Agency in population policies

Frans Willekens

Introduction

Throughout his professional career, Nico demonstrated a strong interest in an adequate demographic knowledge base for social policies. He played key roles in research programming, synthesis of demographic knowledge and dissemination of research findings to policy makers and the general public. Nico came to NIDI to help launch the National Programme for Demographic Research (NPDO) and continued to coordinate periodic studies on the state of the population in the Netherlands, the consequences and their policy implications (WPRB). Around 2004 Nico was instrumental in the establishment by the European Commission of a European demographic observatory with the aim to inform the social policy debate. He assembled a consortium of population research institutes that produced the Demography Monitor 2005, which provided the knowledge base for the Green Paper Confronting demographic change: A new solidarity between generations (European Commission, 2005). Other Demography Monitors followed (2007 and 2008) and they provided a basis for Demography Reports of the European Commission (2007, 2008 and 2010). The European network that was established for the Demography Monitor evolved into a more permanent European partnership: Population Europe. The partnership, under the auspices of EAPS, was formally founded on 15th June 2009 at a meeting at NIDI. Nico was appointed member of the Board of Governors and the liaison person for the Netherlands. Today, Population Europe is a demographic knowledge infrastructure for policy makers, the media, schools and the general public.

Throughout history, two opposing approaching to population policies and social policies dominated the debate and the policy measures that resulted. The approaches differ mainly in the belief in human agency, *i.e.* in the capability to reason and act independently. People who believe in that capability endorse other policies than those who do not believe. In this paper I illustrate that difference with reference to population growth and population diversity.

Population growth

In a recent paper (Van Nimwegen, 2013), Nico asked the question that is on the minds of many of us: "What are the best ways to tap the rich human resources of an aging and more diverse population?" He gave a simple but most effective answer to these new demographic realities of Europe. To unleash the full potential of an aging and more diverse population, one should emphasize the skills and talents of people. Instead of focusing on population size and composition, one should concentrate on what people can do. That belief in human agency and entrepreneurship is rooted in the best Christian Protestant ethic and the teaching of Max Weber. It characterizes Nico's responses to the challenges ahead. It underlies his promotion of 'active aging' and continued participation, which he sees as a way to make use of the full potential of older persons (Van Nimwegen and Van Praag, 2012; Van Nimwegen, 2012), and his view of migration as an "opportunity for migrants to use their skills and talents which cannot be used in the country that they leave behind."

The emphasis on capabilities and human agency has a long tradition in population studies. Condorcet believed that humans are "capable of reasoning and of acquiring moral ideas", i.e. they are capable of acting independently and responsibly. As early as 1794, he anticipated the voluntary reduction of fertility and the emergence of a small family norm. That would resolve the population problem. Universal education, according to Condorcet, is the key to success. That enlightenment view at the time of the French Revolution was vigorously opposed in 1798 by Malthus, who did not believe that most people, and in particular the lower classes of society, are capable of reasoned action. He opposed social welfare programs because people would respond by having more children. He also opposed contraception as a preventive check: "Indeed, I should always particularly reprobate any artificial and unnatural methods of checking population, both on account of their immorality and their tendency to remove a necessary stimulus to industry. If it were possible for each married couple to limit by a wish the number of their children, there is certainly reason to fear that the indolence of the human race would be very greatly increased." (Malthus, 1817 edition, p. 368; also quoted by Winch, 1996, p. 57). The opposing views revealed a very different model of man. For Condorcet, the future will be dominated by freedom of mind and rational and responsible decisions: "The time will therefore come when the sun will shine only on free man who know no other master but their reason; when tyrants and slaves, priests and their stupid or hypocritical instruments will exist only in works of history and on the stage; and when we shall think of them only to pity their victims and their dupes; to maintain ourselves in a state of vigilance by thinking on their excesses; and to learn how to recognize and so to destroy, by force of reason, the first seeds of tyranny and superstition, should they ever dare to reappear among us." Malthus viewed this as pure speculation. He did not believe in the perfectibility of man and human agency but in laws of nature that apply to both animals and humans. He defended his view that "The perpetual tendency in the race of man to increase beyond the means of subsistence is one of the general laws of animated nature which we can have no reason to expect will change." (Malthus, 1798, p. 109). The Condorcet-Malthus debate reflects two rival approaches to dealing with the population problem that are rooted in very different beliefs in and respect of individual capabilities. The debate continues today, with Amartya Sen, Martha Nussbaum and others advocating human development and the capability approach on one side and those favoring restrictive legal, economic and other measures to curtail population growth on the other side (Sen. 1994).

Diversity

One of the subjects Nico addresses in his publications is population diversity. Europe is becoming more diverse. New member countries have social, economic and cultural settings and past experiences that differ considerably from those of the old members states. International migration adds to the diversity. Migration between member states for reasons of study, employment, marriage, climate or cost of living increases the demographic mix of neighbourhoods, regions and countries. Migration across the borders of Europe changes the demographic landscape and triggers a growing cultural diversity, manifested in different values, norms and customs. Nico recognizes that diversity can spur economic growth but can

Malthus considered later marriage as the main preventive check.

also put a strain on social cohesion. By identifying people's capabilities and bringing out their talents, population diversity is turned from a liability into an asset that can be used to address the challenges that confront Europe. Others consider that vision unrealistic and not different from Condorcet's utopianism. They do not trust the power of human agency.

At the workplace, diversity management has become an integral part of human resource management. Individual differences are recognized and, where possible, used to the advantage of the organization. At the macro level, population diversity, in particular cultural diversity, is often considered a threat. In an influential paper, Putnam (2007) claims that, in neighborhoods in the USA, ethnic diversity reduces social solidarity and trust, diminishes social capital and endangers social cohesion. Lancee and Dronkers (2011) found similar results for Europe. The effects dominate in the short run. In the long run, diversity is likely to have significant cultural and economic benefits. Others consider diversity an asset for society. Page (2007) stresses the power of diversity. Individuals with widely different backgrounds and experiences spur creativity and innovation because they have various ways of looking at problems, conditions and challenges. In 2010, US president Obama recognized the power of diversity when he stated, in a remark on comprehensive immigration reform: "In an increasingly interconnected world, the diversity of our country is a powerful advantage in global competition." (Obama, 2011).

The debate on the effects of diversity did not yet produce conclusive evidence. The effects of diversity depend on contextual factors. Dahlberg *et al.* (2012) identified effects of redistribution policies and programs on ways people approach population diversity. Redistribution receives more support if the society is homogeneous. In heterogeneous societies, such as the USA, support is less. In relatively homogeneous welfare states with large redistribution programs, support for redistribution declines with increasing population diversity. The underlying mechanism is homophily. People are supportive for redistribution to other people with similar background. It is an expression of the tendency of people to associate and bond with similar others. As a corollary, an increase in population diversity is often considered a threat to the welfare state, simply because solidarity is generally less with people who are different. Recently, Niejahr (2013) used that insight to advocate a different integration policy in Germany, a policy that is pre-occupied less with groups and differences between groups, and more with individuals and their capabilities and agency. What she advocates is essentially enlightenment in the migration and integration debate and policy.

Conclusion

Throughout his professional career, Nico played a central role in the design of a demographic knowledge base for social policy and he did not refrain from using his demographic expertise to recommend policies and policy perspectives. Social research is usually not value-free. If Malthus would have asked "What are the best ways to tap the rich human resources of a growing population?", he would have reached a completely different conclusion. His model of man discouraged him from asking that question. If Condorcet would have viewed human behavior as governed by 'general laws of animated nature', he would have reached different conclusions. Different models of man lead to different policies. Scientists should follow

Nico's example and be transparent about the mental model they use (and the implied axioms and assumptions) to interpret the world and to recommend policies.

References

- Condorcet, N. (1794), Esquisse d'un tableau historique des progress de l'esprit human (Sketch for a Historical Picture of the Progress of the Human Mind). Available at http://www.historyguide.org/intellect/sketch.html
- Dahlberg, M., K. Edmark and H. Lundqvist (2012), Ethnic diversity and preferences for redistribution. *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 120, No. 1, pp. 41-76.
- Lancee, B. and J. Dronkers (2011), Ethnic diversity in neighbourhoods and social trust of immigrants and natives. A replication of Putnam (2007) in a West-European country. In: M. Hooghe (ed.) *Social cohesion. Interdisciplinary theoretical perspectives on the study of social cohesion and social capital*, Brussel: Koninklijke Vlaamse Academie van België voor Wetenschappen en Kunsten, pp. 77-102. Available at http://www.eui.eu/Personal/Dronkers/English/trust.pdf
- Malthus, R. (1798), An essay on the principle of population. Printed for J. Johnson, in St. Paul's Church Yard. Available online: Electronic Scholarly Publishing Project. www.esp.org/books/malthus/population/malthus.pdf
- Niejahr, E. (2013), Einwanderung für fortgeschrittene. Wer nützt Deutschland, wer nicht so sehr? Plädoyer für eine ehrliche integrationspolitik. *Die Zeit*, 21 November 2013.
- Obama, B. (2011), Remarks by the President on comprehensive immigration reform. American University School of International Service. Washington D.C. Press release, White House. http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/remarks-president-comprehensive-immigration-reform
- Page, S. (2007), The difference. How the power of diversity creates better groups, firms, schools, and societies. Princeton University Press.
- Putnam, R.D. (2007), E Pluribus Unum: Diversity and community in the twenty-first century. The 2007 Johan Skytte Prize Lecture. Scandinavian Political Studies, Vol. 30, No. 2, pp. 137-174.
- Sen, A. (1994), Population: Delusion and reality. http://www.uwmc.uwc.edu/geography/malthus/sen_nyr. htm
- Van Nimwegen, N. (2012), Interview with Nico van Nimwegen on active ageing (WPRB-rapport) in Studio Max, 25 October 2012.
- Van Nimwegen, N. (2013), Population change in Europe: Turning challenges into opportunities. *Genus*, Vol. LXIX, No. 1, pp. 103-125.
- Van Nimwegen, N. and C. van Praag (2012), Bevolkingsvraagstukken in Nederland anno 2012. *Actief ouder worden in Nederland. (Population issues in the Netherlands, 2012. Active ageing in the Netherlands)*. Werkverband Periodieke Rapportage Bevolkingsvraagstukken (WPRB). NIDI book nr. 86. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press. 195 p.
- Winch, D. (1996), Malthus versus Condorcet revisited. *The European Journal of the History of Economic Thought*. Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 44-60.