in 1900, but generally no change for elderly females. Among both sexes, however, the ages at which individuals were sharing a residence with children were falling, which is in line with the observed decline in the age at marriage, and, presumably, the beginning of the childbearing career. There were also more people living with children at middle ages in 1900 than in 1867, which was perhaps an effect of declining infant and child mortality. The change in nuptiality explains most of the difference in the individual life courses of younger adults between the censuses, but not the differences in the rates of co-residence with children at older ages. All other things being equal, living with children was a less common living arrangement among males in 1900, but not necessarily among females.

We now move to an investigation of more important aspects of intergenerational co-residence in Figure 8, which charts the rates of living with married children over an average life course in both censuses. First, co-residence with a conjugal family unit disposed downwards never affected more than one-fifth of the oldest group of the Rostock population. Second, this type of kin co-residence was highly skewed, as it occurred predominantly in the latest stages of a person's life. There was also a clear pattern of change between the censuses. Figures 7 and 8 together show that a decreasing propensity to live with children between 1867 and 1900 was accompanied by a somewhat greater likelihood of co-residing with at least one married child at older ages. However, the extent of the change was quite small on average. Adjusting the figure for the three-year decrease in the age at marriage reduces the difference, but the message remains the same: in relative terms, more people lived with married children in 1900 than in 1867.

Fig. 8 *Living with at Least One Married Child in Rostock by Age Sex and Census Year*

Note: the data for 1900 is weighted population.


A breakdown of the 1900 data by male and female migratory status shows that a lion's share of the observed increase in co-residence with married children at older ages was due to the specific residential behavior of immigrant families. Elderly people born outside the city tended to reside with their married children more often than the native-borns (13 percent versus four percent among males aged 75-79; 22 percent versus nine percent among females in the same age group)³³. Equally interesting is the differential behavior of the elderly in the KTV suburb. In this traditionally working-class district, a stronger inclination towards intergenerational co-residence at old age had developed by the end of the century. This peculiarity is partly explained by the higher proportions of widowed people among the aged in the KTV, as the elderly co-residence rates with married children were highest for widowed people.

So far we have focused on cases of co-residence with close relatives, and used changes in their respective proportions

over time to extrapolate possible changes in the strength of intergenerational bonds. However, it is equally interesting to look at the patterns of "unrelatedness", and how they evolve over time. Family historians and historical demographers have devoted considerable attention to the study of people who were residing without family, whether as household heads, or as inmates, lodgers, or boarders attached to households of non-kin. The increasing share of primary and secondary unrelated individuals has been rightly identified as one of the most profound by-products of the urbanisation and industrialisation processes occurring in the urban environment (Modell and Hareven, 1977; recently Ruggles, 1988; Baskerville, 2001). Figure 9 seeks to capture this phenomenon in Rostock.

There were two stages of life during which living as an unrelated individual (either alone or with non-kin only) was particularly common. The first was around the age of 20, as people were

Fig. 9 *Living as an Unrelated Primary and Secondary Individual in Rostock by Age, Sex and Census Year*



Note: the data for 1900 is weighted population.

leaving home while the second was during old age. The first phase was very pronounced, with 70 percent of men in the age group 20-24 living without any kin in 1867, though this percentage fell to below 60 percent in 1900. The corresponding change for women in this age group was from 50 percent in 1867 to 40 percent in 1900. Thus, at least half of the women and three-quarters of the men were affected by this living arrangement in 1867, though these shares had declined by 1900³⁴. In sum, we found that high proportions of young adults were living outside the parental household and not yet in a household of their own, but that this trend was clearly declining over time. These findings confirm the earlier results indicating that young adults were leaving home earlier and marrying at younger ages in 1900. In both censuses, the proportions of unrelated individuals among elderly males were lower than among young adults of the

same sex, but female populations behaved differently. More women than men became widowed, and, consequently, more women lived either alone or with children. However, we still see a similar decline in the proportions of elderly people living in such arrangements between the two censuses.

The lower aggregate rates of “unrelatedness” among late adolescents and young adults in 1900 actually mask the divergent behavior patterns of migrants and native-borns in the second census. The young men and women who lived as unrelated individuals were predominantly immigrants. The proportion of persons aged 20-24 in such residential circumstances was 75 percent smaller among native-born men than among immigrants. It was also 66 percent smaller among resident females aged 15 to 19 than among their in-migrant counterparts. In the later stages of life, however, the differences between the two subsets of the population were


almost indistinguishable. Additionally, the major change among different occupational sectors involved a significant decrease in the share of unrelated individuals among young, unskilled workers (from 70 percent in the age group 20-24 in 1867, to less than 30 percent in 1900), which confirms our previous findings.

Another good indicator of the intergenerational co-residence patterns are the living arrangements of the aged (Ruggles, 2009). Family systems may differ substantially in how they perform their welfare functions for the most vulnerable members of the population (Laslett, 1988; Cain, 1991; also Oris and Ochiai, 2002). This is particularly apparent in the residential patterns of elderly people (Kertzer and Laslett, 1995). What position do the elderly find themselves in, and how does this position change with urbanisation in our case?

Representing about five percent of the city's population, the elderly (aged 65+) were a minority in Rostock. The majority

of them were women, mostly widowed, while more than half of the elderly men were still married at that age. The living arrangements of the elderly hardly changed between the censuses (Figure 10). Two-thirds of men lived in simple family households, compared with less than half of the women did so. Instead, almost half of the women lived in households without a single conjugal family unit. On the other hand, about one-quarter of women lived in extended family households, while the respective proportion among men was lower. All of these patterns seemed to have been stable over time, and did not change between the censuses. The share of the elderly who lived in complex households increased between 1867 and 1900, but only very slightly. If the prevailing family system in Rostock, which was based on late marriage and nuclear household residence, caused hardships for the elderly (Alter, 1996), this problem must have predated the developments of urban/industrial life in the city.

Fig. 10 Household Type Membership of Elderly People (65+) in Rostock by Sex and Census Year



Note: the data for 1900 is weighted population.

Tab. 6 *Residence Patterns of the Elderly in Rostock by Sex, Marital Status, and Type of Residential Positioning and Census Year*

Gender	Marital status	Having no co-resident relatives of any kind		Having at least one person from the pool of living in an empty nest immediate kin			
		1867	1900	1867	1900	1867	1900
Men	Unmarried	76.7	61.7	6.7	9.2	16.7	30.0
	Married	1.5	2.8	98.2	96.5	44.9	57.4
	Widowed	49.4	44.4	44.6	49.1	6.0	6.6
	Overall	21.9	19.6	74.7	75.5	31.8	41.0
Women	Unmarried	62.4	58.4	10.7	8.6	27.0	33.0
	Married	2.1	2.2	97.9	97.3	59.6	60.1
	Widowed	43.6	40.1	46.3	50.5	10.1	9.2
	Overall	38.4	34.7	50.4	54.1	24.1	24.4

Data for men: 623 individuals in 1867 and 955 in 1900.

Data for women: 901 individuals in 1867 and 1,689 in 1900.

The continuity of living arrangements among the elderly can be further understood by examining a change in the three broad types of their residential positioning in Rostock (Table 6). In both censuses, the majority of elderly men and women still co-resided with at least one member of their immediate conjugal family (three quarters of males and half of elderly females). The relative change over time was almost negligible in this regard, and can by no means be taken as an indication that a progressive residential isolation of the aged was occurring. This observation is generally supported by an examination of patterns among the potentially most vulnerable individuals in this age group: that is, those living alone or with non-relatives only. Here again we observe a downward trend among both sexes over time (from 21.9 to 19.6 percent for men, and from 38.4 to 34.7 for women). This observation runs contrary to the usual structural-functional assumptions regarding the

effect of urban life on family, even though females remained more exposed to “nuclear hardships” than men in 1900 (comp. Oris, Ritschard, and Ryczkowska, 2006; Alter, 1996). Finally, there is the issue of the empty nest stage of the family life cycle, during which the parents (or parent) are the only people left in the household after the departure of their children from the parental home. More men had experienced that stage of family life in 1900 than in 1867, but the pattern was stable for women.

Apart from this overall picture, there were, however, major differences within the elderly population according to their marital status. Those living without any co-resident relatives of any kind were a majority among the unmarried elderly, and made up almost half of the widowed elderly. In contrast, an empty nest stage was experienced by about 60 percent of married elderly women, and about half of married elderly men (with an increase over time for men only).

CONCLUSIONS

During the XIXth century, the city of Rostock underwent significant changes: the population increased substantially, population density rose, and the urban space expanded beyond the city walls. Towards the end of the century, industrialisation gained momentum and provided an increasing number of jobs outside the traditional sectors of handicraft, trade, and transport. Nevertheless, the question regarding nature of the connection between those changes and the shifts among the components of the family system is not unlike the well-known dilemma of whether a glass is half empty or half full.

We observe a seeming congruence between socio-economic and nuptiality changes in the population under study, although the changing institutional context was also involved in bringing this change about. During the time period between the censuses of 1819 and 1867, almost no familial change occurred (Szołtysek *et al.*, 2009). However, between 1860s and 1900, the average age at marriage decreased by three years for both sexes. This was a substantial and relatively rapid transition compared to the very stable nuptiality patterns observed over almost half a century preceding the 1867 census, which was accompanied by a slow decrease in the originally very high proportion of never-married people.

The nuptiality change seems to have been the impetus behind most of the other familial modifications observed. First and foremost, it triggered changes in the life-course transitions of individuals, such as the ages at leaving home and household formation. The age at

leaving home increased for young men, but not for women. Developments in nuptiality were accompanied an increased pace of domestic unit formation, which served to strengthen the connection between marriage and new household formation in 1900. Developing housing structures within the newly expanded city boundaries provided for this apparent association between marriage and neo-local residence. The concurrence of a delayed process of leaving home, earlier entry into marriage, as well as decreasing age at becoming a household head, resulted in the substantial reduction in the premarital trend among young adults of living as non-kin in household of others. In particular, the traditional patterns of servants or other employees living with their employers had diminished substantially by 1900.

By contrast, the effects of economic and societal changes on the composition of households were negligible. Co-residence in simple family households remained the dominant pattern. The overwhelming majority of co-resident domestic groups in Rostock were nuclear before, during, and after industrialisation. The extension of nuclear family households was a minor phenomenon, and remained constant over time. There was a slight decrease in the percentage of solitary households, but a sharp drop-off in co-residence as unrelated individuals, including as servants, apprentices, or journeymen. This development continued well into the 20th century and resulted in the eventual disappearance of this kind of living arrangement.

Household types among the elderly did not seem to change in the period

under investigation. Changes in co-residence rates with children reflected the younger age at marriage; apart from this shift, a slight increase in co-residence with married offspring among the oldest residents was the only other change that occurred. A dyadic approach generally corroborates the general trend posited using more traditional measures: the likelihood of the elderly co-residing with married children increased only very slightly between the censuses. A progressive residential isolation of the aged can hardly be proved for Rostock.

The persistence of household structures in Rostock might be surprising, as is the fact that the continuity of the family pattern in the city rested primarily on the tenacity of nuclear family-centered patterns of co-residence. If, therefore, continuity is revealed to be among the prevailing themes of our study of historical residence patterns, what was its source?

The role of the guild system must first be mentioned, as its dissolution in Rostock was a slow and tough process that was not fully completed until around 1890 (Schröder 2003, 140). It is a well-known fact that crafts guilds were usually able to influence individual behavior by restricting access to marriage. By perpetuating values stressing the association between marriage, independent economic position, and neo-local residence, they might have also helped in sustaining the prevalence of the simple family model over the long run among the city dwellers (Lynch, 1991, 80-81, 92-93). Also relevant is the observation that the general pattern of household composition in late-19th-century Germany leaned strongly towards simple domestic group structures (Szołtysek *et al.*,

2010b). We can also tentatively assume that simple domestic structures dominated among the rural population of the Mecklenburg hinterland of that time³⁵, making it unlikely that rural migrants would have brought to their urban destinations patterns of family life other than those resembling the conjugal households typical of their areas of origin. Finally, it has been argued that urban family structures generally tended to be predominantly nuclear, irrespective of time and space, although the specific patterns that prevailed in the countryside might have had an additional effect on who lived with whom in the city (Solli, 2010; Gruber, 2008; Kaiser, 1992).

It could be argued that, because Rostock was less affected than other areas by the changes resulting from large-scale industrialisation, proletarianisation, and “paroxysmic” (Bourdelaïs, 2000, 364) population growth, our setting is not suitable for examining the Parsonian perspective on the relationship between industrialisation and the family³⁶. Seen through these lenses, the marked durability of household structures between 1867 and 1900 in the city could be taken as a manifestation of inertia resulting from the partial and incomplete character of the structural changes of the local economy. This argument can, however, be refuted on the basis of our knowledge of cases from 19th-century Europe in which significant changes took place in a city’s household structure without any major socioeconomic processes being involved, apart from growth in the population (Zyplikiewicz, 2010). It appears that additional comparative research on places with different demographic and socioeconomic characteristics must

be conducted before a more thoughtful model of the relationship between “industrialisation(s)”, “urbanisation” and household formation behaviour can be developed. Some efforts to realise this goal are already underway.

Mikołaj SZOŁTYSEK
Siegfried GRUBER
Barbara ZUBER-GOLDSTEIN
Rembrandt SCHOLZ

*Laboratory of Historical Demography,
Max Planck Institute for Demographic
Research,
Rostock,
Germany.*

*Szolysek@demogr.mpg.de
or Gruber@demogr.mpg.de*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This article is a revised and expanded version of the paper presented at 35th Annual SSHA Meeting, session *Social change and family change I: The impact of urbanisation and industrialisation on family systems*, Chicago, 18-21 November 2010. We wish to acknowledge the continuous support for the realisation of this project we have received from the director of Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research, Joshua R. Goldstein. We also wish to thank Martin Dinter (MPIDR) for providing data management and research assistance. Finally, we want to thank Katherine Lynch, George Alter, and two anonymous reviewers for their comments on an earlier version of this paper.

NOTES

1. In this paper, industrialisation and urbanisation are seen as interdependent processes of modern economic development, even though the exact nature of their causal relationship is still open to considerable debate (Kim, 2005). While cities existed in Europe prior to the XIXth century (de Vries, 1984), rapid urbanisation coincided with a process of industrialisation that took hold and accelerated over the course of the XIXth century. Industrialisation was conducive to urbanisation mainly because, by bringing about an expansion in industry, trade and manufacturing; the former process facilitated the development of centralised sites of production, distribution, exchange and credit, as well as a regular system of communication and transport. By stimulating factory employment, industrialisation encouraged a high degree of territorial mobility, which led to the concentration of population, and, consequently, to the expansion of urban space (de Vries, 1995, 44). Both industrialisation and urbanisation may be seen as essential components of wider societal changes which bring forth mass education, occu-

pational specialisation and a shift away from traditional to rational-legal authority (“modernisation”) (Inglehart, 1997, 67 ff).

2. In our earlier study, we used the 1819 census of Mecklenburg (one of the oldest surviving individual-level data population censuses in Germany). However, this census count poses several methodological problems that hinder its exploitation in the present study (Gruber *et al.*, 2011).

3. A good discussion of these new developments is provided in the *Journal Historical Methods* (see issues 33(4) from 2000, as well as 14(1/2) from 2002).

4. According to the census definition of household at that time, a group of people was considered to be a co-resident domestic group if they were living together on the basis of shared resources. Not only biological members of the family and other related persons were included in this category, but also servants, boarders and lodgers (Rothenbacher 2002, 278; for an explicit definition see SDRNF-32; 1888, page 8).

5. Information on the age and sex structure of the population provides an essential guide when considering potential drawbacks and deficiencies of the census coverage. Major effects of age and sex errors in the census statistics can best be revealed by means of different indexes (Poston, 2006, 19-25; Hobbs, 2008, 125-126). The general reliability of our age statistics is demonstrated first by the very low values of indexes that measure the degree of preference or avoidance for ages ending in 0 and 5, and finally in all 10 digits (so-called Whipple and Myers indexes). The calculation of Whipple's index yielded values of 103 for men and women in 1867, and of 101 for men and 103 for women in 1900 (Whipple index values of less than 105 suggest that the age distribution is "highly accurate"; see United Nations, 1990, 18-19). Myers' indexes of digit preference or avoidance for both sexes combined are also very low, indicating almost no digit preference in our data (3.6 in 1867, and 2.2 in 1900). The Whipple indexes (sexes combined) for one percent samples of urban United Kingdom and Wales of 1881 and the United States of 1880 were 117 and 152, respectively (Minnesota Population Center/NAPP 2008). The high quality of our materials is only partly confirmed with a summary measure of error in age-sex data derived from the censuses (the so-called "age-sex accuracy index"), which yielded values of 27.4 and 26.5 for the 1867 and 1900 censuses, respectively. Census age-sex data are described by the UN as "accurate", "inaccurate" or highly "inaccurate" depending on whether the index is under 20, 20-40 or over 40 (United Nations, 1952, 61; Hobbs, 2008, 148-150). However, deviations of the indexes from these standard values in our case do not necessarily indicate inaccuracy of the data, but may be explained by the presence of factors which "normally" disturb the regularity of the age structure: in particular, by sex- and age-specific in- and out-migration from the city (Hobbs, 2008, 142, 150-151).

6. In the following analysis, the military population is excluded. The sample of 1900 includes only about 10 percent of the military population of that year. These are mostly officers and the inmates of the military hospital, while the inmates of the military barracks are missing. An analysis would therefore be heavily biased, also in comparison to 1867. Second, the soldiers were

put into private households (where they lived as boarders) until 1890, when they began living in military barracks (Schröder, 2010, 145). Our analysis focuses on family households only, so it excludes institutional households in which the military population was living in 1900.

7. Oris and Ochiai (2002, 36) proposed a more general definition of the family system as "a cultural construction under economic and demographic constraints".

8. Jackson's study of the city of Duisburg in western Germany, along with Hubbard's analysis of Graz in Austria, remain the major reference points for our study (Jackson, 1997; Hubbard, 1976). In the second half of the XIXth century, Duisburg was dramatically affected by the arrival of heavy industry to the Ruhr valley; Graz can be characterized as a city of engineering, civil servants, and pensioners (Hubbard, 1976, 286).

9. This feature was only partly shared with the Mecklenburg hinterland. The province experienced a dynamic population development, from 395,383 inhabitants in 1819, to 625,045 in 1905. However, while the population grew at an annual rate of 1.15 percent for the first half of the century (1819-1850), the growth rate for the rest of the XIXth century was only 0.68 percent (Haak, 1977, 932; see also Constantine, 2007, 7-8; Oeppen and Toch, 2010). The population of Rostock was smaller and grew at a slower pace than in the major port cities of northern Germany, like Hamburg or Bremen (Lee and Marschalck, 2002, 255-256). Duisburg grew from having a population of around 7,000 in 1831 to more than 92,000 in 1900 (Jackson 1997, 7).

10. In Bremen, the proportion of the in-migrant population was 36 percent in 1862, but rose to 46 percent in 1905 (Lee, 1999, 442). In industrial cities like Bochum and Gelsenkirchen, almost two-thirds of the population were born outside the city in 1907, while the average for German cities with more than 100,000 inhabitants was 58 percent (Köllmann, 1959, 64 ff.).

11. There was only a very minor change in life expectancy at older ages (less than one year at age 60).

12. Since the children of the immigrants to the city are treated in the census as "native-born," the actual difference between the two subpopulations should be smaller. The respective numbers in

Bremen in 1905 were 48.5 percent and 10 percent (Lee and Marschalck, 2000, 381).

13. This is partly corroborated by the ratios of unmarried men to unmarried women in the age group 20-29. In 1900 there was a female majority within this age group of 52.2 percent, while the numbers were almost even in 1867 (women made up 49.8 percent; with soldiers excluded in both censuses).

14. Only 2,554 people lived in the KTV area in 1867. Thirty-three years later, the population of this district had increased to over 22,000. The substantial expansion of the city's boundaries during the XIXth century was due to a large wave of construction of building stock in the western and southern suburbs between 1876 and 1914. Over 80 percent of all (3,494) houses in Rostock around 1886 had a water connection, whereas nearly all new buildings in the suburbs had both a water and a gas connection.

15. While rapid and steady economic and social change was occurring during the second half of the XIXth century in the biggest port city of northern Germany, Hamburg, Bremen seem to have represented an intermediate pace of development between that of the fast-growing Hamburg and the largely stagnating Rostock (on Bremen: Lee, 1999, 194-196; Lee and Marschalck, 2002).

16. Only four companies/enterprises out of 79 in the city employed more than 100 personnel in 1895 (Polzin and Witt, 1975, 59).

17. There were still 60 different guilds in Rostock in the second half of the XIXth century (Archiv der Hansestadt Rostock (AHR): 1.1.3.20 / 192, List of *Ämter*, drawn up in 1883). The last one to vanish was the fishing guild (Fischamt), which existed until 1945 (AHR: 1.2.7, Findbuch).

18. For the features that are attributed to port cities and are thought to account for their distinct demographic, economic and social characteristics, see: Lee, 1998; Lee and Marschalck, 2000, 374-375; also Sharlin, 1978; Oris, 2003.

19. According to Dietzsch (1918, 30), the law in the Grand Duchy of Mecklenburg-Schwerin required every man to have a domicile before getting married. Dispensation was possible for those who intended to emigrate.

20. In a sense, this type of nuptiality behavior was typical of the male bourgeois culture almost

everywhere in Europe during the XIXth century. In order to advance in their career, males from this category were customarily prone to pre-marital education before contracting a marriage with a woman from the same social class. However, because in our case this category is far from being occupationally homogenous (e.g. it also contains those men who were working as lower clerical and sales personnel), we think it would not be entirely appropriate to label it as a "petty and medium bourgeoisie".

21. Our discussion of Figure 3 complies with the earlier observations of Ehmer, who, in contrasting Central Europe to England, associated the decline in age at marriage with later stages of industrialisation (Ehmer, 1991).

22. Cross-sectional census data are hardly the ideal source with which to study this process, as well as other forms of migratory movements. Several methods have been proposed to study how children disappear from the parental home in the current-status data. It has been suggested, for example, that the proportion of children residing with their parents between the ages of 15 and 19 be compared with the same proportion between the ages of 10 and 14 (e.g., Wall, 1987). The method presented here is very reminiscent of one of the techniques used by Schürer in his analysis of the home-leaving process in England (Schürer, 2003). This approach is far from perfect, since the distribution and intensity of adult mortality can influence the shape of the curve presented in Figure 4.

23. For example, using the "crossover-point" method described in Schürer (2003), we estimated that around 1,900 men born in Rostock left the parental home at the age of 25. Those born outside the city did so at age 15. See footnotes 10 and 20 for explanations of why caution is needed in interpreting these figures.

24. These social classes were men and not women, which might explain the fact that the age at leaving home did not change for females.

25. Theoretically, the heading of households by never-married adults could result from either intentional household formation by young adults, or the transmission of headship occurring in response to the abrupt death of the older family member who was previously in charge of the domestic unit. In our case, the overwhelming

majority of those unmarried male household heads were in charge of solitary households (80 percent in the age group 20-29 years in 1900, and more than 90 percent in 1867). This is confirmed by an analysis of unmarried men of the same age group who lived with a mother, but no father (a constellation after the death of the father as the male household head): in 1900 only five percent of this group were registered as heading a household, while in 1867 the share was, at one percent, even lower at. Those unmarried men heading a household in Rostock were therefore clearly establishing a new household and not taking over an existing household after the death of the previous household head.

26. Between 1850 and 1914 real wages increased more than twofold in Germany (König, 2000, 124).

27. Of the women in the province of Mecklenburg-Schwerin, 9.8 percent were celibate in 1885, 9.3 percent in 1890, and 9.3 percent in 1910 (Szołtysek *et al.*, 2010).

28. Following Laslett, by solitary households we mean here domestic groups headed by solitary persons, and not exclusively single-person households.

29. Laslett proposed the figure of 10.1 percent extended households for pre-industrial England. In the city of Rotterdam, extended families varied from 6 percent to 13 percent of the population between 1810 and 1880 (Janssens, 1986, 29).

30. In Duisburg there was a significant decrease in the proportion of households with extended relatives, from 13.8 percent in 1867 to 5.6 percent in 1890; see Jackson, 1997, 55, 163. In Graz, however, a reversal of this pattern was seen. In this city, the share of extended family households rose from 11 to 21 percent in the second half of the XIXth century (Hubbard, 1976, 290).

31. The share of the population living in households with no more than six persons increased between the censuses, from 65.3 percent to 80.5 percent. Compared to Rostock, the decrease in the proportion of households with living-in servants was very slight both in Duisburg between 1867 and 1890 (from 12.1 percent to 10.8 percent), as well as in Graz between 1857 and 1900 (from 33.7 percent to 29.4 percent); see Jackson, 1997, 163; Hubbard, 1976, 290.

32. This pattern persists even if the subpopulation of students is excluded from the pool of household heads.

33. The traditionally held distinction between migrants and non-migrants in terms of their comparative likelihood to form extended family arrangements was challenged by Janssens (1993, 189-192).

34. This calculation was also redone with the category of students excluded from the pool of young men in the city. The proportions then decreased to 65 percent in 1867 and less than 60 percent in 1900.

35. The agrarian landscape of East Elbian Germany (and Mecklenburg in particular) was dominated by large manorial farms and by the rural strata, consisting primarily of rural laborers with little or no land of their own and cottagers, but only a small number of independent middle-strata peasant farmers (Constantine, 2007, 1, 6, 24).

36. It was designed to deal with “ideal types” of XIXth-century industrial cities characterized by dramatic and all-pervading change resulting from large-scale industrialisation, proletarianisation, and high rates of population turnover, which was too often the exception in historical reality (Janssens, 1993, 244).

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

- ALTER, George (1996), "The European Marriage Pattern as Solution and problem: Households of the Elderly in Verviers, Belgium, 1831", *The History of the Family* 1 (2), 123-138.
- ANDERSON, Michael (1971), *Family Structure in Nineteenth-Century Lancashire*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge studies in sociology 5).
- ARCURY, Thomas A. (1990), "Industrialisation and Household Family Life Course Characteristics: Appalachian Kentucky Young Adults in 1880 and 1910", *Journal of Family History*, 15 (1), 285-312.
- BASKERVILLE, Peter (2001), "Familiar Strangers: Urban Families with Boarders, Canada, 1901", *Social Science History*, 25 (3), 321-346.
- BERQUÓ, Elza, XENOS, Peter (eds.) (1992), *Family Systems and Cultural Change*, Oxford, Clarendon Press.
- BOURDELAIS, Patrice (2000), "Demographic Changes in European Industrializing Towns. Examples and Elements for Comparison", *The History of the Family*, 5 (4), 363-372.
- BOURDIEU, Jérôme, KESZTENBAUM, Lionel and POSTEL-VINAY, Gilles (2010), "Living Together, Growing Old Together. Fragments for a history of coresidence in France during the Industrial Revolution", Paper presented at 35th Annual SSHA Meeting, Chicago, 18-21 November 2010.
- BRÄNDSTRÖM, Anders, SUNDIN, Jan and TEDEBRAND, Lars-Göran (2000), "Two Cities. Urban Migration and Settlement in Nineteenth-Century Sweden", *The History of the Family*, 5 (4), 415-429.
- CAIN, Mead (1991), "Welfare Institutions in Comparative Perspective: The Fate of the Elderly in Contemporary South Asia and Pre-Industrial Western Europe", 222-243, in *Life, Death, and the Elderly: Historical Perspectives*, Margaret Pelling & Richard Michael Smith (eds.), London, Routledge.
- CONSTANTINE, Simon (2007), *Social Relations in the Estate Villages of Mecklenburg c.1880-1924*, Aldershot, Hampshire, Burlington, Vt., Ashgate.
- DAS GUPTA, Monica (1997), "Kinship Systems and Demographic Regimes", 36-52, in *Anthropological Demography: Toward a New Synthesis*, David I. Kertzer and Tom Fricke (eds.), Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
- DE MOOR, Tine, VAN ZANDEN, Jan Luiten (2010), "Girl Power: the European Marriage Pattern and Labour Markets in the North Sea Region in the Late Medieval and Early Modern Period", *Economic History Review* 63 (1), 1-33.
- DE VRIES, Jan (1984), *European Urbanization, 1500-1800*, Cambridge (Mass.), Harvard University Press.
- DE VRIES, Jan (1990), "Problems in the Measurement, Description, and Analysis of Historical Urbanization", 43-60, in *Urbanization in History*, Adrianus Maria van der Woude, Akira Hayami and Jan de Vries (eds.), Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- DIETZSCH, Ernst Hellmuth (1918), *Die Bewegung der mecklenburgischen Bevölkerung von 1850 bis 1910. Ein Beitrag zur politischen und volkswirtschaftlichen Geschichte des Großherzogtums Mecklenburg-Schwerin*, Schwerin, Herberger.
- DILLON, Lisa, ROBERTS, Evan (2002), "Introduction: Longitudinal and Cross-Sectional Historical Data: Intersections and Opportunities", *History and Computing*, 14(1-2), 1-7.
- EHMER, Josef (1991), *Heiratsverhalten, Sozialstruktur, ökonomischer Wandel. England und Mitteleuropa in der Formationsperiode des Kapitalismus*, Göttingen, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

- ENGELN, Theo, KOK, Jan (2003), "Permanent Celibacy and Late Marriage in the Netherlands, 1890-1960", *Population*, 58 (1), 67-95.
- GOODE, William J. (1963), *World Revolution and Family Patterns*, Glencoe, IL, Free Press.
- GOODE, William J. (1966), "Industrialization and Family Change", 237-255, in *Industrialization and Society*, Bert F. Hoselitz and Wilbert E. Moore (eds.), New York, UNESCO.
- GROENKE, Erwin (1982), „Industrielle Entwicklung Rostocks in der zweiten Hälfte des 19. Jahrhunderts“, 3-22, in *Beiträge zur Geschichte der Stadt Rostock*, Stadtarchiv Rostock et al. (eds.), Neue Folge, Heft 2, Rostock, Stadt, Ostsee-Druck.
- GRUBER, Siegfried (2008), "Household Structures in Urban Albania in 1918", *The History of the Family*, 13 (2), 138-151.
- GRUBER, Siegfried, SCHOLZ, Rembrandt, D., SZOŁTYSEK, Mikołaj (2011), "Real and Synthetic Household Populations and their Analysis: an Example of Early Historical Census Microdata (Rostock in 1819)", *Historical Methods: A Journal of Quantitative and Interdisciplinary History*, 44 (2), 107-113.
- HAAK, Hanna (1977), „Bevölkerungswachstum in Mecklenburg-Schwerin 1819 bis 1905“, *Wissenschaftliche Zeitschrift der Wilhelm-Pieck-Universität Rostock*, Gesellschafts- und Sprachwissenschaftliche Reihe, Vol. 26, 1977, Issue 10, 931-936.
- HAJNAL, John (1965), "European Marriage Patterns in Perspective", 101-143, in *Population in History. Essays in historical demography*, David Glass Victor and David Edward Charles Eversley (eds.), *Population in History. Essays in Historical Demography*, London, Edward Arnold.
- HAJNAL, John (1982), "Two Kinds of Preindustrial Household Formation System", *Population and Development Review*, 8 (3), 449-494.
- HAREVEN, Tamara K. (1978) "The Dynamics of Kin", 151-181, in *Turning Points. Historical and sociological essays on the family*, John Demos and B. Sarane Spence Boocock, Chicago, University of Chicago Press.
- HAREVEN, Tamara K. (1982), *Family Time and Industrial Time*, New York, Cambridge University Press.
- HAREVEN, Tamara K. (2000), *Families, History and Social Change. Life Course and Cross-cultural Perspectives*, Boulder, Westview Press.
- HARTMAN, Mary S. (2004), *The Household and the Making of History. A Subversive View of the Western Past*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- HOBBS, Frank B. (2008), "Age and sex composition", 125-173, in *The Methods and Materials of Demography*, Jacob S. Siegel and David A. Swanson (eds.), 2nd ed., Bingley, Emerald.
- HUBBARD, Wilhelm H. (1976), „Forschungen zur städtischen Haushaltsstruktur am Ende des 19. Jahrhunderts: Das Grazhaus-Projekt“, 283-291, in *Sozialgeschichte der Familie in der Neuzeit Europas*, Werner Conze (ed.), Klett.
- INGLEHART, Ronald (1997), *Modernization and Postmodernization: Cultural, Economic, and Political Change in 43 Societies*, Princeton, NJ, Princeton University Press.
- JACKSON, James Harvey Jr. (1997), *Migration and Urbanization in the Ruhr Valley 1821-1914. (Studies in Central European Histories.)*, Atlantic Highlands, N.J., Humanities Press.
- JANSSENS, Angelique (1986), "Industrialization without Family Change? The Extended Family and the Life Cycle in a Dutch Industrial Town, 1880-1920", *Journal of Family History*, Vol. 11 (1), 25-42.
- JANSSENS, Angelique (1993), *Family and Social Change. The Household as a Process in an Industrializing Community*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

- KAISER, Daniel H. (1992), "Urban Household Composition in Early Modern Russia", *Journal of Interdisciplinary History*, Vol. 23, No. 1, 39-71.
- KARGE, Wolf, SCHMIED, Hartmut & MÜNCH, Ernst (2004), *Die Geschichte Mecklenburgs*, Rostock, Hirnstorff.
- KASTEN, Bernd (2011), *Herren und Knechte. Gesellschaftlicher und politischer Wandel in Mecklenburg-Schwerin 1867-1945* (Quellen und Studien aus den Landesarchiven Mecklenburg-Vorpommerns, Vol. 11), Bremen, Temmen.
- KERTZER, David I. (1984), *Family Life in Central Italy, 1880-1910: Sharecropping, Wage Labor, and Coresidence*, New Brunswick, N.J. Rutgers University Press.
- KERTZER, David I., SCHIAFFINO, Andrea (1983). "Industrialization and Coresidence: A Life Course Approach", 360-391, in *Life-Span Development and Human Behavior*, Paul B. Baltes and Orville G. Brim, Jr. (eds.), vol. 5, New York, Academic Press.
- KERTZER, David I., LASLETT, Peter (eds.) (1995), *Aging in the Past: Demography, Society, and Old Age*, Berkeley and Los Angeles, University of California Press.
- KIM, Sukkoo (2005), "Industrialization And Urbanization: Did The Steam Engine Contribute To The Growth Of Cities In The United States?", *Explorations in Economic History*, 42, 586-598.
- KING, Miriam (1990), "All in the Family? The Incompatibility and Reconciliation of Family Demography and Family History", *Historical Methods*, 23, 32-40.
- KING, Miriam, PRESTON S. H. (1990), "Who Lives with Whom? Individual versus Household Measures", *Journal of Family History*, 15 (2), 117-132.
- KING, Steven, TIMMINS, Geoffrey (2001), *Making Sense of the Industrial Revolution. English Economy and Society, 1700-1850*, Manchester, Manchester University Press.
- KOHAGEN, Claudia (2010), *Familiengründung ohne Trauschein – eine Analyse unehe-licher Geburten im Rostock des 19. Jahrhunderts*, Unpublished Master Thesis, Universität Rostock.
- KÖLLMANN, Wolfgang (1959), „Industrialisierung, Binnenwanderung und "Soziale Frage" (Zur Entstehungsgeschichte der deutschen Industriegroßstadt im 19. Jahrhundert)“, 45-70, in *Vierteljahrschrift für Sozial- und Wirtschaftsgeschichte*, Bd. 46 : VSWG, Stuttgart, Steiner.
- KÖNIG, Wolfgang (2000), *Geschichte der Konsumgesellschaft (Vierteljahrschrift für Sozial- und Wirtschaftsgeschichte: Beihefte Nr. 154)*, Stuttgart, Steiner.
- KUNA, Edwin, DEYA, Hannelore (2007), *Neues historisches Lexikon*, E-Book, Haff Verlag.
- LASLETT, Peter (1972), "Introduction", 1-89, in *Household and Family in Past Time*, Peter Laslett & Richard Wall (eds.), Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- LASLETT, Peter (1983), "Family and Household as Work Group and Kin Group: Areas of Traditional Europe compared", 513-563, in *Family Forms in Historic Europe*, Richard Wall & Jean Robin (eds.), Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- LASLETT, Peter (1988), "Family, Kinship and Collectivity as Systems of Support in Preindustrial Europe: a Consideration of the "nuclear-hardship" hypothesis", *Continuity and Change*, 3 (2), 152-175.
- LASLETT, Peter, WACHTER, Kenneth W. and LASLETT, Robert (1978), "The English Evidence on Household Structure Compared with the Outcomes of Microsimulation", 65-87, in *Statistical Studies of Historical Social Structure*, Kenneth W. Wachter, Eugene A. Hammel & Peter Laslett, New York, Academic Press.
- LEE, William R. (1981), "The German Family: A Critical Survey of the Current State of Historical Research", 19-50, in *The German Family: essays on the social history of the family in nineteenth- and*

- twentieth-century*, Richard J. Evans and William Robert Lee (eds.), London [*et al.*], Croom Helm [*et al.*].
- LEE, William R. (1998), "The Socio-Economic and Demographic Characteristics of Port Cities: a Typology for Comparative Analysis?", *Urban History*, 25 (2), 147-172.
- LEE, William R. (1999), "Urban Labor Markets, In-Migration, and Demographic Growth: Bremen, 1815-1914", *Journal of Interdisciplinary History*, vol. 30 (3), Winter 1999, 437-473.
- LEE, William R. (2000), "Labour Market Constraints and the Integration of Urban in-Migrants in Nineteenth-Century Bremen", 165-205, in *Migrations and Migrants in Historical Perspective. Permanencies and Innovations*, René Leboutte (ed.), Brussels [*et al.*], Peter Lang.
- LEE, William R., MARSCHALCK, Peter (2000), "Demographic Change and Industrialization in Germany, 1815-1914. Bremen in Comparative Perspective", *The History of the Family*, 5 (4), 373-390.
- LEE, William R., MARSCHALCK, Peter (2002), "The Porto-City Legacy: Urban Demographic Change in the Hansestadt Bremen, 1815-1910", 252-269, in *Population and Society in Western European Port-Cities, c. 1650-1939*, Robert Lawton and Robert Lee (eds.), Liverpool, Liverpool University Press.
- LYNCH, Katherine L. (1991), "The European Marriage Pattern in the Cities: Variations on a Theme by Hajnal," *Journal of Family History*, 16 (1), 79-96.
- LYNCH, Katherine L. (1996) "Geographic Mobility and Urban Life: Comparative Perspectives on American and European Demographic Trends in the Past", 203-223, in *Les Systèmes Démographiques du Passé*, Alain Bideau *et al.* (éd.), Lyon, Programme Rhône-Alpes de Recherches en Sciences Humaines.
- MACFARLANE, Alan (1987), *Marriage and Love in England: Modes of Reproduction 1300-1840*, Oxford [*et al.*], Blackwell.
- MANKE, Matthias (2000), *Rostock zwischen Revolution und Biedermeier. Alltag und Sozialstruktur*, Rostock, Neuer Hochschulschriftenverlag.
- MEDICK, Hans (1981) "The protoindustrial Family Economy", 38-73, in *Industrialization Before Industrialization*, Peter Kriedte, Hans Medick, Jürgen Schlumbohm (ed.), Cambridge [*et al.*], Cambridge University Press.
- MINNESOTA POPULATION CENTER (2008), *North Atlantic Population Project: Complete Count Microdata. Version 2.0 [Machine-readable database]*, Minneapolis, MN, Minnesota Population Center.
- MODELL, John, HAREVEN, Tamara K. (1977) "Urbanization and the Malleable Household: An Examination of Boarding and Lodging in American families", 164-186, in *Family and Kin in Urban Communities, 1700-1930*, Tamara K. Hareven (ed.), New York, New Viewpoints.
- MOREELS, Sarah, MATTHIJS, Koen (2011), "Marrying in the City in Times of Rapid Urbanization", *Journal of Family History*, 36(1) 72-92.
- OEPPEN, Jim, TOCH, Marlen (2010), "Estimating the Urban Mortality Penalty: Rostock and its hinterland 1786-1875", Paper presented at 35th Annual SSHA Meeting, Chicago, 18-21 November 2010.
- ORIS, Michel (2000), "The Age at Marriage of Migrants during the Industrial Revolution in the Region of Liège", *History of the Family*, 5 (4), 391-413.
- ORIS, Michel (2003), "The History of Migration as a Chapter in the History of the European Family: An Overview", *History of the Family*, 8 (2), 187-215.
- ORIS, Michel, ALTER, George (2001), "Paths to the City and Roads to Death. Mortality and Migration in East Belgium during the Industrial Revolution", Recent Work in Belgian Historical Demography, Belgisch tijdschrift voor nieuwste geschiedenis – *Revue belge d'histoire*

- contemporaine*, XXXI, I. Devos and M. Neven (eds.), 3/4, 453-495.
- ORIS, Michel, OCHIAI, Emiko (2002), "Family Crisis in the Context of Different Family Systems", 17-79, in *When Dad Died: Individuals and Families Coping with Distress in Past Societies*, Renzo Derosas and Michel Oris (eds.), New York, Peter Lang.
- ORIS, Michel, RITSCHARD, Gilbert, RYCKOWSKA, Grazyna (2006), « Les solitudes urbaines. Structures et parcours dans la Genève des années 1816-1843 », *Annales de Démographie Historique*, 1, 59-87.
- PARSONS, Talcott, BALES, Robert F. (1955), *The Family: Socialization and Interaction process*, Glencoe, Ill, Free Press.
- POLLA, Matti (2006), "Family Systems in Central Russia in the 1830s and 1890s", *History of the Family*, 11, 27-44.
- POLZIN, Martin, WITT, Horst (1975), *Rostock: von der bürgerlich-demokratischen Revolution 1848/49 bis 1945*, Rostock, Hinstorff.
- POSTON, Dudley L., Jr. (2006), "Age and Sex", 19-58, in *Handbook of Population*, Dudley L. Poston, Jr. and Michael Micklin (eds.), New York, Springer Publishers.
- PRILL, Sebastian (2010), *Haushalts- und Erwerbsstruktur der Seestadt Rostock 1900*, Unpublished Bachelor Thesis, Universität Rostock.
- REHER, David (1997), *Perspectives on the Family in Spain, Past and Present*, Oxford, Clarendon Press.
- ROSENBAUM, Heidi (1996), *Formen der Familie. Untersuchungen zum Zusammenhang von Familienverhältnissen, Sozialstruktur und sozialem Wandel in der deutschen Gesellschaft des 19. Jahrhunderts*, Frankfurt am Main, Suhrkamp-Taschenbuch Wissenschaft.
- ROTHENBACHER, T.Franz (2002), *The Societies of Europe. The European Population 1850-1945*, Houndmills [et al.], Palgrave Macmillan.
- RUGGLES, Steven (2009), "Reconsidering the Northwest European Family System", *Population and Development Review*, 35, 249-273.
- RUGGLES, Steven (1990), "Family Demography and Family History: Problems and Prospects", *Historical Methods*, 23, 22-30.
- RUGGLES, Steven (1988), "The Demography of the Unrelated Individual: 1900-1950", *Demography*, Vol. 25, No. 4, 521-536.
- RUGGLES, Steven (1987), *Prolonged Connections: The Rise of the Extended Family in Nineteenth-Century England and America*, Madison, University of Wisconsin Press.
- SHARLIN, Allan (1978), "Natural Decrease in Early Modern Cities: a Reconsideration", *Past & Present*, 79, 126-38.
- SCOTT, Joan W., TILLY, Louise A. (1975), "Women's Work and the Family in Nineteenth Century Europe", *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 17, 36-64.
- SCHRÖDER, Karsten (2003), *In deinen Mauern herrsche Eintracht und allgemeines Wohlergehen. Eine Geschichte der Stadt Rostock von ihren Ursprüngen bis zum Jahre 1990*, Rostock, Ingo KochVerlag.
- SCHÜRER, Kevin (2003), "Leaving Home in England and Wales 1850-1920", 33-84, in *The Road to Independence. Leaving Home in Eastern and Western Societies, 16th-20th centuries*, Frans Van Poppel, Michel Oris and James Z. Lee (eds.) Bern-Bruxelles, Peter Lang.
- SCHULTZ, Helga (1975), „Zur Herausbildung der Arbeiterklasse am Beispiel der mittleren ostelbischen Stadt Rostock (1769 bis 1870)“, 153-201, in *Jahrbuch für Geschichte*, Band 13, Berlin, Akademischer Verlag.
- SOLLI, Arne (2010), "Was there an Urban Household system in Pre-Industrial Europe?", Paper presented at 35th Annual SSHA Meeting, Chicago, 18-21 November 2010.
- SONNINO, Eugenio (Ed.) (2004), *Living in the City: 14th-20th centuries*, Roma, Casa Editrice Universita la Sapienza.

- SPOORENBERG, Thomas (2007), "Quality of Age Reporting: Extension and Application of the Modified Whipple's index", *Population* 62 (4), 729-742.
- SZOŁTYSEK, Mikołaj, GRUBER, Siegfried, SCHOLZ, Rembrandt D. *et al.* (2009), "Social Change and Family Change in a Central European Urban Context: Rostock 1819-1867", MPIDR Working Paper WP-2009-039.
- SZOŁTYSEK, Mikołaj, GRUBER, Siegfried, SCHOLZ, Rembrandt D. *et al.* (2010a), "Macro-Level Changes and Micro-Level Processes: Family Change in Rostock, 1867-1900", Paper presented at the Workshop "Urban Household Structure in the Crucible of Social Change, 1800-1930", Jagiellonian University, Faculty of History, Krakow (Poland), September 2010.
- SZOŁTYSEK, Mikołaj, GRUBER, Siegfried, KLÜSENER, Sebastian *et al.* (2010b), "Spatial Variation in Household Structure in 19th-Century Germany", MPIDR Working Paper WP-2010-030 (2010).
- TODD, Emmanuel (1985), *Explanation of Ideology: Family Structures and Social Systems (Family, sexuality, and social relations in past times)*, Oxford [u.a.], Blackwell.
- TSCHARNKE, Johannes (1943), *Anlässe und Gebiete der Volkszählungen in beiden Mecklenburg*, Jur. Diss, Rostock.
- UNITED NATIONS (1952), "Accuracy Tests for Census Age Distributions Tabulated in Five-Year and Ten-Year Groups", *Population Bulletin*, 2, October 1952, 59-79.
- UNITED NATIONS (1990), *1988 Demographic Yearbook*, New York, United Nations.
- VAN LEEUWEN, Marco H. D., MAAS, Ineke and MILES, Andrew (2002), *HISCO: Historical International Standard Classification of Occupations*, Leuven, Leuven University Press.
- WALL, Richard (1987), "Leaving Home and the Process of Household Formation in Pre-industrial England", *Continuity and Change*, 2, 77-101.
- WALL, Richard (1991), "European Family and Household Systems", 617-636, in *Historiens et populations. Liber Amicorum Etienne Helin*, Louvain-la-Neuve, Academia.
- WEBER-KELLERMANN, Ingeborg (1982), *Die deutsche Familie. Versuch einer Sozialgeschichte*, Frankfurt am Main, Suhrkamp.
- WRIGLEY, Edward A. (1977), "Reflections on the History of the Family", *Daedalus*, 106, 2 (Spring, 1977), 71-85.
- ZYBLIKIEWICZ, Lidia (2010), "Society or Societies? A Comparative Study of the Two Nineteenth-Century Censuses in Cracov, Poland", Paper presented at 35th Annual SSHA Meeting, Chicago, 18-21 November 2010.

SUMMARY

This paper reintroduces to the historical-demographic literature the problem of the relationship between a set of processes generally labeled as “industrialization” and “urbanization”, and family change. Employing quantitative methodologies to the censuses of 1867 and 1900, we examine the impact of developing urban life on the family system of the industrialising city of Rostock. Structural developments in nuptiality, residence patterns, and household formation rules are revealed, and their gender, occupational, and spatial differentials are discussed.

A substantial and relatively rapid transition in marriage patterns was observed in the population under study. The nuptiality change has triggered changes in the life-course transitions of individuals, such as the ages at leaving home and at household formation. By contrast, the effects of economic and societal changes on the composition of households were negligible. Various factors responsible for the persistence of living arrangements in Rostock are proposed.

RÉSUMÉ

Cet article fait le point sur la littérature en démographie historique consacrée à la question des relations entre les processus généralement désignés sous les termes « d’industrialisation » et « d’urbanisation » et les transformations de la famille. Appliquant des méthodologies quantitatives aux recensements de 1867 et 1900, nous examinons l’impact du développement du mode de vie urbain sur le système familial de Rostock, une ville en voie d’industrialisation. Les évolutions globales de la nuptialité, des formes de résidence et les règles de formation des ménages sont décrites et les différences en termes de genre, ou de nature professionnelle ou géographique sont discutées.

On observe une substantielle et relativement rapide transition du système matrimonial au sein de la population étudiée. Les évolutions de la nuptialité ont entraîné des changements dans les transitions des parcours de vie des individus aussi bien en termes d’âge du départ de la maison que d’âge de formation des ménages. En revanche, les effets des changements économiques et sociaux sur la composition des ménages sont négligeables. Différents facteurs pouvant expliquer la persistance des modes de résidences à Rostock sont ensuite proposés.